

First District



Gloria Molina

Second District



Yvonne B. Burke

Third District



Zev Yaroslavsky

Fourth District



Don Knabe

Fifth District



Michael D. Antonovich



#### **Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0**

# **Finding Words**

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

# To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:
  - Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.
  - Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box
  - Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.
- 4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

# Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

# To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.



To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
  In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
  Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
  Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
  Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2004, BEGINS ON PAGE 196]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I WOULD ASK THAT YOU ALL PLEASE RISE. WE
7	WILL BE LED IN THE INVOCATION BY LYDIA CINCORE-TEMPLETON,
8	MISSIONARY, HOLMAN UNITED METHODIST CHURCH IN LOS ANGELES,
9	FOLLOWED BY THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WHICH WILL BE LED BY OUR
10	OWN COLONEL JOSEPH SMITH, OUR DIRECTOR OF MILITARY AND
11	VETERANS AFFAIRS.
12	
13	LYDIA CINCORE-TEMPLETON: LET US BE IN A ATTITUDE OF PRAYER.
14	GRACIOUS LOVING GOD OF LIGHT, LOVE AND LIFE, WE INVOKE YOUR
15	PRESENCE TODAY AND GIVE THANKS FOR THE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN
16	BLESSINGS FLOWING FROM YOU. WE LIFT UP THE UNSUNG HEROES AND
17	HEROINES OF EVERY DEPARTMENT, DIVISION AND UNIT IN LOS ANGELES
18	COUNTY. WE LIFT IN PRAYER THE RESIDENTS, CITIZENS, CHILDREN,
19	AND COMMUNITY LEADERS OF THIS GREAT STATE. WE ASK THAT YOUR
20	PERFECT WILL BE MANIFESTED IN THE MARTIN LUTHER KING HOSPITAL
21	MATTER, AND PLEASE GUIDE THE STEPS, THE WORDS AND THE DEEDS
22	WHEN THESE, YOUR SERVANTS, OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
23	SUPERVISORS, ARE CONDUCTING THE BUSINESS OF THIS GREAT COUNTY.
24	TEACH US TO LOVE AND SEEK PEACE FOR ALL YOUR PEOPLE IN THIS
25	CITY, COUNTY AND WORLD. WE ASK THESE BLESSINGS AS WE LOOK TO



- 1 THE MOST HIGH GOD, THE CREATOR OF TRUE JUSTICE AND PEACE.
- 2 AMEN.

3

- 4 COLONEL JOSEPH SMITH: PLEASE PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR
- 5 HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [ PLEDGE OF
- 6 ALLEGIANCE ]

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'LL WAIT 'TIL SUPERVISOR BURKE'S ARRIVAL,
- 9 THEN WE'LL HAVE THE PRESENTATION. OKAY. WE'LL MOVE THROUGH THE
- 10 AGENDA.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 13 BOARD. I WILL ANNOUNCE THE CONTINUED ITEMS AND THEN WE'LL TAKE
- 14 UP THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS AFTER THE BOARD'S PRESENTATIONS.
- 15 BEGINNING ON PAGE 8, ON ITEM NUMBER 5, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 16 REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE CONTINUED TO OCTOBER 26TH, 2004.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 11 THROUGH 22, I HAVE THE FOLLOWING
- 22 REQUEST. ON ITEM NUMBER 13, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET,
- 23 SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO
- 24 HER OFFICE.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

2

- 3 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON ITEM NUMBER 21, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN
- 4 SHEET, THAT INCLUDES REVISIONS. THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 7 MOLINA, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 8 SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 23 THROUGH
- 11 25. ON ITEM NUMBER 24, HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR KNABE AND A MEMBER
- 12 OF THE PUBLIC. AND ON ITEM 23, AS NOTED ON THE AGENDA, THE
- 13 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE
- 14 REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

17

18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND THE THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 21 YAROSLAVSKY, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- ORDERED.

23

24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: COUNTY COUNSEL, ITEM 26.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY THE
- 2 CHAIR. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEM 27.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 7 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEMS 28 AND 29.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, THE CHAIR
- 12 WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 30 AND 31.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THE CHAIR
- 17 WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 19 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION. ON ITEM 32,
- 20 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE INTO THE RECORD AND THEN WE'LL HOLD
- 21 IT. ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE
- 22 5, PERSONNEL OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO FRINGE
- 23 BENEFIT CHANGES. WE'LL HOLD THAT FOR SUPERVISOR KNABE AND A
- 24 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 33 AND
- 25 34.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: BUDGET MATTERS, ITEMS 35 THROUGH 46, AND
- 6 WE'LL HOLD THOSE FOR THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER. ON ITEM
- 7 43, HOWEVER, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT
- 8 ITEM BE CONTINUED TO NOVEMBER 16, 2004.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 13 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 14 OFFICER, WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF
- 15 THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.
- 16 ITEM 47-A.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT, SECONDED BY
- 19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON ITEM 47-B, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS A
- 22 TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.



1 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** 47-C.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CHAIR WILL MOVE IT, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 4 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON 47-D, IT'S A SET MATTER, SO WE'LL HOLD
- 7 THIS UNTIL 11:00 A.M. THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE
- 8 AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGINS WITH
- 9 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NUMBER 1.

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S A PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE OUR 2004
- 12 SEPTEMBER EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH, MR. CHARLES CHAPMAN. AND-- IS
- 13 CHARLES UP HERE? HERE HE COMES. HAVING OVER 17 YEARS OF
- 14 EMPLOYMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CHARLES IS
- 15 CURRENTLY AN INTERMEDIATE CLERK WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 16 SERVICES, WHERE HE SERVES AS A PATIENT SERVICES
- 17 REPRESENTATIVE. WHILE PROVIDING SUPPORT IN THREE KEY AREAS:
- 18 PATIENT RELATIONS, MEDICAL RECORDS DOCUMENTATION AND DISCHARGE
- 19 PLANNING, CHARLES, IN ESSENCE, HELPED MANAGEMENT WRITE THE
- 20 BOOK ON THE ROLE OF A PATIENT SERVICES REPRESENTATIVE. CHARLES
- 21 IS COMMITTED TO HELPING PATIENTS UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEX
- 22 ACTIVITIES INVOLVED IN ADDRESSING THEIR HEALTHCARE NEEDS. HIS
- 23 EFFORTS HAVE RESULTED IN INCREASED PATIENT SATISFACTION, AS
- 24 EVIDENCED THROUGH THE RESULTS OF THE PATIENT SATISFACTION
- 25 SURVEYS AND COMMENDATIONS. HE SUCCESSFULLY LED THE COMPLETE



- ELIMINATION OF OVER 1,300 BACKLOGGED ENCOUNTER FORMS IN OUR
- 2 PROCEDURE LABORATORY AT GENERAL HOSPITAL. THIS EFFORT WAS
- 3 CRITICAL FOR BILLING AND COLLECTION OF WORKLOAD DATA TOWARDS
- 4 OUR 1115 WAIVER, WHICH MANY OF YOU READ IN THE NEWSPAPER ABOUT
- 5 IT, WHICH WAS SO CRITICAL HERE FOR US IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 6 CHARLES AND HIS STAFF WERE ABLE TO REDUCE THE BACKLOG IN JUST
- 7 A FEW MONTHS. SO, IN RECOGNITION OF THAT EFFORT AND IN
- 8 RECOGNITION OF MANY, MANY MORE AND HIS CONTRIBUTIONS TO OUR
- 9 COUNTY AND HIS WORK ETHIC, WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE CHARLES AS
- 10 OUR SEPTEMBER 2004 EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH. [ APPLAUSE ]

11

- 12 MR. CHARLES CHAPMAN: THANK YOU. IT'S A GREAT HONOR ON SUCH A
- 13 HIGH LEVEL OF THE COUNTY TO ACCEPT A AWARD LIKE THIS. SOMEONE
- 14 WAS ASKING ME EARLIER, HOW DO YOU DO THIS. I GUESS, LIKE I WAS
- 15 TELLING THEM, I NEVER INTENDED FOR THE RECOGNITION TO BE SO
- 16 HIGH BUT IT'S JUST A GREAT HONOR TO ACCEPT THIS AWARD. THANK
- 17 YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

18

- 19 SPEAKER: AS CHARLES' SUPERVISOR IN THE G.I. LAB AT L.A. COUNTY
- 20 U.S.C., I JUST WANT TO SAY HE'S A TRUE HERO TO HIS CO-WORKERS,
- 21 TO THE PATIENTS. HE'S EXPANDED AND CREATED OUR DISCHARGE
- 22 WAITING ROOM FOR THE PATIENTS, UPGRADED OUR COMPUTER SYSTEM,
- 23 AND, EVERY DAY, HE DOES AN OUTSTANDING JOB AND I WANT TO THANK
- 24 HIM. [ APPLAUSE ]



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU AND CONGRATULATIONS.
- 2 NEXT, I'M JUST GOING TO HAVE A LITTLE CHAIR PRIVILEGE HERE AND
- 3 CALL ONE OUT OF ORDER BECAUSE I KNOW ONE OF OUR HONOREES HAS
- 4 TO GET BACK TO HER SCHOOL. FIRST OF ALL, I'M GOING TO ASK DR.
- 5 GARY RICHARD, PROVOST AND SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF ACADEMIC
- 6 AFFAIRS AT CAL STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH; AS WELL AS DR.
- 7 JAN KEYHOE, WHO IS SUPERINTENDENT AND PRESIDENT OF LONG BEACH
- 8 CITY COLLEGE; JEFF KELLOGG, WHO IS A MEMBER OF THE LONG BEACH
- 9 CITY COLLEGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND FORMER VICE MAYOR AND
- 10 COUNCILMAN IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH; AND CLAUDETTE MOODY, WHO
- 11 IS CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PRESIDENT KEYHOE AND MANY OF US IN THIS
- 12 ROOM REMEMBER CLAUDETTE IN HER DAYS WHEN SHE WAS WORKING
- 13 GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS FOR THE M.T.A. AND NUMEROUS OTHER
- 14 MEMBERS HERE. ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO, ON BEHALF MY COLLEAGUES AND
- 15 MYSELF, WE WANT TO THANK BOTH LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE AS WELL
- 16 AS CAL STATE UNIVERSITY IN LONG BEACH FOR THEIR INDIVIDUAL
- 17 CIVIC LEADERSHIP. [ INTERJECTIONS ]

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IF WE COULD HAVE SOME-- STEVIE, GET THE--
- 20 JUST KEEP IT DOWN TO A LOW ROAR OVER HERE. WE WANT TO THANK
- 21 BOTH THE COLLEGE, THE LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE AND CAL STATE IN
- 22 LONG BEACH FOR THEIR INDIVIDUAL CIVIC LEADERSHIP IN THESE
- 23 VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS AT OUR CARMELITOS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT UNDER
- 24 THE OVERALL SERVICE LEARNING INITIATIVE. EACH INSTITUTION,
- 25 AIDED BY OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT



- 1 COMMISSION, HAS HELPED TO BRING ABOUT OVER 328 VOLUNTEERS AND
- 2 200 CARMELITAS RESIDENTS WHO CURRENTLY PARTICIPATE IN
- 3 ACTIVITIES AT THIS LOCATION, THE COMMUNITY SERVICE LEARNING
- 4 CENTER. FIRST OF ALL, I'M GOING TO ASK DR. RICHARD FROM CAL
- 5 STATE LONG BEACH TO COME FORWARD AND I'M GOING TO PRESENT HIM
- 6 WITH THIS SCROLL IN RECOGNITION OF THEIR EFFORTS AND SAY A
- 7 HEARTFELT THANKS. [ APPLAUSE ]

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND, NEXT, AGAIN, THESE ALL FOLKS UP HERE
- 10 ARE JUST-- BEEN DEAR FRIENDS OVER THE YEARS. I WANTED TO
- 11 PRESENT THIS AS WELL TO DR. KEYHOE AND CONGRATULATIONS ON A
- 12 JOB WELL DONE. [ APPLAUSE ]

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I'M GOING TO ASK THEM BOTH TO SAY A COUPLE
- 15 WORDS AND INTRODUCE SOME FOLKS HERE WITH YOU. JAN, IF YOU WANT
- 16 TO GO FIRST.

- 18 DR. JAN KEYHOE: THANK YOU SO MUCH, SUPERVISOR KNABE. THE BOARD
- 19 OF SUPERVISORS HAS BEEN AN INCREDIBLE FRIEND TO COMMUNITY
- 20 COLLEGES AND TO LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE. WE ARE VERY PLEASED
- 21 THAT A PROGRAM SUCH AS CITY SERVE, WHICH IS A COOPERATIVE
- 22 EFFORT WITH CAL STATE LONG BEACH, HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN
- 23 MAKING A DIFFERENCE IN SO MANY PEOPLE'S LIVES. AND, WITH THAT,
- 24 I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE A FACULTY MEMBER WHO IS PRIMARILY
- 25 RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM, DR. PAUL SAVOY.



1

- 2 DR. PAUL SAVOY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR. KEYHOE. WE HAVE SOME
- 3 OTHER KEY PEOPLE WITH US THIS MORNING FROM OUR EFFORTS AT LONG
- 4 BEACH CITY COLLEGE WORKING WITH THE COUNTY AT THE CARMELITOS
- 5 HOUSING DEVELOPMENT. WE HAVE ALICIA HERNANDEZ, WHO IS A LONG
- 6 BEACH CITY-- A FORMER-- A GRADUATE OF LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE
- 7 NOW AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY IN LONG BEACH AND HAS BEEN
- 8 WORKING FOR SEVERAL SEMESTERS AT CARMELITOS. WE ALSO HAVE MARY
- 9 WHITELOCK, WHO IS THE FACULTY FELLOW FOR LONG BEACH CITY
- 10 COLLEGE WITH OUR PARTNERSHIP AT CARMELITOS. AND WE ALSO HAVE
- 11 MR. FARLEY HERZIC, WHO IS THE DEAN OF TRADES IN INDUSTRIAL
- 12 TECHNOLOGIES AT LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE AND WHO IS IS THE DEAN
- 13 THAT OVERSEES BOTH OUR SERVICE LEARNING AND OUR TEACHER
- 14 PREPARATION PROGRAMS AT L.B.C.C. AND THANK YOU AGAIN.

15

- 16 DR. JAN KEYHOE: AND WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF THE ELECTED BOARD
- 17 OF TRUSTEES AT LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE, WE COULD NOT ENGAGE IN
- 18 ANY OF THOSE PROGRAMS. WE HAVE WITH US THE PRESIDENT OF THE
- 19 BOARD, JEFF KELLOGG, TODAY WITH US AS WELL.

- 21 JEFF KELLOGG: AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH ON
- 22 BEHALF OF PRESIDENT ROBERT MAXEN AND ESPECIALLY THE STUDENTS
- 23 AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LONG BEACH WHO MAKE THIS
- 24 PROGRAM POSSIBLE FROM OUR INSTITUTIONAL PERSPECTIVE AND I
- 25 WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE DIRECTOR OF OUR COMMUNITY SERVICE



- 1 LEARNING CENTER, DR. PATRICIA ROSAE, WHO WILL INTRODUCE THE
- 2 OTHERS WHO ARE WITH US TODAY FROM CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
- 3 LONG BEACH.

4

- 5 DR. PATRICIA ROSAE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE REALLY HONORED
- 6 TO BE HERE AND I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE TO YOU ONE OF OUR FACULTY
- 7 MEMBERS AND HIS STUDENT, THE FACULTY MEMBER, DR. COREY JOHNSON
- 8 AND HIS STUDENT, LIZ TRAN, WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING AT CARMELITOS
- 9 WITH US SINCE THE BEGINNING. SHE'S NOW ALSO AN ALUM. SHE JUST
- 10 GRADUATED. AND MY RIGHT-HAND PERSON, CORENA SASS, WHO IS
- 11 COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS COORDINATOR AT CAL STATE LONG BEACH AND
- 12 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DAY-TO-DAY RUNNING OF THIS PROJECT, CORENA
- 13 SASS. OKAY. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. AGAIN, WE WANT TO SAY A HEARTFELT
- 16 THANKS. THIS IS A GREAT PROGRAM AND WE, TOO, APPRECIATE THE
- 17 COOPERATION BETWEEN LONG BEACH CITY, CAL STATE LONG BEACH AND
- 18 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND WE WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR A
- 19 JOB WELL DONE. CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. LET'S SEE. SUPERVISOR BURKE'S NOT
- 22 HERE YET, SO, ZEV, DO YOU HAVE ANY PRESENTATIONS? THEN I WILL
- 23 PROCEED WITH MY RESOLUTIONS HERE UNTIL SUCH TIME AS SUPERVISOR
- 24 BURKE GETS HERE. AT THIS TIME, I'D LIKE TO CALL UP OUR
- 25 REGISTRAR-RECORDER, CONNY MCCORMACK AND CHIEF DEPUTY, KRISTIN



- 1 HEFFERNAN. FOLLOWING THE NATIONAL EXPOSURE OF THE 2000
- 2 PRESIDENTIAL VOTING SCANDAL, THEN CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF
- 3 STATE BILL JONES OFFICIALLY DECERTIFIED PUNCH-CARD VOTING. OH,
- 4 HI. IN FULL ANTICIPATION OF THIS OUTCOME, OUR VERY OWN LOS
- 5 ANGELES COUNTY REGISTRAR-RECORDERS IDENTIFIED A QUICK AND
- 6 EFFICIENT REPLACEMENT METHOD AND THAT'S CALLED THE INK-A-VOTE,
- 7 WHICH IS A MORE EFFICIENT AND CERTIFIED FORM OF CARD VOTING.
- 8 WITH THIS NEW SYSTEM IN PLACE, CONNY AND HER TEAM SUBSEQUENTLY
- 9 ACOUIRED A DALMATIAN PUPPY NAMED DOTS. DOTS IS NOW THE MASCOT
- 10 FOR OUR NEW INK-A-VOTING SYSTEM AND WILL HELP PROMOTE THE "GET
- 11 DOTS CAMPAIGN." SHE'S REALLY EXCITED. DOTS WILL BE GRACING THE
- 12 COVER OF THE OFFICIAL LOS ANGELES COUNTY SAMPLE BALLOT AND AN
- 13 INTERIOR PAGE INSTRUCTING VOTERS THAT THEY ARE NOT TO PUNCH
- 14 BUT TO INK THEIR BALLOT. IN ADDITION, THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER
- 15 HAS EXPANDED THE POLL WORKER RECRUITMENT THIS ELECTION TO
- 16 INCLUDE NOT ONLY COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERS BUT COUNTY EMPLOYEES,
- 17 HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE STUDENTS, SERVICE CLUBS AND CORPORATE
- 18 EMPLOYEES. A TOTAL OF 25,000 POLL WORKERS ARE INK-A-VOTE
- 19 AMBASSADORS, WILL HELP IN THIS NOVEMBER 2ND GENERAL ELECTION.
- 20 SO NOW WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT TO CONNY AND TO KRISTEN THIS
- 21 COMMENDATION IN RECOGNITION OF MOST SUCCESSFUL INK-A-VOTING
- 22 PROGRAM. CONNY? [ APPLAUSE ]

23

24 CONNY MCCORMACK: THANK YOU, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF

25 SUPERVISORS. WE ARE STARTING ADVERTISEMENT CAMPAIGN, THE "GOT



- 1 DOTS," SO EVERYONE KNOWS TO MARK THEIR BALLOT WITH THE NEW
- 2 VOTING SYSTEM. WE HAVE FEDERAL FUNDS TO DO THIS WITH AND WE'RE
- 3 VERY EXCITED. SO YOU'LL SEE HER ON THE FRONT COVER OF YOUR
- 4 SAMPLE BALLOT AND IN ALL KINDS OF ADVERTISEMENTS. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU. I'M GOING
- 7 TO CALL ON SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 9 SUP. BURKE: TO MINISTER LYDIA CINCORE-TEMPLETON, A MISSIONARY
- 10 AND ATTORNEY, SHE RECEIVED HER JURIS DOCTORATE FROM HOWARD
- 11 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW, WASHINGTON, D.C. AND GRADUATED MAGNA
- 12 CUM LAUDE WITH A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE FROM LOYOLA
- 13 UNIVERSITY IN NEW ORLEANS. MINISTER TEMPLETON IS CURRENTLY
- 14 ASSIGNED TO THE CALIFORNIA PACIFIC CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED
- 15 METHODIST CHURCH COORDINATING PROJECTS FOR CHILDREN. IN 1996,
- 16 MINISTER TEMPLETON COORDINATED THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
- 17 RESPONSE, THE HUTU-TOOTSI CONFLICT IN CIVIL WAR IN LIBERIA AND
- 18 DEVELOPED PROJECTS FOR REFUGEES OF THE CIVIL WAR. MINISTER
- 19 TEMPLETON IS ALSO THE FOUNDER AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
- 20 CHILDREN YOUTH AND FAMILY COLLABORATIVE. IN AUGUST, THE
- 21 ORGANIZATION WAS NOTIFIED IT HAD BEEN GRANTED \$328,000 NEXT
- 22 GENERATION GRANT. IT'S TO BE USED TO ADDRESS CRITICAL SOCIAL
- 23 AND SYSTEMATIC NEEDS UTILIZING INNOVATIVE VOLUNTEER SERVICE
- 24 MODELS. WHEN MINISTER TEMPLETON WAS A DIRECTOR OF THE
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LIAISON SINNERS, CIVIL DIVISION IN



- 1 PORTLAND, OREGON, SHE DEVELOPED AND DESIGNED THE DISCOVERY
- 2 SUPPORT UNIT FOR THE LARGEST BOND DEFAULT CASE IN THE HISTORY
- 3 OF THE UNITED STATES, WHICH WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE AUTOMATION
- 4 OF MULTIMILLION PAGES OF DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS. WE'RE CERTAINLY
- 5 PLEASED TO HAVE YOU HERE AND ALSO TO WORK WITH YOU ON ALL OF
- 6 THOSE IMPORTANT THINGS THAT YOU ARE AT THE LEAD AND
- 7 CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. THANKS FOR BEING HERE WITH US. [
- 8 APPLAUSE ]

- 10 SUP. BURKE: WELL, BREAST CANCER AWARENESS MONTH IS SOMETHING
- 11 THAT WE ALL SUPPORT. AND SUSAN G. KOMEN BREAST FOUNDATION,
- 12 WE'D LIKE TO ASK YOU COME FORWARD. WE HAVE THREE PEOPLE HERE
- 13 FROM THE FOUNDATION. BREAST CANCER TOUCHES THE LIVES OF MANY
- 14 AMERICANS AS A PATIENT OR AS A FAMILY FRIEND OF A PATIENT.
- 15 WHILE CONSIDERABLE PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE IN DIAGNOSIS AND
- 16 TREATMENT OF THE DISEASE, IT REMAINS A DREADED EXPERIENCE AND
- 17 FOR EVERYONE, ALMOST EVERYONE KNOWS SOMEONE OR HAS HAD A
- 18 RELATIVE OR FRIEND WHO HAS HAD BREAST CANCER OR A THREAT OF
- 19 BREAST CANCER. AND EARLY DIAGNOSIS REMAINS OF VITAL
- 20 IMPORTANCE. WHEN BREAST CANCER IS CONFINED TO THE BREAST, THE
- 21 FIVE-YEAR SURVIVAL RATE IS MORE THAN 95%. OVERALL DEATH RATES
- 22 HAVE BEEN DECLINING. NEVERTHELESS, BREAST CANCER REMAINS THE
- 23 SECOND LEADING CAUSE OF CANCER DEATHS AMONG WOMEN. THIS YEAR
- 24 IN LOS ANGELES, 6,690 WOMEN WILL BE DIAGNOSED WITH INVASIVE
- 25 BREAST CANCER AND 1,105 WILL DIE. FOR MORE THAN 20 YEARS, THE



- 1 SUSAN G. KOMEN BREAST CANCER FOUNDATION HAS BEEN A GLOBAL
- 2 LEADER IN THE FIGHT AGAINST BREAST CANCER THROUGH ITS SUPPORT
- 3 OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH AND COMMUNITY-BASED OUTREACH PROGRAM
- 4 AND WORKING THROUGH A NETWORK OF U.S. INTERNATIONAL AFFILIATES
- 5 AND BY SPONSORING EVENTS SUCH AS THE KOMEN RACE FOR THE CURE,
- 6 THE KOMEN FOUNDATION IS FIGHTING TO ERADICATE BREAST CANCER AS
- 7 A LIFE-THREATENING DISEASE. KOMEN FOUNDATION ACTIVITIES
- 8 INCLUDE FUNDING RESEARCH GRANTS, SUPPORTING EDUCATION,
- 9 SCREENING AND TREATMENT PROJECTS IN COMMUNITIES AROUND THE
- 10 WORLD. IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE LOS ANGELES COUNTY RACE FOR THE
- 11 CURE WILL TAKE PLACE FEBRUARY 27TH, 2005, AT THE ROSE BOWL IN
- 12 PASADENA. TODAY, ON BEHALF OF THE SUPERVISORS, I PROCLAIM THE
- 13 MONTH OCTOBER 2004 AS BREAST CANCER AWARENESS MONTH THROUGHOUT
- 14 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. I URGE ALL PEOPLE IN THE COUNTY TO
- 15 CONTINUE OUR NATION'S STRONG COMMITMENT TO CONTROLLING AND
- 16 CURING BREAST CANCER BY RAISING AWARENESS OF THIS DISEASE. AND
- 17 WE ALSO WANT TO SAY, GO OUT AND GET THOSE MAMMOGRAMS. I WENT
- 18 LAST WEEK AND I TELL YOU, I KNOW IT HURTS BUT GO ON AND DO IT
- 19 ANYHOW. IT'S BETTER THAN THE RESULT-- WHAT CAN HAPPEN IF YOU
- 20 DON'T DO IT, SO I URGE EVERYONE, PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO ARE
- 21 OVER 35, TO GO OUT, GET THOSE MAMMOGRAMS, 'CAUSE THAT'S THE
- 22 FIRST STEP. SO I'D LIKE TO ASK-- TODAY, WE HAVE RHONDA KIRK
- 23 WARNER AND BARRY BORKEN FROM THE L.A. FOUNDATION. WE HAVE YOU
- 24 AND WHO ELSE IS HERE? LINDA PURA, CO-FOUNDER AND PAST



- 1 PRESIDENT, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUSAN G. KOMEN FOUNDATION. THANK
- 2 YOU SO MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

3

4 SUP. BURKE: YES, I WENT OUT LAST WEEK.

- 6 SPEAKER: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND THANK YOU FOR ALL
- 7 YOUR SUPPORT FOR THE LAST NINE YEARS. WITH ME TODAY IS DONNA
- 8 HOKE, CO-CHAIR OF THE RACE AND CAROL JOSEPH, WHO IS ON THE
- 9 RACE COMMITTEE. AND THIS YEAR, WE MOVED OUR DAY TO FEBRUARY,
- 10 AS YOU'VE NOTICED AND WE MOVED OUT OF NOVEMBER FOR MANY, MANY
- 11 REASONS BUT ONE OF THE REASONS THAT WE ARE HERE TODAY AND WE
- 12 ARE VERY THANKFUL TO BE HERE, IS THAT, WITH THE LOOMING CUTS
- 13 IN WOMEN'S HEALTH ACROSS THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE KOMEN
- 14 FOUNDATION HAS ITS PLACE TO PROVIDE FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 15 ANGELES COUNTY AND, IN FACT, IN OUR HISTORY NOW, GOING INTO
- 16 OUR NINTH YEAR, WE HAVE GIVEN OVER \$5 MILLION BACK TO THE
- 17 COUNTY FACILITIES, VARIOUS CLINICS, WORKSHOPS AND SO ON. THIS
- 18 YEAR PARTICULARLY, WE HAVE GIVEN TO FIVE MAJOR HOSPITALS AND
- 19 CLINICS FOR WOMEN UNDER 40 FOR DIAGNOSIS AND SCREENING WHERE
- 20 THERE ARE NO STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING FOR THESE WOMEN. SO WE
- 21 ARE VERY PLEASED AND PROUD TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. AND,
- 22 SUPERVISOR BURKE, FOR YOU, I HAVE HOT OFF THE PRESSES OUR
- 23 BRAND NEW RESOURCE GUIDE FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IN
- 24 BREAST HEALTH FROM PARTNER FOR PROGRESS AND THE CANCER



- 1 DETECTION SERVICE, WHICH WE SUPPORT IN THE PRODUCTION OF THIS
- 2 PARTICULAR RESOURCE GUIDE. SO THERE YOU GO.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND WE ARE GOING TO MAKE SURE
- 5 THIS GETS OUT TO ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO MUST HAVE IT AND WHO
- 6 NEED IT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK. THANK
- 7 YOU. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS. [ INDISTINCT
- 8 CONVERSATION ]

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I'D LIKE TO ASK CHRISTINA KELLY TO
- 11 COME FORWARD. CHRISTINA IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE LUPUS
- 12 INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION AND ALSO C.H.P. OFFICERS RENEE
- 13 GALINDO AND ARMANDO PRODOMO. TODAY, IT'S OUR PRIVILEGE TO
- 14 PROCLAIM OCTOBER AS LUPUS AWARENESS MONTH AND ENCOURAGE
- 15 EVERYONE'S PARTICIPATION IN THE UPCOMING LUPUS RACE FOR LIFE,
- 16 AT LA MIRADA REGIONAL PARK. LUPUS IS A VERY COMPLEX, LIFE-
- 17 THREATENING DISEASE THAT AFFECTS OVER A HUNDRED THOUSAND
- 18 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIANS, MANY OF WHOM COULD BE YOUR WIFE,
- 19 MOTHER, FRIEND, CO-WORKER, DAUGHTER, SON AND THE LIST GOES ON.
- 20 FOR 23 YEARS, LUPUS INTERNATIONAL HAS PROVIDED EDUCATION AND
- 21 SUPPORT FOR THOSE AFFLICTED WITH THIS DISEASE. AND I THINK
- 22 THIS IS ONE FACT THAT PEOPLE JUST DON'T KNOW ABOUT LUPUS BUT
- 23 MORE PEOPLE HAVE LUPUS THAN A.I.D.S., CEREBRAL PALSY, MULTIPLE
- 24 SCLEROSIS, SICKLE CELL ANEMIA, AND CYSTIC FIBROSIS COMBINED.
- 25 IT IS A DISEASE THAT HAS NO BOUNDARIES. SOMEONE YOU KNOW OR I



- 1 KNOW MAY HAVE LUPUS. SO, ON BEHALF MY COLLEAGUES AND THIS
- 2 BOARD AND THE 10 MILLION RESIDENTS OF OUR GREAT COUNTY, WE
- 3 WANT TO PROCLAIM OCTOBER LUPUS AWARENESS MONTH AND INVITE
- 4 EVERYONE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE RACE FOR LIFE AT LA MIRADA
- 5 REGIONAL PARK. CHRISTINA? [ APPLAUSE ]

6

- 7 CHRISTINA KELLY: I'D LIKE TO THANK SUPERVISOR KNABE FOR HAVING
- 8 SUPPORTED LUPUS INTERNATIONAL FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS BEFORE THE
- 9 LUPUS RACE FOR LIFE CAME INTO EFFECT AND HE WAS ONE OF THE
- 10 FIRST ONES TO JUMP ON BOARD AND SUPPORT THIS AND WE'RE
- 11 GRATEFUL TO THE OTHER SUPERVISORS FOR SUPPORTING LUPUS
- 12 AWARENESS MONTH BECAUSE IT IS A SERIOUS DISEASE. AND THE
- 13 GENTLEMAN HERE FROM THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL, OFFICER
- 14 RENEE GALINDO AND ARMANDO-- OFFICER ARMANDO PRODOMO HAVE BEEN
- 15 EXTRAORDINARY IN HELPING OUR CAUSE. COMMISSIONER-- THE NEWLY
- 16 COMMISSIONED CHIEF MIKE BROWN OF THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
- 17 HAS BEEN A PIONEER WITH US, INCLUDING SHERIFF BACA AND THE
- 18 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. THEY'VE BEEN EXTRAORDINARY AND WE'RE
- 19 GRATEFUL TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY FOR THEIR CONTINUED SUPPORT AND
- 20 ENCOURAGE YOU TO COME OUT TO THE RACE AND STAND BEHIND US FOR
- 21 THE HUNDRED THOUSAND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIANS THAT BRAVELY LIVE
- 22 WITH THIS DISEASE. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. NOW I'M GOING TO CALL UP DR.
- 25 MARLENE LUGG, WHO CO-CHAIRS OUR COUNTY'S IMMUNIZATION



- 1 COALITION; MONICA MURPHY, WHO IS THE NURSING DIRECTOR FOR
- 2 IMMUNIZATION PROGRAM; AND DR. FIELDING, WHO IS OUR DIRECTOR OF
- 3 PUBLIC HEALTH. TODAY, EARLIER, THIS BOARD PROCLAIMED THE WEEK
- 4 OF SEPTEMBER 26TH THROUGH OCTOBER 2ND AS ADULT IMMUNIZATION
- 5 WEEK. WE ALSO DECLARED THE ENTIRE MONTH OF OCTOBER AS THE
- 6 KICKOFF MONTH FOR THE COUNTY'S ANNUAL INFLUENZA CAMPAIGN.
- 7 IMMUNIZATIONS ARE ONE OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAYS OF PROTECTING
- 8 AGAINST DISEASES. ADULTS ARE MORE LIKELY THAN CHILDREN TO
- 9 DEVELOP SERIOUS, SOMETIMES FATAL, COMPLICATIONS. MANY OF THESE
- 10 DISEASES ARE PREVENTABLE WITH THE RIGHT VACCINE. SO, IN LOS
- 11 ANGELES COUNTY, INFLUENZA, COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE FLU, RESULTS
- 12 EACH YEAR IN MANY THOUSANDS OF HOSPITALIZATIONS AND MEDICAL
- 13 COMPLICATIONS, INCLUDING, UNFORTUNATELY, DEATH EACH YEAR. IT
- 14 DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THIS WAY BECAUSE THERE IS A SAFE AND
- 15 EFFECTIVE VACCINE TO PREVENT THIS VIRUS. SO WE'RE GOING TO
- 16 PRESENT TO DR. LUGG, FIRST OF ALL, THE ADULT IMMUNIZATION WEEK
- 17 AND THEN TO MONICA, OUR COUNTY'S INFLUENZA PREVENTION
- 18 CAMPAIGN. DR. LUGG?

- 20 DR. MARLENE LUGG: GOOD MORNING. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE
- 21 SUPERVISORS FOR YOUR SUPPORT OF OUR MISSION TO PROVIDE AND
- 22 PROMOTE IMMUNIZATIONS AND TO REDUCE THE DISPARITIES IN
- 23 IMMUNIZATIONS AMONG LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS. THE
- 24 IMMUNIZATION COALITION OF L.A. COUNTY CONSISTS OF OVER A
- 25 HUNDRED ORGANIZATIONS AND WE'RE ALL WORKING TOWARDS THAT END.



- 1 ON BEHALF OF MY BOARD OF DIRECTORS, I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU AND
- 2 WE LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR CONTINUED SUPPORT OF OUR MISSION TO
- 3 ENHANCE THE HEALTH OF LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS. THANK YOU. [
- 4 APPLAUSE 1

5

- 6 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: WE HAVE A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO REDUCE
- 7 THE TOLL FROM A VACCINE PREVENTABLE DISEASE. THIS YEAR, THERE
- 8 IS MORE VACCINE THAN THERE EVER WAS AND IT'S A GOOD IDEA FOR
- 9 EVERYBODY TO BE VACCINATED AGAINST INFLUENZA. IF WE DO THAT,
- 10 WE CAN GREATLY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF DEATHS FROM THIS
- 11 PREVENTABLE DISEASE, AT LEAST THE COMPLICATIONS, THE SERIOUS
- 12 COMPLICATIONS ARE PREVENTABLE. OUR COUNTY HAS SCHEDULED 189
- 13 CLINICS FROM OCTOBER THROUGH DECEMBER FOR THOSE WHO DON'T HAVE
- 14 OTHER SOURCES OF CARE. I WOULD POINT OUT ALSO THAT THIS YEAR,
- 15 FOR THE FIRST TIME, IT'S NOT ONLY ENCOURAGED BUT STRONGLY
- 16 RECOMMENDED FOR YOUNG CHILDREN BETWEEN THE AGES OF SIX AND 23
- 17 MONTHS. ANY CHILD THAT AGE SHOULD GET A FLU SHOT. SO I THINK
- 18 WE CAN MAKE PROGRESS, THERE IS PLENTY OF VACCINE AND WE'RE
- 19 REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO A VERY SUCCESSFUL CAMPAIGN. THANK
- 20 THE SUPERVISORS VERY MUCH FOR THEIR VERY STRONG SUPPORT. [
- 21 APPLAUSE ]

- 23 MONICA MURPHY: THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROMOTE OUR
- 24 INFLUENZA PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT
- 25 YOU GET YOUR FLU SHOTS ALSO.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, WOW! WOW. THAT'S VERY GOOD. I MEAN, IF
- 3 WE-- YEAH, YOU JUST COME ON BACK AND GIVE US ALL OUR SHOTS.
- 4 WE'RE READY. I MEAN, WE GET SHOT EVERY TUESDAY, SO WHAT'S A--
- 5 NO.

6

7 DR. JONATHAN FIELDING: THIS IS ONLY ONE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ANYWAY, OKAY, THANK YOU.
- 10 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, THIS IS THE
- 13 OPPORTUNITY THAT WE ALSO GET TO RECOGNIZE EIGHT OF THE
- 14 OUTSTANDING SCHOOLTEACHERS IN THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT
- 15 FOR THE YEAR 2004/2005 SCHOOL YEAR AND THIS IS THROUGH THE
- 16 23RD ANNUAL COMPETITION ORGANIZED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 17 OFFICE OF EDUCATION. NOW, THESE EDUCATORS HAVE BEEN JUDGED BY
- 18 THEIR PEERS AS TO BE THOSE WHO ARE BEST IN PUBLIC EDUCATION
- 19 FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR. SEVERAL WITH US TODAY WILL COMPETE IN
- 20 NOVEMBER FOR CALIFORNIA'S TEACHER OF THE YEAR. THE FIVE
- 21 FINALISTS IN THE STATE CONTEST WILL THEN BE CONSIDERED FOR
- 22 THOSE NATIONAL HONORS. WE LOOK FORWARD TO HONORING THOSE NEXT
- 23 YEAR. JOINING WITH ME THIS MORNING IS DR. DARLENE ROBLES,
- 24 SUPERINTENDENT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION AND
- 25 THE FIRST RECIPIENT, WHO IS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE CHAIR AT MARK



- 1 KEPLE HIGH SCHOOL IN THE ALHAMBRA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT IS
- 2 GENE MCINTYRE, WHO IS ATTENDING WITH MELISSA HEDIFF AND STEVEN
- 3 CORNFIELD. GENE? [ APPLAUSE ]

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FROM THE BREDHEART ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 6 IN-- OR SCHOOL IN THE BURBANK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT IS LINDA
- 7 STRONG, WHO HAS CHOSEN TO COMPETE FOR CALIFORNIA TEACHER OF
- 8 THE YEAR. ALONG WITH HER IS OUR PRINCIPAL, DIANE BERGER AND
- 9 JOE SHAPIRO AND HER PARENTS, MARY AND WAYNE STRONG. [ APPLAUSE
- 10 ]

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FROM THE CASTAIC MIDDLE SCHOOL IN THE CASTAIC
- 13 UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT, WE HAVE ALLISON HUNSICKER. SHE'S BEEN
- 14 CHOSEN TO COMPETE FOR CALIFORNIA TEACHER OF THE YEAR AS WELL.
- 15 [ APPLAUSE ]

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: KIM LAVENGER, WHO IS THE FOURTH GRADE
- 18 INSTRUCTOR AT THOMAS A. EDISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN THE
- 19 GLENDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, WHO HAS ALSO CHOSEN TO
- 20 COMPETE FOR CALIFORNIA TEACHER OF THE YEAR. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIRD GRADE INSTRUCTOR, REBECCA VUBINA AT
- 23 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN THE GLENDALE -- I SHOULD SAY THE GLENDORA
- 24 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND WITH HER IS PRINCIPAL TOM OR TIM

24

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



NORTHROP AND SHE'S ALSO CHOSEN TO COMPETE FOR CALIFORNIA 1 TEACHER OF THE YEAR. [ APPLAUSE ] 2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MATH INSTRUCTOR AT MESA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL 4 5 IN THE PALMDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT IS LINDA PERRY. [ APPLAUSE ] 6 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: KAREN BONSET, LANGUAGE ARTS AND READING 8 INSTRUCTOR AT HOLLENCREST MIDDLE SCHOOL IN THE WEST COVINA 9 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND WITH HER IS HER HUSBAND, TOM. [ 10 APPLAUSE ] 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND INSTRUCTOR OF ENGLISH, HISTORY, 12 INDEPENDENT PROJECTS, INSTRUCTOR FOR THE OPTIONS PROGRAM AT LA 13 CANADA HIGH SCHOOL IN THE LA CANADA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT IS 14 15 MARY JANE HOFFSTETER AND WITH HER IS HER HUSBAND, GLEN AND HER 16 DAUGHTER, JAMIE. [ APPLAUSE ] 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE 12-WEEK-OLD LITTLE 19 BOY. OH, NO, EXCUSE ME, LITTLE GIRL NAMED PENNY AND SHE IS A 20 TERRIER MIX WHO IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. A LITTLE TERRIER, SO ANYBODY WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT TERRY, HE'S LOOKING FOR A 21 22 HOME, YOU CAN CALL THE TELEPHONE NUMBER AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR 23 SCREEN. THOSE WHO ARE WATCHING ON TELEVISION, THAT TELEPHONE

NUMBER IS (562) 728-4644, OR THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WOULD



- LIKE TO ADOPT LITTLE TERRY. OKAY? 12 WEEKS OLD, LITTLE GIRL.
- 2 DON'T GET TOO NERVOUS NOW.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE ARE READY TO PROCEED WITH THE
- 5 AGENDA. OKAY. LET'S SEE. WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE AGENDA. I'M
- 6 GOING TO ASK WE PULL ONE-- I GUESS THERE'S SOME OTHER SCHEDULE
- 7 CONFLICTS-- PULL ONE ITEM OUT OF ORDER AND PROCEED WITH ITEM
- 8 NUMBER 24 BEFORE WE GO TO THE PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEM 24. WE
- 9 HAVE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, MR. RALPH MILLER, WHO IS SIGNED
- 10 UP TO SPEAK. [ APPLAUSE ]

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE HERE ON THE PUBLIC
- 13 HEARING ITEMS, WE WILL START TO GO TO SOME OF THE PUBLIC
- 14 HEARING ITEMS AS SOON AS WE FOLLOW WITH THIS ITEM HERE. EXCUSE
- 15 ME. I HAVE PAUL ROLLER SIGNED UP AS WELL AS SUE CLINE AND
- 16 BLANE MEEK. IF THE THREE OF YOU WOULD COME UP AND JOIN RALPH,
- 17 PLEASE. OKAY, RALPH. DO YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD?

- 19 RALPH MILLER: OKAY. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. GOOD MORNING,
- 20 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS RALPH MILLER. I'M PRESIDENT OF LOS
- 21 ANGELES COUNTY DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICERS UNION LOCAL 685. MY
- 22 UNION IS ALSO A MEMBER OF THE COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS AND
- 23 ALSO MY MEMBERS ARE MEMBERS OF THE CHOICEST PLAN. I'M ALSO THE
- 24 TREASURER OF THE COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS. I'M HERE TODAY TO
- 25 TALK ABOUT CREDIBILITY, SPECIFICALLY THE CREDIBILITY OF THE



- 1 COUNTY'S LARGEST HEALTHCARE PROVIDER, KAISER PERMANENTE. LAST
- 2 SUMMER, WHEN THE COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS WAS NEGOTIATING A
- 3 NEW THREE-YEAR CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY, WE, LIKE EVERYONE,
- 4 WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HEALTHCARE INFLATION. KNOWING THAT KAISER
- 5 HAS HISTORICALLY BEEN A FRONTRUNNER IN SETTING PREMIUMS DURING
- 6 THE RATE RENEWAL PROCESS, WE CALLED THE KAISER EXECUTIVE, WHO
- 7 HAS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS BEEN THE SENIOR PERSON ASSIGNED BY
- 8 KAISER TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY WITH THE COUNTY AND
- 9 ASKED HIM TO MEET WITH US. DURING THAT SERIES OF MEETINGS, WE
- 10 ASKED THE KAISER EXECUTIVE WHAT KAISER, NOT WHAT HE PERSONALLY
- 11 BUT WHAT KAISER EXPECTED IN TERMS OF RATE INCREASES OVER THE
- 12 NEXT FEW YEARS. WE ALSO TOLD THE KAISER AGENT THAT, TOGETHER,
- 13 WE WANTED TO DO SOME SMART PLANNING TO IMPROVE THE WELLNESS OF
- 14 OUR MEMBERS AND CURB INAPPROPRIATE UTILIZATION OF KAISER
- 15 FACILITIES AND THUS REDUCE COSTS IN THE FUTURE. WE PUT
- 16 TOGETHER AN ELABORATE PRESENTATION ABOUT E.R. UTILIZATION AND
- 17 THE CHALLENGES FACING MEMBERS WHO USE KAISER FACILITIES THAT
- 18 DO NOT HAVE AN URGENT CARE CENTER. SUPERVISORS, LET ME TELL
- 19 YOU WHAT THE EXECUTIVE, THE KAISER AGENT, TOLD US ON BEHALF OF
- 20 KAISER AND, DESPITE WHAT YOU HAVE SINCE BEEN TOLD BY KAISER,
- 21 WE HAD NO REASON TO BELIEVE THAT HE DID NOT SPEAK ON BEHALF OF
- 22 THE COMPANY. NUMBER ONE, KAISER-- AND THEY TOLD US THREE
- 23 THINGS, KAISER TOLD THE COALITION THAT WE DID NOT NEED TO BE
- 24 CONCERNED ABOUT DOUBLE DIGIT INCREASES DURING THE THREE-YEAR
- 25 TERM OF THE COALITION'S FRIENDS CONTRACT. SPECIFICALLY, THE



- 1 KAISER EXECUTIVES TOLD US, BECAUSE KAISER HAS EXPERIENCED A
- 2 LOSS OF ABOUT A HUNDRED THOUSAND MEMBERS IN CALIFORNIA, KAISER
- 3 HAS MADE A BUSINESS DECISION, A BUSINESS DECISION NOT AND I
- 4 REPEAT, NOT ON UTILIZATION EXPERIENCE BUT BASED ON A DESIRE TO
- 5 BUY BACK THEIR BOOKS OF BUSINESS TO ARTIFICIALLY HOLD DOWN--
- 6 HOLD RATES DOWN OVER THE FOLLOWING THREE YEARS. SPECIFICALLY,
- 7 KAISER SAID CLEARLY THAT RATE INCREASES WOULD BE BETWEEN FIVE
- 8 AND EIGHT PERCENT AND NO HIGHER. KAISER ALSO, NUMBER TWO, TOLD
- 9 THE COALITION THAT CO-PAYS DO NOT AFFECT UTILIZATION. CO-PAYS
- 10 DO NOTHING MORE THAN SHIFT COSTS FROM THE PREMIUM COLUMN TO
- 11 THE CO-PAY COLUMN. AND, NUMBER THREE, FINALLY, KAISER TOLD THE
- 12 COALITION THAT, THOUGH OUR ELABORATE PRESENTATION REGARDING
- 13 WELLNESS AND PLAN UTILIZATION WAS IMPRESSIVE, IT WAS
- 14 UNNECESSARY BECAUSE, (A) RATES ARE STAYING LOW AND, (B) CO-
- 15 PAYS ARE INEFFECTIVE. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY TOLD TO US TO SCRAP
- 16 OUR CREATIVE UTILIZATION WELLNESS PLAN THAT WE WERE INTENDING
- 17 TO PROPOSE TO THE COUNTY. WE DID AS THEY SUGGESTED BECAUSE WE
- 18 TRUSTED THEM, WE TRUSTED KAISER. BASED ON THIS, WE NEGOTIATED
- 19 A FRESH CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY THAT, AT A MINIMUM, COVERED
- 20 KAISER PREMIUMS FOR OUR MEMBERS. WE WERE PROUD OF THIS
- 21 CONTRACT AND WE TOLD OUR MEMBERS THAT WE WERE PROUD. WE WERE
- 22 ALSO PROUD TO TELL OUR MEMBERS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE NO NEW CO-
- 23 PAYS; I REPEAT, NO NEW CO-PAYS FOR THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT.
- 24 KAISER MADE THEIR PROMISE TO US AND WE MADE OUR PROMISE TO OUR
- 25 MEMBERS. SUPERVISOR, WE HAVE LIVED UP TO OUR PROMISE TO OUR



- 1 MEMBERS. WE, UNLIKE KAISER, ARE PEOPLE OF OUR WORD. WE HAVE
- 2 NOTHING-- WE ARE NOTHING TO OUR MEMBERS WITHOUT OUR
- 3 CREDIBILITY. FINALLY, KAISER, HOWEVER, IS AN ENTIRELY
- 4 DIFFERENT STORY. KAISER HAS GONE BACK ON THEIR WORD. THEY'VE
- 5 SAID, "OOPS, WE DIDN'T MEAN WHAT WE SAID. IN FACT, THE GUY WHO
- 6 HAS REPRESENTED US AT THE COUNTY FOR YEARS DIDN'T EVEN HAVE
- 7 THE AUTHORITY TO SPEAK ON OUR BEHALF. OH AND BY THE WAY,
- 8 UNIONS, YOU CAN JOIN US IN GOING BACK ON OUR WORD BY GOING
- 9 BACK ON YOURS AND INCREASING CO-PAYS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE
- 10 CONTRACT." WE SAID NO WAY. AND OVER 6,000 OF OUR MEMBERS
- 11 SIGNED A PETITION HERE WHO ALSO SAY NO WAY. KAISER'S
- 12 CREDIBILITY IS SHOT AND THEY MUST WIN IT BACK. SUPERVISORS, AS
- 13 ONE OF THE COUNTY'S LARGEST VENDORS OF ANY KIND AND, YES,
- 14 SUPERVISORS, THEY WORK FOR YOU, YOU MUST TAKE STEPS TO ASSURE
- 15 THAT KAISER IS OPEN AND HONEST IN THE FUTURE. YOU MUST MOVE TO
- 16 FREEZE KAISER TODAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

- 20 SUE CLINE: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS SUE CLINE AND I'M A DEPUTY
- 21 PROBATION OFFICER. I WORK AT CHALLENGER. I'M AN ASK-ME MEMBER,
- 22 LOCAL 685 AND I'VE BEEN IN KAISER FOR ALMOST 22 YEARS. I'M A
- 23 SINGLE MOM. I'M VERY UPSET ABOUT THE HUGE KAISER INCREASE. AS
- 24 A SINGLE MOM, I'M GOING TO HAVE TO ESSENTIALLY TAKE A PAY CUT,
- 25 A PAY CUT I CANNOT AFFORD, TO KEEP MY FAMILY IN KAISER. THIS



- 1 MEANS THE DINNER THAT WE USUALLY SHARE ON FRIDAY NIGHT WON'T
- 2 HAPPEN, MY DAUGHTER'S BOOKS AT COMMUNITY COLLEGE WILL HAVE TO
- 3 BE PAID FOR ANOTHER WAY. SHE'LL HAVE TO HAVE A FULL-TIME JOB
- 4 AS WELL AS GOING TO SCHOOL. I JUST DON'T THINK I CAN AFFORD TO
- 5 STAY IN KAISER. I'M SERIOUSLY THINKING OF SWITCHING PLANS
- 6 DURING OPEN ENROLLMENT AND SO ARE MANY OF MY COWORKERS.
- 7 THEY'VE HAD ENOUGH. THERE'S OVER 5,000 IN THIS ONE. THIS IS
- 8 GOING TO CAUSE A DEATH SPIRAL IN THIS PLAN. KAISER IS ALREADY
- 9 COMPLAINING ABOUT LOSING MEMBERS AND THEIR BUSINESS DECISION
- 10 TO RAISE RATES BY MORE THAN 13% IS GOING TO CREATE EVEN MORE
- 11 PROBLEMS FOR THEM. HEALTHY PEOPLE LIKE ME ARE WALKING AWAY
- 12 FROM KAISER IN BIG NUMBERS AND THAT'S ONLY GOING TO CREATE A
- 13 BIGGER PROBLEM IN THE YEARS AHEAD. I DON'T SEE HOW KAISER CAN
- 14 SURVIVE IF YOU DON'T TAKE ACTION. AS A SIDE NOTE, WHEN I HEARD
- 15 KAISER WANTED TO INCREASE MY CO-PAYS FOR EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS
- 16 IN ORDER TO OFFSET THEIR INCREASES, I WAS EXTREMELY UPSET. IN
- 17 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY WHERE I LIVE, URGENT CARE IS CUT OFF AT
- 18 11:00, SO IF MY KIDS WAKE UP IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT SICK,
- 19 I HAVE TO GO SIT AT ANTELOPE VALLEY HOSPITAL FOR HOURS. I HAVE
- 20 NO ALTERNATIVE BUT TO GO THERE. I CAN'T GET AN APPOINTMENT AT
- 21 URGENT CARE MANY TIMES. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE GOOD
- 22 CARE THAT OUR BROTHERS AND SISTERS WORKING IN KAISER PROVIDE.
- 23 THIS IS A MANAGEMENT PROBLEM. OR SHOULD I SAY A MISMANAGEMENT
- 24 PROBLEM. IT'S RIDICULOUS. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

- 3 BLANE MEEK: SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 4 SPEAK TO YOU ON THIS MATTER. I'M BLANE MEEK. I'M COUNSEL TO
- 5 THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES. I ALSO
- 6 SERVE AS VICE CHAIR TO YOUR JOINT LABOR MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
- 7 IN THIS AREA, THE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. LET'S
- 8 TALK ABOUT THE NUMBERS. I KNOW SEVERAL OF YOU ARE VERY
- 9 FAMILIAR WITH THE WAY HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAMS ARE NORMALLY
- 10 RATED IN THE RENEWAL PROCESS. IN THIS INSTANCE, KAISER HAS TWO
- 11 COMPONENTS. ONE IS A COMMUNITY-BASED RATE, THEY'VE HAD IT FOR
- 12 A NUMBER OF YEARS. THAT WAS THE NORMAL SYSTEM IN WHICH THEY
- 13 TOOK THE GROUP THAT THE COUNTY, BY ITS SIZE, WAS IN AND RATED
- 14 IT AS TO WHAT THEIR COSTS WERE GOING TO BE AND KIND OF POOLED
- 15 THOSE COSTS. THEY HAVE ADDED A COMPONENT, AND THIS IS THE
- 16 COMPONENT AT ISSUE, BECAUSE THE COMMUNITY RATE IS ONLY 8.2%, I
- 17 BELIEVE, AND THEY'RE CHARGING 13.3. THE DIFFERENCE IS WHAT
- 18 THEY CALL UTILIZATION. NOW, ANY OTHER PLAN THAT YOU HAVE,
- 19 WHETHER IT BE CIGNA, WHETHER IT BE PACIFCARE, ANY OF THE
- 20 UNION-SPONSORED PLANS HAVE THE ABILITY TO BE AUDITED. YOU
- 21 LITERALLY CAN GO IN AND SAY, "OKAY, YOU'RE PROPOSING "X" AS AN
- 22 INCREASE. LET'S SEE YOUR CLAIMS. LET'S SEE YOUR EXPERIENCE,"
- 23 AND THEN YOU BREAK IT DOWN FROM THERE. YOU CAN VALIDATE THE
- 24 NUMBER. IN THIS INSTANCE, AS TO THE UTILIZATION FACTOR OF 5%,
- 25 YOUR OWN CONSULTANT AND, ADMITTEDLY, THE BIGGEST CONSULTANT IN



- 1 THE BUSINESS, MERCER, COULD NOT DO THAT FOR YOU. KAISER DID
- 2 NOT PRESENT THE VALIDATION FOR THAT 5%. THEY CLAIMED THAT IT
- 3 WAS THERE BUT THEY NEVER PRODUCED THE DOCUMENTATION THAT YOUR
- 4 CONSULTANTS WOULD EXPECT OF ANY OTHER INSURANCE COMPANY AND
- 5 THAT THEY GET FROM ALL OTHER INSURERS THAT THE COUNTY HAS.
- 6 THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE IN ACCEPTING THE
- 7 EXTRA 5% THAT THEY WANT TO TACK ON HERE. THE OTHER PROBLEM IS,
- 8 THEY'RE SAYING, WELL, WE'RE SICKER. WELL BUT OTHER AGENCIES OF
- 9 COMPARABLE POOLS ARE PAYING SUBSTANTIALLY LESS. FOR INSTANCE,
- 10 THE CITY IS AT 9.9%. L.A. UNIFIED IS AT 6.5%. THEY HAVE NO
- 11 DOCUMENTATION TO PROVE THAT WE ARE A SICKER POOL, YET WANT TO
- 12 CHARGE US AN EXTRA 5%. THANK YOU.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, BLANE. [ APPLAUSE ]

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PAUL?

13

15

17

18 PAUL ROLLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'M PAUL

- 19 ROLLER. I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE L.A. COUNTY
- 20 PROFESSIONAL PEACE OFFICER'S ASSOCIATION AND THE CHAIR OF THE
- 21 COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS THAT REPRESENTS 37,000 OF YOUR
- 22 MEMBERS AND YOUR EMPLOYEES. SUPERVISORS, YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT
- 23 KAISER'S BAD FAITH ACTIONS WITH THE COALITION AND YOU'VE HEARD
- 24 ABOUT THEIR INABILITY TO VALIDATE THE 13.3% RATE INCREASE THIS
- 25 YEAR. YOU'VE ALSO HEARD THAT THERE'S NO WAY THAT WE CAN



- I INCREASE OUR CO-PAYS DURING THE TERM OF THIS CONTRACT. WE NEED
- 2 YOUR HELP. WE ASK YOUR HELP TO GAIN CONTROL OF THE SITUATION.
- 3 KAISER IS TALKING ABOUT A 20% INCREASE NEXT YEAR ON TOP OF THE
- 4 13.3% THIS YEAR. WHEN WE SAT DOWN WITH THEIR VICE PRESIDENT
- 5 AND THEIR NEW PRESIDENT JUST A MONTH OR SO AGO, THEY TOLD US
- 6 THAT IT COULD GO AS HIGH AS 20% NEXT YEAR. WE CAN'T, YOU CAN'T
- 7 SIT IDLY BY AND LET THESE THINGS HAPPEN. THIS IS GOING TO HURT
- 8 THE TAXPAYERS AND IS CERTAINLY GOING TO HURT THE COUNTY
- 9 WORKERS. THE ONLY LEVERAGE YOU HAVE WITH KAISER IS THE
- 10 MARKETPLACE. AS A PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT COMPANY, YOU HAVE NO
- 11 ABILITY TO ASK FOR THEIR UTILIZATION NUMBERS. THEY CAN AGREE
- 12 TO OPEN THEIR BOOKS AND THEY MIGHT BUT THEY'LL PROBABLY ONLY
- 13 SHOW YOU WHAT THEY WANT YOU TO SEE AND NO MORE. THE FACT IS
- 14 THAT, UNTIL YOU GET THE UPPER HAND IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH
- 15 KAISER, THEY ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO CHARGE WHATEVER THEY
- 16 WANT. WOULD YOU ACCEPT THAT FROM ANY OTHER VENDOR THAT WORKS
- 17 FOR THIS COUNTY, MUCH LESS ONE TO WHOM THE COUNTY PAYS
- 18 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS A YEAR TO? SUPERVISORS, WE
- 19 URGE YOU TO AMEND THIS ITEM AND TO FREEZE KAISER ENROLLMENT IN
- 20 2005. WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR IS THAT YOU LET NO NEW HIRES GO
- 21 INTO THE KAISER PLAN AND NO ONE SWITCH OVER TO THE KAISER
- 22 PLAN. THIS IS NOT AND I REPEAT NOT UNPRECEDENTED. YOU DID THIS
- 23 IN THE LATE '80S, YOU FROZE KAISER FOR TWO YEARS AND IT
- 24 WORKED. BASICALLY, WE ONLY HAD TO END UP FREEZING KAISER FOR
- 25 ONE YEAR BECAUSE THEY CAME AROUND, THEY STEPPED BACK UP TO THE



- 1 TABLE AND THEY WERE MUCH MORE COOPERATIVE AND THE FREEZE WAS
- 2 LIFTED AFTER ONE YEAR. YES, THIS MAY BE A SHORT-TERM NEGATIVE
- 3 IMPACT ON KAISER ENROLLMENT BUT, QUITE FRANKLY, THEY'VE
- 4 CREATED THIS PROBLEM THEMSELVES BY PRICING THEMSELVES OUT OF
- 5 THE H.M.O. MARKET. THEY ARE TRULY IN A DEATH SPIRAL AND,
- 6 ALTHOUGH MARKET FORCES MAY EVENTUALLY BRING KAISER AROUND, WE
- 7 CAN'T WAIT THAT LONG. OUR MEMBERS WANT ONGOING ACCESS TO
- 8 KAISER. THEY LIKE KAISER AND MANY OF THEM ARE IN KAISER AND
- 9 THE GOOD CARE THAT THE UNION NURSES AND UNION DOCTORS PROVIDE
- 10 IN KAISER BUT THEY CAN'T AFFORD THESE HUGE UNACCOUNTABLE
- 11 INCREASES AND THEY'VE ASKED US TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. ON
- 12 BEHALF OF THE COALITION OF COUNTY UNIONS AND ITS 37 MEMBERS--
- 13 37,000 MEMBERS, WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. THANKS A LOT. [
- 14 APPLAUSE ]

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN? MAY I ASK A QUESTION?

17

18 SUP. BURKE: SUPERVISOR...

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR BURKE, THEN SUPERVISOR
- 21 ANTONOVICH THEN SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: I WANTED TO JUST...

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WAIT.



1
2 SUP. MOLINA: OH, I'M SORRY.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SORRY. SUPERVISOR BURKE FIRST, THEN MIKE
- 5 AND THEN YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I THINK THAT ANYONE WHO DOES
- 8 BUSINESS WITH THE COUNTY SHOULD BE WILLING TO PROVIDE ALL OF
- 9 THE INFORMATION THAT'S UTILIZED IN TERMS OF THEIR RATES. IT
- 10 SEEMS TO ME THAT'S A BOTTOM LINE, THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE THE
- 11 DETAILED INFORMATION AS IT RELATES TO EXACTLY THOSE RATES. AND
- 12 I UNDERSTAND RATES ARE GOING UP EVERYWHERE BUT THIS IS-- WE'RE
- 13 A BIG CUSTOMER AND WE PROVIDE THEM WITH A LOT OF REVENUE AND
- 14 THESE ARE TOUGH DECISIONS THAT EVERYONE HAS TO MAKE AS FAR AS
- 15 DOING BUSINESS WITH THE COUNTY AND THERE HAS TO BE SOME BOTTOM
- 16 LINE IN TERMS OF DOING BUSINESS WITH THE COUNTY AND THAT
- 17 SHOULD INCLUDE GIVING ALL OF THE INFORMATION IN TERMS OF--
- 18 THAT THEY USE IN TERMS OF THEIR RATE STRUCTURES, AS FAR AS I'M
- 19 CONCERNED.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MIKE?

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: LET ME ASK A OUESTION BECAUSE I APPRECIATE WHERE
- 24 YOU'RE COMING FROM AND THIS IS A CONCERN THAT WE'VE BEEN
- 25 RAISING FOR A LONG TIME IS THE ESCALATING COST OF HEALTHCARE,



- 1 NOT JUST IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR, AS WE ARE AND THE CONCERNS THAT
- 2 WE'RE GOING INTO A DEFICIT EVERY SINGLE YEAR. BUT THE PRIVATE
- 3 INSURANCE COMPANIES AS WELL, ALL OF US WHO HAVE OUR OWN
- 4 PRIVATE INSURANCE ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE ESCALATING
- 5 COSTS. BUT YOUR PROPOSAL THAT YOU'RE MAKING, YOU KNOW, I LIKE
- 6 A BOLD MOVE BUT IT ALSO INTIMIDATES ME SOMEWHAT. CAN YOU JUST
- 7 TELL ME A LITTLE BIT MORE? I DO THINK WE SHOULD USE OUR
- 8 LEVERAGE. I THINK THAT'S ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL BUT YOU'RE
- 9 SAYING TO FREEZE ALL NEW EMPLOYEES OR ANYONE WHO WANTS TO
- 10 CHANGE OVER TO KAISER, TO NOT PERMIT THAT TO HAPPEN. WHAT
- 11 WOULD THAT MEAN? I MEAN, HOW DO YOU SEE THAT SCENARIO WORKING
- 12 OUT? WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN?

13

16

19

14 PAUL ROLLER: WELL, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WHAT IT WOULD MEAN IS

15 THAT NO ONE WOULD BE ABLE TO OBVIOUSLY GO INTO KAISER AND...

17 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS, I MEAN, LITERALLY, I KNOW

18 WHAT THAT MEANS, BELIEVE ME.

20 PAUL ROLLER: WHAT THAT WOULD MEAN FOR-- OKAY. WHAT WOULD IT

- 21 MEAN FOR KAISER IS THAT THEY WOULD GET A YEAR OLDER, THAT
- 22 THERE WOULD BE NO NEW FOLKS GOING IN. AN H.M.O., AS I
- 23 UNDERSTAND IT, IS MOST SUCCESSFUL WHEN THEY GET YOUNGER,
- 24 HEALTHIER PEOPLE AND THAT'S WHAT HELPS THE WHOLE PLAN FUNCTION
- 25 PROPERLY. IT WOULD MAKE KAISER UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE SERIOUS



- 1 ABOUT THESE THINGS, THAT WHEN THEY CAME AND PROMISED US THE 5%
- 2 INCREASE IN '05 AND NO MORE THAN SINGLE DIGITS IN '06, THAT WE
- 3 EXPECT THEM TO MEET THOSE COMMITMENTS THAT THEY MADE TO US. SO
- 4 IT WOULD PUT PRESSURE ON THEM, JUST LIKE IT DID IN, I BELIEVE,
- 5 1989, TO GET THEM TO COME AROUND AND LOWER THEIR RATES AND BE
- 6 MORE RESPONSIVE TO THE COUNTY.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: AND FROM-- AS-- FROM A POINT OF VIEW OF AN
- 9 EMPLOYEE WHO WE GIVE "OPTIONS" OR CHOICES, HOW DO YOU FEEL
- 10 THEY WOULD FEEL ABOUT THAT KIND OF LIMITATION, EVEN THOUGH,
- 11 AGAIN, THEY-- IT'S-- I GUESS WHAT I'M INTERESTED IN IS I'M
- 12 INTERESTED IN DOING IT BUT IT'S JUST BEEN PROPOSED TO ME, AS
- 13 YOU JUST DID AND I WOULD LOVE US TO HAVE THE LEVERAGE, NOT
- 14 JUST WITH KAISER BUT WITH ALL OF THEM. I'VE BEEN CONCERNED
- 15 ABOUT CO-PAYS AND CONCERNED ABOUT ESCALATING COSTS WITHOUT
- 16 JUSTIFICATION. THEY DON'T TELL US AND WE'RE THE BIGGEST
- 17 EMPLOYER IN TOWN AND THE BIGGEST GORILLA, THEY SHOULD BE AT
- 18 LEAST LEVELING WITH US. BUT I'M CONCERNED AS TO WHAT DOES THAT
- 19 DO FOR THAT EMPLOYEE WHO ENJOYS THE KAISER PLAN AND NOW COMES
- 20 IN AND DOESN'T HAVE THAT OPTION?

- 22 PAUL ROLLER: WELL, WE'RE NOT SUGGESTING THAT THE FOLKS THAT
- 23 ARE IN KAISER HAVE TO MOVE OUT. THEY CAN STAY IN. WHAT WE'RE
- 24 SUGGESTING IS THAT NO NEW HIRES GO INTO KAISER FOR '05 AND
- 25 THAT NO ONE BE ALLOWED TO MOVE FROM ANOTHER PLAN INTO KAISER.

1

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



2 SUP. MOLINA: AS AN OPTION, ISN'T ONE OF THE CHEAPEST 3 OPTIONS... 4 5 PAUL ROLLER: NO. 6 7 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY, THE LEAST EXPENSIVE OPTION? 8 BLAINE MEEK: NOT ANY MORE. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: NOT ANY MORE? 12 13 BLAINE MEEK: NOT ANYMORE. 14 15 SUP. MOLINA: SO THAT... 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE PROBLEM, SUPERVISOR AND WE HAVE PLENTY OF 17 18 EXPERTS AROUND HERE, I'M NOT ONE OF THEM, IS, IF YOU PREVENT 19 THE YOUNGER PEOPLE FROM GOING INTO A PLAN, THE PLAN IS GOING 20 TO BECOME EVEN MORE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE YOU HAVE THE SICKER PEOPLE IN THE PLAN. SO WE DON'T SUPPORT THEIR RECOMMENDATION. 21 22 WE ARE VERY SYMPATHETIC WITH THE CONCERNS THAT THEY HAVE, 23 HOWEVER BUT IT WOULD EVENTUALLY LEAD TO A PLAN THAT WAS UNAFFORDABLE FOR ANYONE. SO YOU NEED TO BE ABLE TO BRING NEW 24 25 PEOPLE INTO A PLAN.



- 2 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. SO LET'S SAY THAT THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY
- 3 PUT US IN A POSITION TO REALLY LEVERAGE BUT THE REALITY IS IS
- 4 THAT I DO THINK THAT WE NEED TO BRING THEM TO THE TABLE AND
- 5 THERE HAS TO BE MORE DIRECT, STRAIGHTFORWARD DEALING ABOUT
- 6 THESE ESCALATING COSTS. AND I KNOW EVERYBODY CAN SAY IT'S
- 7 EVERYTHING ELSE BUT AS YOU AND I HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS ON A
- 8 REGULAR BASIS, I AM VERY CONCERNED AS TO WHAT'S GOING ON IN
- 9 THE PRIVATE PAY INSURANCE. AND, AS THE LARGEST EMPLOYER, WE
- 10 SHOULD HAVE SOME LEVERAGE AND WE'RE NOT USING IT AT ALL. AND I
- 11 DON'T WANT TO PENALIZE KAISER OR PENALIZE EMPLOYEES, BUT I DO
- 12 WANT TO CREATE AND NOT JUST FOR KAISER, FOR EVERY SINGLE ONE
- 13 OF US. THEY OWE US A DUTY TO TELL US WHY THESE ESCALATING
- 14 COSTS. I MEAN, I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHERE MY CO-PAY GOES THREE-
- 15 QUARTERS OF THE TIME AND I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE-- YOU KNOW,
- 16 IF I VISIT THE DOCTOR 22 TIMES IN ONE YEAR, THAT SHOULD BE,
- 17 YOU KNOW, A DIFFERENCE IN SOMEBODY THAT DIDN'T, SO THE CO-PAY
- 18 IS SUPPOSED TO-- I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT'S SUPPOSED TO
- 19 DISCOURAGE ME FROM VISITING THE DOCTOR BUT, AT THE SAME TIME,
- 20 I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT NECESSARILY IS WORKING OUT BECAUSE
- 21 NOBODY HAS GIVEN US ANY CHARTING OR ANY INFORMATION ABOUT
- 22 WHETHER CO-PAY IS A DETERRENT TO THOSE PEOPLE WHO VISIT THE
- 23 DOCTOR OFTEN, OR IS IT REALLY HELPING DEFRAY THE COST? IT'S
- 24 SORT OF OUT THERE AND WE KEEP BEING TOLD IT'S GONNA-- WE KEEP
- 25 BRINGING IT UP AND MAKING IT LARGER BUT IT'S NOT NECESSARILY



- 1 BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF THAT PREMIUM. AND SO I GUESS I'M
- 2 ASKING YOU, THEIR PROPOSAL MAY BE NOT THE BEST WAY TO GO BUT
- 3 HOW IS IT THAT WE DO GAIN MORE LEVERAGE AND HAVE MORE DIRECT
- 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND BRING THEM TO THE TABLE TO DISCUSS HOW
- 5 THESE ESCALATING COSTS-- I MEAN, THERE'S GOING TO BE A POINT
- 6 IN TIME WHERE IT'S GOING TO BE TOTALLY UNAFFORDABLE FOR ALL OF
- 7 US. SO WHAT DO WE DO?

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SUPERVISOR, I THINK I'M GOING TO DEFER. TWO OF
- 10 YOUR COLLEAGUES HAVE MOTIONS TODAY THAT ARE GOING TO ADDRESS
- 11 THAT ISSUE, SO I WOULD DEFER TO THEM BUT THE FIRST THING IS TO
- 12 FIND OUT WHAT IN THE HELL IS GOING ON IN THESE ORGANIZATIONS,
- 13 BOTH FROM A RATE-SETTING STANDPOINT AND UTILIZATION AS WELL.
- 14 WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT EMPLOYEES ARE USING THE SYSTEM, HOW
- 15 THEY'RE USING IT AND THEN HOW CAN WE DEAL WITH THAT PART OF IT
- 16 AS WELL.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: DO WE ANALYZE THAT OR DO THEY ANALYZE THAT?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, WE DON'T GET THE DATA. SO THE MOTIONS, I
- 21 THINK, ARE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I AGREE WITH YOU, SUPERVISOR. I MEAN, AT
- 24 LEAST AT SOME POINT, WE NEED TO BE A FACILITATOR OF TRYING TO
- 25 BRING THE FOLKS TO THE TABLE HERE TO JUSTIFY WHATEVER,



- 1 PARTICULARLY, IF, YOU KNOW, THEY FEEL STRONGLY THAT THEY HAVE
- 2 THIS HANDSHAKE AGREEMENT THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT THEY WERE GOING
- 3 TO BE ABLE TO WORK WITH YOU, AND IT'S TOTALLY UNJUSTIFIED. I
- 4 KNOW THERE'S A COUPLE OF MOTIONS, I THINK...

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. I DO HAVE A MOTION I'VE PASSED OUT AND,
- 7 BASICALLY...

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME. CAN I JUST-- I FORGOT.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: OH, MIKE. OH, SURE. SURE.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: GOING BACK, ONE SUGGESTION, THAT I'VE MADE IN
- 16 THE PAST, IF ALL OF THE EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONS COULD JOIN US
- 17 AS ONE OR WHATEVER FOR A BETTER ECONOMY OF SCALE WHEN WE
- 18 NEGOTIATE FOR LOWER RATES FOR ALL OF THE INSURANCE COMPANIES
- 19 THAT YOU NEGOTIATE WITH WOULD BE A GOOD EFFORT OF REDUCING
- 20 COSTS FOR YOUR MEMBERS AND THAT'S SOMETHING YOU HAVE TO WORK
- 21 OUT AMONGST YOURSELVES BUT OUGHT TO BE ON THE TABLE IN FUTURE
- 22 NEGOTIATIONS. MR. JANSSEN, AGAIN, WHAT ASSURANCE DO YOU HAVE
- 23 THAT KAISER IS GOING TO ALLOW THEIR BOOKS TO BE OPENED? FOR
- 24 THAT IMPORTANT AUDIT?



- 1 ANGELA GEORGE: SUPERVISOR, WE HAVE BEEN HAVING DETAILED
- 2 MEETINGS WITH KAISER OVER THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS. WE WERE ABLE
- 3 TO GET, FOR THE FIRST TIME, INFORMATION ON THE COUNTY'S
- 4 UTILIZATION. IT'S NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION BUT KAISER HAS
- 5 AGREED, IN A WRITTEN LETTER TO US, TO ALLOW US TO WORK WITH
- 6 THEM, TO GET THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED TO DEVELOP RATES IN
- 7 THE FUTURE, AS WELL AS ANALYZE THE UTILIZATION BY OUR
- 8 EMPLOYEES. IF WE KNOW WHAT SERVICES THEY'RE UTILIZING, IF WE
- 9 KNOW WHAT PRESCRIPTION DRUGS THEY'RE UTILIZING, THEN WE CAN
- 10 MAKE A DETERMINATION HOW TO COME BACK AND MAYBE PREPARE A
- 11 WELLNESS PROGRAM FOR THEM IN ORDER TO LOWER THEIR COSTS. SO
- 12 KAISER HAS AGREED TO OPEN UP THE RECORDS TO US, WORK WITH US
- 13 SO WE GET A BETTER HANDLE EARLY ON AND THIS PROCESS IS GOING
- 14 TO START IMMEDIATELY.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- 17 HAS A PROVISION IN THEIR CONTRACT THAT THEY WOULD HAVE FULL
- 18 ACCESS TO KAISER INFORMATION. IS THAT CORRECT? AND DOES THIS
- 19 CONTRACT BEING PROPOSED TODAY HAVE THAT SAME LANGUAGE?

- 21 ANGELA GEORGE: I DON'T KNOW WHAT PROVISION IS IN THE STATE OF
- 22 CALIFORNIA'S CONTRACT. I DO KNOW THAT THEY HAVE A VERY
- 23 ELABORATE SYSTEM THAT ANALYZES THE DATA FOR THEIR MEMBERS AND,
- 24 BASED ON THAT UTILIZATION, THEY HAVE A BETTER WAY OF
- 25 DETERMINING HOW THEIR MEMBERS ARE ACCESSING THE SYSTEM. AND



- 1 WE'VE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO SEE
- 2 EXACTLY WHAT THEY DO AND WHETHER THAT SYSTEM COULD BE
- 3 REPLICATED HERE IN THE COUNTY.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THEY HAVE BOILERPLATE INFORMATION THAT ALL
- 6 OF THEIR INFORMATION HAS TO BE AVAILABLE FOR AN AUDIT, COULD
- 7 WE THEN INCLUDE THAT AT THE COUNTY LEVEL?

8

- 9 ANGELA GEORGE: I'D HAVE TO SEE THE LANGUAGE TO MAKE THAT
- 10 DETERMINATION. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT IS BOILERPLATE
- 11 LANGUAGE. I THINK EACH CONTRACT IS-- PROBABLY HAS SPECIFIC
- 12 LANGUAGE FOR THE ENTITY THAT IT'S REPRESENTING BUT, LIKE I
- 13 SAID, I HAVE NOT SEEN THE STATE'S LANGUAGE.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW CAN WE INCLUDE THAT LANGUAGE IN THE
- 16 COUNTY CONTRACT?

17

- 18 ANGELA GEORGE: WE WILL REQUEST A COPY FROM THE STATE OF
- 19 CALIFORNIA.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE RATE INCREASES FOR 660 IS LESS THAN THE
- 22 COALITION. WHAT HAVE-- WHAT HAS THE 660 AGREED TO TO LOWER
- 23 THEIR RATES?



- 1 ANGELA GEORGE: 660 HAS AGREED TO PLAN MODIFICATIONS. AS FAR AS
- 2 RAISING THE COST FOR THE PRESCRIPTION DRUGS AND THE OFFICE
- 3 VISIT AS WELL AS AGREEING TO A 50-DOLLAR CO-PAY FOR EMERGENCY
- 4 ROOMS.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT HAVE BEEN OUR EFFORTS TO ENCOURAGE
- 7 KAISER TO INCREASE THEIR URGENT CARE IN ORDER TO DECREASE
- 8 THEIR EMERGENCY ROOM SERVICES?

9

- 10 ANGELA GEORGE: WE HAVEN'T ASKED KAISER TO INCREASE THEIR
- 11 URGENT CARE. WE ARE GETTING THE UTILIZATION ON HOW MANY OF OUR
- 12 EMPLOYEES ACTUALLY UTILIZE THE EMERGENCY ROOM TO SEE WHETHER
- 13 IT'S A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE EMPLOYEES FOR THE HIGHER RATE
- 14 AND IT APPEARS THAT IT'S NOT A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF
- 15 EMPLOYEES. ONE OF THE GOVERNING FACTORS IS, IN CERTAIN AREAS,
- 16 WHETHER THERE IS URGENT CARE AVAILABLE AND HOW LONG THAT
- 17 URGENT CARE IS AVAILABLE AND THEN WHERE WOULD THEY HAVE TO GO
- 18 FOR THE EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS? SO WE ARE LOOKING AT THAT DATA.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OUR BOARD LETTER STATES THAT KAISER'S HAD THE
- 21 HIGHEST PRICE H.M.O. FOR THE PAST FIVE YEARS. WHAT HAS THE
- 22 COUNTY DONE TO MONITOR THOSE INCREASES AND WHY DID WE ALLOW
- 23 THOSE INCREASES TO CONTINUE?



- 1 ANGELA GEORGE: I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT, ONLY THAT THIS
- 2 YEAR WAS THE FIRST YEAR THAT WE ACTUALLY GOT DETAILED
- 3 INFORMATION, NOT SUFFICIENT BUT MORE THAN WHAT WE'VE EVER
- 4 GOTTEN IN THE PAST ON THE RATE INCREASES AND WHAT'S DRIVING
- 5 THEM FROM KAISER. IN PAST YEARS, I DON'T KNOW WHY THE
- 6 INFORMATION WASN'T AVAILABLE OR WHY WE DID NOT REQUEST THE
- 7 INFORMATION.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE BOARD LETTER STATES THAT THE KAISER
- 10 INCREASE IS 13.3%. HOWEVER, THAT APPEARS TO BE ABOVE THE
- 11 COMMUNITY RATE INCREASE BY-- QUOTED TO MANY CUSTOMERS. WHY IS
- 12 THE COMMUNITY RATE CURRENTLY AND WHAT IS THE CURRENT COMMUNITY
- 13 RATE?

- 15 ANGELA GEORGE: I BELIEVE THE COMMUNITY RATE IS SOMEWHERE
- 16 AROUND 8%, 8 OR 9% AND WE ASKED THAT VERY QUESTION TO KAISER.
- 17 WE ALSO ASK IT OF OUR CONSULTANT AS WELL AS OTHER
- 18 JURISDICTIONS AND YOUR ACTUAL RATE FOR YOUR PLAN IS BASED ON
- 19 THE EMPLOYEES' UTILIZATION OF THE PLAN, SO IT HAS A LOT TO DO
- 20 WITH OUR EMPLOYEES AND WHETHER THEY'RE ACTUALLY SICKER THAN
- 21 THE COMMUNITY POPULATION AND WHAT IS DRIVING THOSE RATES AND
- 22 WHETHER OUR BENEFITS ARE RICHER THAN THE OTHER GOVERNMENTAL
- 23 ENTITIES. NOW, WE'VE LOOKED AT SEVERAL OF THEM. SOME OF THEM
- 24 ACTUALLY HAVE THE PLAN THAT IS BEING RECOMMENDED TODAY AS FAR
- 25 AS INCREASING THE CO-PAY AND THE 50-DOLLAR EMERGENCY ROOM



- 1 VISIT. OTHER ONES DO NOT. SO THEIR LOWER RATE IS BASED ON
- 2 UTILIZATION AND PLAN DESIGN.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THIS IS STILL OVER 5% HIGHER THAN THE
- 5 COMMUNITY RATE.

6

7 ANGELA GEORGE: THAT IS CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ARE YOU SAYING THAT THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES HAVE
- 10 ACCESSED THEIR SERVICES MORE THAN THE COMMUNITY HAS
- 11 PERCENTAGE-WISE?

12

- 13 ANGELA GEORGE: I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR SURE. THAT'S ONE OF THE
- 14 THINGS THAT WE'RE LOOKING INTO.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT HOW CAN WE ESTABLISH A RATE AND LOCK IN
- 17 THAT RATE IF WE DON'T HAVE ALL THE FACTS?

- 19 ANGELA GEORGE: WELL, PART OF IT WAS THE WHOLE RATE RENEWAL
- 20 PROCESS AND THE TIME THAT IT TAKES AND WHEN WE STARTED ASKING
- 21 FOR DETAILED INFORMATION FROM KAISER AND THE OTHER IS WE DID
- 22 GET SOME INFORMATION ON WHAT IS AVERAGE UTILIZATION BY MEMBERS
- 23 AND HOW OUR MEMBERS COMPARED TO OTHER MEMBERS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN
- 24 THE AREA OF-- I'M SORRY. I'M THINKING ABOUT IN THE AREA OF--
- 25 AS FAR AS WOMEN GOING INTO THE HOSPITAL AND GIVING BIRTH, THAT



- 1 THE AVERAGE STAY, THAT IS, THE COMMUNITY RATE IS BASED ON IS
- 2 BASED ON. IT'S BASED ON ONE DAY. THE AVERAGE STAY FOR COUNTY
- 3 EMPLOYEES ON THE INFORMATION THAT WE GOT WAS TWO DAYS. THAT'S
- 4 PART OF THE FACTOR THAT THEY USE IN DETERMINING WHAT OUR RATE
- 5 IS. THERE'S OTHER FACTORS, SO THEY HAVE A BASELINE THAT THEY
- 6 TAKE A LOOK AT.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE...

9

10 ANGELA GEORGE: I'M NOT...

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: DID YOU QUESTION IT?

13

14 ANGELA GEORGE: YES, WE DID.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AND WHAT WAS THE REASON THAT OURS WAS TWO AND
- 17 THEIRS WAS ONE?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SPEAK INTO THE MIKE.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: OH, NO, I'M JUST-- SHE'S JUST GIVING ME
- 22 INFORMATION BUT I'M JUST REACTING TO IT. SHE SAID THAT
- 23 DELIVERY FOR OTHER-- NON-COUNTY IS ONE DAY AND FOR A COUNTY
- 24 EMPLOYEES IS TWO DAYS.



- 1 ANGELA GEORGE: NO, I SAID THAT'S WHAT KAISER USES TO DEVELOP
- 2 THEIR RATE, IS THAT A AVERAGE STAY...

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW. AND SO YOU CHANNELING IT?

5

- 6 ANGELA GEORGE: YES, WE DID CHALLENGE IT, BECAUSE WE DIDN'T
- 7 FEEL THAT TWO DAYS WAS OUT OF THE NORM FOR A WOMAN DELIVERING
- 8 A CHILD. AND SO THAT'S WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING, IS GOING INTO
- 9 THEIR DATABASE AND DIGGING DOWN INTO THE INFORMATION AND
- 10 COMING UP WITH ANSWERS TO OUR NUMEROUS QUESTIONS AS TO WHY
- 11 THEY'RE DOING WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND, BUT IT'S ONE THING TO CHALLENGE
- 14 THEM, OKAY? IT'S LIKE WHEN SOME OF YOU FIND SOMEBODY THAT
- 15 SHORTCHANGES YOU, YOU CORRECT THEM BUT, YOU KNOW, THAT'S A
- 16 PRETTY OBVIOUS ONE, THOUGH.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK A QUESTION. IN THE '80S, THE
- 19 COUNTY DID IMPOSE A FREEZE ON HEALTH PLANS, SO WE'VE DONE
- 20 THAT. DO WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO IMPOSE A FEES-- FREEZE FOR NEW
- 21 HIRES?

- 23 ANGELA GEORGE: SUPERVISOR, I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND ANY
- 24 DOCUMENTATION THAT WE ACTUALLY FROZE OUT A HEALTH PLAN DURING



- 1 THE '80S. I KNOW THAT THE UNIONS WERE STATING THAT BUT OUR
- 2 STAFF WAS NOT ABLE TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO FREEZE NEW HIRES
- 5 OUT OF PARTICULAR HEALTH PLANS?

6

7 ANGELA GEORGE: YES, THE BOARD HAS THAT ABILITY TO DO THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN YOU HAVE A RECORD BEFORE THE COUNTY THAT
- 10 INDICATES THEIR RATES ARE 5% HIGHER, THERE'S BEEN A CONTINUED
- 11 PROBLEM WITH THEIR-- THE ECONOMICS OF THEIR SERVICES THAT
- 12 THEY'RE PROVIDING, WOULD THAT NOT GET THE ATTENTION OF KAISER
- 13 THAT THEY HAVE TO BE OPEN AND ACCOUNTABLE?

14

- 15 ANGELA GEORGE: YES, IT DID GET THEIR ATTENTION. THIS IS THE
- 16 FIRST TIME, LIKE I SAID, THAT WE ACTUALLY GOT SOMETHING IN
- 17 WRITING SAYING THAT THEY ARE WILLING TO OPEN UP THEIR RECORDS
- 18 TO US ON THE COUNTY'S UTILIZATION SO WE CAN MAKE A
- 19 DETERMINATION AS TO WHAT'S GOING ON.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WOULD SEEM, IF WE TOOK ACTION TO FREEZE
- 22 NEW HIRES ON KAISER ENROLLMENT, YOU WOULD HAVE BETTER
- 23 ACCOUNTABILITY FROM KAISER SO THAT THE NEXT ROUND, WHEN THEY
- 24 COME UP AGAIN IN THAT NEXT CYCLE, YOU WOULD HAVE FULL
- 25 PARTICIPATION BY BOTH SIDES SITTING DOWN AND GETTING THE



- 1 ACTUAL FACTS. AND THEN OUR PROJECTED INCREASES OR DECREASES
- 2 WOULD BE MORE COMPARABLE TO THE COMMUNITY RATE THAN THEY HAVE
- 3 BEEN WITH THE PROPOSAL BEFORE US TODAY OR DURING THE PAST FIVE
- 4 YEARS.

5

- 6 ANGELA GEORGE: POSSIBLY BUT IT CAN ALSO HAVE A COUNTER-
- 7 REACTION IN THAT THE NEWER EMPLOYEES THAT WERE GOING IN, IF
- 8 THEY'RE HEALTHIER EMPLOYEES, OKAY, PART OF THE REASON THAT
- 9 YOUR RATE IS BASED ON IS HAVING A COMBINATION OF HEALTHY
- 10 EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS NOT-SO-HEALTHY EMPLOYEES AND IT KIND OF
- 11 AVERAGES OUT YOUR COST. SO IF THE NEWER EMPLOYEES ARE
- 12 HEALTHIER, IF THEY'RE NOT GOING INTO THE KAISER PLAN, THEN THE
- 13 KAISER PLAN WILL HAVE YOUR MORE SICKER EMPLOYEES, GREATER
- 14 UTILIZATION ON THE SERVICES, AND IT CAN HAVE JUST THE OPPOSITE
- 15 EFFECT OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT I DON'T SEE THE COUNTY BEING THAT FAR OUT
- 18 OF SYNC WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS OF KAISER. I MEAN, OUR RATE IS,
- 19 YOU KNOW, 5% HIGHER, AND YET COUNTY EMPLOYEES GO THROUGH, YOU
- 20 KNOW, PHYSICALS AND WE DON'T HAVE A RECORD OF HIRING, YOU
- 21 KNOW, PEOPLE ON LIFE SUPPORT.

- 23 ANGELA GEORGE: [ LAUGHTER ] THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR BUT
- 24 WHAT WE DON'T HAVE IS THE DETAILED INFORMATION FROM OTHER
- 25 GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES. THEY NEED-- BESIDES KAISER OPENING UP



- 1 THEIR BOOKS FOR HOW OUR EMPLOYEES ARE UTILIZING THE SYSTEM AND
- 2 WHAT SERVICES THEY'RE UTILIZING, WE ALSO NEED TO KNOW WHAT THE
- 3 OTHER ENTITY'S POPULATION IS DOING AND THEN WE CAN GET A
- 4 COMPARISON BETWEEN THE TWO AND THAT'S NOT FROM KAISER, THAT'S
- 5 FROM THE OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES ALLOWING US TO GO THROUGH
- 6 THEIR DATA TO SEE IF OUR POPULATIONS ARE THE SAME, IF THEY'RE
- 7 DOING SOMETHING DIFFERENT THAT WE'RE NOT DOING, IF THEY'RE
- 8 EDUCATING THEIR EMPLOYEES BETTER THAN WE ARE, OR WE HAVE THE
- 9 SAME POPULATION AND THEN SOMETHING ELSE IS DRIVING THE RATE
- 10 DIFFERENCE.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: THERE'S NOTHING IN HERE. WHERE IS IT?

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, WHEN WE HAVE OUR MOTIONS, I'D LIKE TO
- 15 MOVE THAT WE DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND DIRECT THE HUMAN RESOURCES
- 16 TO INCORPORATE LANGUAGE IN ALL COUNTY CONTRACTS WITH KAISER
- 17 PERMANENTE WHICH ALLOWS ACCESS TO ALL INFORMATION PERTAINING
- 18 TO RATE INCREASES WHICH PROVIDES THE COUNTY WITH THE MECHANISM
- 19 TO AUDIT RATE INCREASES PUT FORWARD BY KAISER. SO I'D LIKE TO
- 20 MAKE THAT MOTION AND IF WE WOULD HAVE TWO OTHER VOTES, I'D
- 21 MAKE THE MOTION THAT WE PUT A FREEZE FOR NEW HIRES ON KAISER.
- 22 [ APPLAUSE ]

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR BURKE?



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I THINK THERE SHOULD BE KAISER AS WELL AS ALL THE
- 2 OTHER UNIONS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION BUT
- 3 LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT YOUR MOTION AS FAR AS THE FREEZE. ARE YOU
- 4 PROPOSING THE FREEZE FOR CERTAIN UNIONS? BECAUSE MY OUESTION
- 5 WOULD BE, 660 HAS WORKED OUT WHAT THEY BELIEVE IS A PLAN
- 6 THAT'S GOOD FOR THEIR WORKERS AND THEY HAVE WORKERS WHO MAY
- 7 REALLY NEED KAISER FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS OTHER THAN TO GO TO
- 8 OTHER HOSPITALS, SO I-- ARE YOU PROPOSING IN YOUR FREEZE THAT
- 9 IT WOULD APPLY ONLY TO THOSE UNIONS THAT ARE-- CERTAIN UNIONS
- 10 HERE WHO ARE THE ONES WHO HAVE NOT ENTERED INTO THE AGREEMENT
- 11 SUCH AS 660? BECAUSE I WOULD THINK, IF 660 HAS DETERMINED THEY
- 12 HAVE WHAT THEY BELIEVE IS BEST FOR THEIR WORKERS, THAT THEY
- 13 SHOULDN'T BE FORCED TO-- THAT THEIR NEW WORKERS SHOULD NOT BE
- 14 FORCED TO GIVE UP WHAT THEY HAVE DETERMINED.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD INCLUDE ALL UNIONS BUT IF, YOU KNOW,
- 17 IF WE DON'T HAVE TWO OTHER VOTES FOR ALL UNIONS, THEN THOSE
- 18 UNIONS EXCLUDING 660. I MEAN, WE'RE GOING IN A DIRECTION TO
- 19 RESTORE ACCOUNTABILITY TO KAISER AND, AGAIN, THOSE RATE
- 20 INCREASES HAVE JEOPARDIZED OUR EMPLOYEES' ABILITY TO PAY FOR
- 21 THEIR AFFORDABLE HEALTH INSURANCE.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I NEED TO CLARIFY SOMETHING HERE
- 24 FIRST. PARDON ME?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I JUST WANTED HIM TO REPEAT THE VERBAL
- 2 PART OF HIS MOTION.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I JUST-- YEAH BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY,
- 5 I THOUGHT, WERE YOU REFERRING TO REPRESENTATIVE OR NON-
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE? YOU CAN FREEZE OUT ON YOUR OWN. RIGHT?

7

- 8 PAUL ROLLER: WE WERE REFERRING TO THE FOLKS THAT ARE IN THE
- 9 CHOICEST PLAN, WHICH ARE THE FOLKS THAT ARE IN THE COALITION
- 10 OF COUNTY UNIONS.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND YOU CAN'T FREEZE OUT ON YOUR OWN THERE
- 13 THROUGH THE COALITION?

14

15 **BLAINE MEEK:** NO.

16

- 17 PAUL ROLLER: WE CANNOT STOP PEOPLE FROM JOINING KAISER UNLESS
- 18 YOU ALLOW THAT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MIKE, ZEV WANTED YOU TO REPEAT WHAT YOUR
- 21 VERBAL MOTION WAS.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M SAYING IF WE HAD TWO OTHER VOTES, I WOULD
- 24 SUPPORT A FREEZE ON NEW HIRES FOR KAISER. AND THEN SUPERVISOR
- 25 BURKE ASKED WOULD THAT INCLUDE ALL UNIONS? AND I SAID...



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY A FREEZE ON NEW HIRES?
- 3 YOU MEAN A FREEZE ON NEW HIRES GOING INTO KAISER?

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES, YES.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST-- I UNDERSTAND AND-- MAYBE I
- 8 DON'T UNDERSTAND. I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THE FRUSTRATION AND THE
- 9 OUTRAGE THAT'S EXPRESSED. I THINK A LOT OF US FEEL IT OUITE
- 10 DIRECTLY AND IF NOT, WE CERTAINLY CAN APPRECIATE WHAT'S GOING
- 11 ON. BUT DOES FREEZING ENTRY INTO KAISER HELP ADVANCE THE GOAL
- 12 THAT WE ALL WANT TO SEE ACHIEVED OR DOES IT HINDER US? DOESN'T
- 13 FREEZING, IF WE COULD FREEZE AND I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN BUT
- 14 SUPPOSE WE COULD FREEZE, PREVENT OUR EMPLOYEES FROM GOING INTO
- 15 KAISER, NEW EMPLOYEES FROM GOING INTO KAISER, DOES THAT--
- 16 DOESN'T THAT EXACERBATE THE PROBLEM, WHATEVER IT IS, THAT'S
- 17 BRINGING KAISER TO THIS POINT?

18

- 19 BLAINE MEEK: THE PROBLEM YOU HAVE, SUPERVISOR AND I'LL JUST BE
- 20 STRAIGHT UP-FRONT WITH YOU.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PLEASE.

- 24 SPEAKER: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY LEVERAGE WITH KAISER OTHER THAN
- 25 THAT. I MEAN, THE REALITY IS THAT AN H.M.O. LIKE KAISER



- 1 DEPENDS UPON YOU-- IT'S GETTING NEW EMPLOYEES IN, NEW FAMILIES
- 2 IN. IF YOU'RE GOING TO HURT KAISER, IF YOU'RE GOING TO GET
- 3 THEIR ATTENTION, IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE ANY LEVERAGE IN
- 4 KAISER, IT IS BY FREEZING KAISER AND THAT WAS PROVEN IN THE
- 5 PAST IN OUR EXPERIENCE. THERE IS A RISK TO IT, SURE, THAT
- 6 KAISER WILL COME BACK AND SAY, "OKAY, OUR EXPERIENCE HAS
- 7 WORSENED" BUT THE PROBLEM IS, THEY CAN'T PROVE THE EXPERIENCE
- 8 TODAY, HOW THE HELL ARE THEY GOING TO PROVE IT TOMORROW?

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THEY DON'T HAVE TO PROVE IT.

11

12 BLAINE MEEK: NO BUT I'M JUST SAYING...

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AT LEAST AS OF NOW, THEY HAVEN'T HAD TO
- 15 PROVE IT.

16

- 17 BLAINE MEEK: RIGHT. AND THE PROBLEM IS, I DON'T KNOW THAT EVEN
- 18 KAISER-- YOU KNOW, KAISER WAS BUILT ON A TOTALLY DIFFERENT
- 19 PREMISE, IN REALITY. IT WAS BASED ON THIS COMMUNITY RATE.
- 20 THEY'RE SHIFTING THROUGH UTILIZATION. TO DO THAT, THAT'S A
- 21 WHOLE CULTURE SHIFT. THEY COULD VERY WELL NOT EVEN HAVE THE
- 22 NUMBERS, THAT THESE ARE JUST GUESSTIMATES ON THEIR PART. IF
- 23 THEY DO HAVE THE NUMBERS, THEY'RE NOT SHARING THEM WITH US.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY ONLY QUESTION IS AND, YOU KNOW, I COME
- 2 FROM A HERITAGE WHICH COINED THE PHRASE THE MASONIC COMPLEX,
- 3 AND THOSE WHO KNOW AND THOSE WHO DON'T KNOW, IT'S JUST AS
- 4 WELL. [ LAUGHS ] AND I GET-- I KIND OF GET A LITTLE OF THE
- 5 MASONIC COMPLEX KIND OF A FEEL TO THIS, IN OTHER WORDS, THAT
- 6 YOU WITHHOLD MEMBERSHIP TO THEIR ORGANIZATION, TO THE PROGRAM,
- 7 YOU'RE WITHHOLDING FUNDS FROM THE PROGRAM. THE PEOPLE WHO ARE
- 8 EXISTING EMPLOYEES WHO ARE STUCK IN KAISER MAY SEE, FOR
- 9 WHATEVER THE REASONS, NOW THERE'S A QUOTE/UNQUOTE
- 10 JUSTIFICATION, RATIONALE TO EVEN FURTHER RAISE THE RATES
- 11 BECAUSE THEY'RE BEING STARVED OF NEW MEMBERS AND, YOU KNOW, IF
- 12 EVERYBODY'S WILLING TO GO DOWN TOGETHER, THEN WE'LL ALL GO
- 13 DOWN TOGETHER AND THAT MAY MEAN PAYING A PRICE, A QUANTIFIABLE
- 14 PRICE FOR IT. IF THAT'S-- AND I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING
- 15 ABOUT THE LEVERAGE AND I APPRECIATE THAT, I'D LIKE TO THINK
- 16 THAT WE HAVE-- SOME JAW BONING LEVERAGE, TOO BUT THE TRUTH IS,
- 17 IN THE REAL WORLD, AT THE END, IT'S ECONOMICS. I JUST WANT TO
- 18 MAKE SURE EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS
- 19 AND I KNOW YOU DO AND I JUST WANTED TO GET IT OUT IN THE OPEN,
- 20 BECAUSE AS EVERYBODY-- YOU MAY BE APPLAUDING A FURTHER
- 21 INCREASE IN YOUR OWN PREMIUMS, AND I'M NOT JUSTIFYING...

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, CAN I-- LET ME-- CAN I JUST MAKE
- 24 MAYBE A POTENTIAL COMPROMISE? SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOU HAVE A
- 25 PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ASK THE C.A.O. AND D.H.R. TO REQUEST



- 1 DETAILED INFORMATION, IT SAYS BASICALLY ON THE FUTURE BUT WHAT
- 2 I'M...

3

4 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT NOW.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU MAY WANT TO AMEND THAT, THAT THE
- 7 DETAILED INFORMATION ON THE CURRENT RATE INCREASES JUSTIFY
- 8 THOSE RATES, PROVIDE A REVIEW OF ASSOCIATED COSTS INCURRED BY
- 9 LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND REPORT BACK IN TWO WEEKS OR 30 DAYS OR
- 10 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND I THINK KAISER NEEDS TO KNOW AND THIS
- 11 IS A LITTLE BIT STRONGER THAN SOME OF THE OTHER SUGGESTIONS
- 12 HERE BUT IF THEY DON'T COOPERATE, I THINK THEY NEED TO KNOW
- 13 THERE'S A POTENTIAL THREAT, NOT ONLY OF FREEZING THIS REQUEST
- 14 BUT A COUNTYWIDE FREEZE. OKAY. I'M NOT PUTTING THAT IN THE
- 15 MOTION BUT, I MEAN, I THINK YOU HEAR THE CONVERSATION...

- 17 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'D BE WILLING TO ACCEPT THAT AS PART OF MY
- 18 MOTION, THAT I WOULD BE AMENDING SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S
- 19 MOTION THAT WE HAVE IN WRITING BEFORE US. BUT ONE OF THE
- 20 THINGS THAT MY QUESTION IS, YOU DO HAVE MEMBERS NOW WHO HAVE A
- 21 CHOICE. AND YOU COULD ASK ALL OF YOUR PRESENT MEMBERS TO JUST
- 22 DIS-ENROLL OR NOT TO ENROLL IN KAISER. THAT'S SOMETHING YOU
- 23 CAN DO [ SNAPS FINGERS ] LIKE THAT. AND SO WHAT MY REAL
- 24 QUESTION IS WHY YOU DIDN'T DO THAT AND SAY, "OKAY, ALL OF OUR
- 25 MEMBERS, WE ARE GOING TO SAY, WE WILL NO LONGER ENROLL IN



- 1 KAISER," RATHER THAN TALKING ABOUT OTHER PEOPLE WHO MAY-- WHO
- 2 YOU DON'T WANT TO GIVE THE CHOICE. AND THEN MY OTHER QUESTION
- 3 IS IN TERMS OF 660. IF THEY HAVE ENTERED INTO WHAT THEY
- 4 BELIEVE IS GOOD FOR THEIR MEMBERS, IF YOU FREEZE NEW MEMBERS
- 5 THAT ARE COMING INTO THE COALITION, HOW THAT WILL IMPACT THE
- 6 AGREEMENT THAT THEY HAVE IN TERMS OF FUTURE RATES. SO, YOU
- 7 KNOW, YOU HAVE A LOT-- YOU HAVE ALL THE POWER IN THE WORLD.
- 8 YOUR MEMBERS, TOMORROW, CAN SAY, "I'M NOT GOING TO ENROLL IN
- 9 KAISER" AND THAT WOULD BE-- HOW MANY MEMBERS IN THE COALITION
- 10 THAT YOU HAVE A CHOICE OVER, HOW MUCH WOULD THAT IMPACT IT?
- 11 HOW MANY MEMBERS ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?

12

13 PAUL ROLLER: 37,000 ALTOGETHER.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: IF 37,000 MEMBERS-- ARE THEY IN KAISER? NO, IN
- 16 KAISER. HOW MANY IN KAISER.

17

18 PAUL ROLLER: NO, ABOUT-- JUST UNDER 12,000 ARE IN KAISER.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: IF THOSE 12,000, FOLLOWING YOUR LEAD, REFUSED TO
- 21 ENROLL IN KAISER, BELIEVE ME, THAT WOULD BE A TREMENDOUS
- 22 IMPACT. BUT, SEE, WHAT YOU'RE DOING, YOU'RE SAYING NEW PEOPLE
- 23 CAN'T DO IT BUT I'M GOING TO STAY. SO THAT IS WHAT REALLY
- 24 BOTHERS ME.



1 BLAINE MEEK: COULD I ANSWER YOUR TWO QUESTIONS?

2

3 SUP. BURKE: YES.

4

- 5 BLAINE MEEK: I'M SURE THE MEMBERS OF THE COALITION WILL, YOU
- 6 KNOW, ENCOURAGE THEIR PEOPLE, JUST FOR FINANCIAL REASONS IF
- 7 NOTHING ELSE, TO TAKE A LOOK AT OTHER PLANS. THE PROBLEM WE
- 8 HAVE IS A LOT OF OUR MEMBERS ARE OLDER MEMBERS, THEY'RE NOT
- 9 THE NEW MEMBERS THAT ARE SO DESIROUS OF KAISER FOR KAISER
- 10 ENROLLMENT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THE NEW FAMILIES, NEW SINGLE,
- 11 HEALTHY PEOPLE ARE THE ONES THAT IS WHERE THE LEVERAGE IS IN
- 12 THIS SITUATION. IT'S NOT THE 42-YEAR-OLD WHOSE KIDS ARE IN
- 13 COLLEGE TYPE OF THING, YOU KNOW? AS FAR AS THE IMPACT-- AS FAR
- 14 AS I KNOW, 660 DOESN'T HAVE A MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENT. THEY HAVE
- 15 A ONE-YEAR SITUATION, AND I DON'T THINK KAISER ENTERS INTO--
- 16 KAISER HAS REFUSED TO ENTER INTO ANY COUNTY AGREEMENT MULTI-
- 17 YEAR AS FAR AS I KNOW FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. SO THE IMPACT, IF
- 18 YOU WILL, ON 660'S DEAL IS NO IMPACT AT ALL IN THE SENSE THAT
- 19 THEY HAVE MADE THEIR DEAL FOR ONE YEAR, THE '05 YEAR. WHAT
- 20 HAPPENED IN '06 IS WHAT HAPPENED IN '06. SO I HOPE THAT
- 21 ANSWERS BOTH OF YOUR QUESTIONS, SUPERVISORS.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: IT ANSWERS IN TERMS OF NEXT YEAR FOR 660 BUT IT
- 24 DOESN'T NECESSARILY ANSWER, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, BECAUSE
- 25 ALL OF THOSE 12,000 ARE NOT 42 YEARS OLD.



12 BLAINE MEE

BLAINE MEEK: NO, I'M...

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: YOU DO HAVE, IN THE COALITION, WE HAVE INCREASE OF
- 5 NEW PEOPLE WHO COME IN EVERY YEAR. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE
- 6 PERCENTAGE IS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE NUMBER IS BUT I KNOW
- 7 THAT, IF YOU LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES THAT ARE ADDED
- 8 EVERY YEAR, THAT THERE WILL BE SOME AND THIS IS SOMETHING
- 9 THAT'S SO WITHIN YOUR POWER THAT YOU CAN DO AND IT'S-- WHILE
- 10 IT MIGHT NOT BE THE BIGGEST-- I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT THE
- 11 COMPARISON OF THE HIT IS IN TERMS OF THE 12,000 VERSUS HOW
- 12 MANY PEOPLE WOULD COME IN CONSIDERING THE FREEZE-- SEMI-FREEZE
- 13 WE HAVE IN TERMS OF NEW MEMBERS COMING IN AND NEW HIRES. SO I
- 14 DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT NUMBERS YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT BUT I
- 15 HAVE TO BELIEVE THAT YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY AND THE ABILITY TO
- 16 MAKE AN IMPACT.

17

- 18 PAUL ROLLER: SUPERVISOR, WE'RE DOING BOTH OF THOSE THINGS.
- 19 WE'RE ENCOURAGING NEW HIRES NOT TO SIGN UP FOR KAISER AND WE
- 20 ARE ENCOURAGING PEOPLE THAT ARE IN KAISER TO SWITCH TO OTHER
- 21 PLANS, SO WE ARE DOING THAT. IN TERMS OF LEVERAGE, THOUGH,
- 22 WITH KAISER, WE HAPPEN TO BELIEVE IT HAS MUCH GREATER LEVERAGE
- 23 IF LABOR AND MANAGEMENT TOGETHER GO AND DEAL WITH THIS KAISER
- 24 ISSUE AND THAT'S...



- 1 SUP. BURKE: AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I THINK HAS TO HAPPEN. I
- 2 THINK THAT WE HAVE TO GO IN, WE HAVE TO SAY, "OKAY, IF YOU
- 3 WANT THIS CONTRACT, YOU'RE GOING TO GIVE US ALL THE
- 4 INFORMATION." AND I THINK THAT SUPERVISOR KNABE'S APPROACH IS
- 5 SAYING, AS FAR AS THIS CONTRACT RIGHT NOW, YOU'RE GOING TO
- 6 HAVE TO GIVE US THIS INFORMATION OR ELSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO
- 7 FORWARD WITH IT. I'D LIKE TO ASK ONE OTHER QUESTION. WAS IT
- 8 THE FIREFIGHTERS IN SAN DIEGO WHO HAD A UTILIZATION RATE IN
- 9 TERMS-- THEY HAD THEIR OWN PLAN AND MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT
- 10 THEY HAD A DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF RATE BASED ON UTILIZATION.
- 11 WAS THAT SAN DIEGO, OR WAS IT ORANGE COUNTY? IT WAS ONE OF
- 12 THEM.

13

15

17

21

14 PAUL ROLLER: UNFORTUNATELY, WE DON'T KNOW.

16 BLAINE MEEK: THAT, I WOULDN'T KNOW.

- 18 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. I THINK IT WAS-- MY RECOLLECTION, IT WAS
- 19 DEFINITELY THE FIREFIGHTERS THAT HAD PUT IN UTILIZATION BUT IT
- 20 WAS THEIR OWN PLAN. IT WAS NOT-- AND THEY BUILT THAT INTO IT.
- 22 BLAINE MEEK: OH, OKAY. WELL, MOST-- EVERY OTHER PLAN THAT I
- 23 KNOW IS A CLAIM-BASED PLAN OR THEY CAN TRACK THE EXPERIENCE,
- 24 IN ESSENCE. SO, I MEAN, IT'S ONLY KAISER THAT I HAVE EVER SEEN
- 25 YOU RUN UP AGAINST THIS WALL OF LACK OF INFORMATION. I MEAN...



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE HAVE TO GET THE INFORMATION. THAT'S
- 3 BOTTOM LINE. WE HAVE TO GET IT AND WE CANNOT SIGN WITH ANYONE
- 4 WHO DOESN'T PROVIDE IT.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OF OUR
- 7 SPEAKERS? OKAY. THANK YOU.

8

BLAINE MEEK: THANK YOU.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO THE ITEM BEFORE US IS A MOTION BY
- 14 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, AS AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE AND
- 15 MYSELF AS...

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: AND MINE APPLIES TO ALL OF THE PLANS, NOT JUST
- 18 KAISER.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT TO ALL THE PLANS
- 21 BUT, SPECIFICALLY, WE ARE DIRECTING A RESPONSE TO THEIR
- 22 CONCERNS ABOUT KAISER.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: AS-- RIGHT. WITHIN A CERTAIN NUMBER OF DAYS.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND A REPORT BACK. I MEAN, I THINK THAT
- 2 THEY NEED TO KNOW-- I MEAN, I KNOW THAT YOU SAID YOU'VE BEEN
- 3 TALKING TO THEM, THAT WE'RE SERIOUS ABOUT THIS AND WE CAN'T
- 4 LEAVE IT OPEN-ENDED AND I'LL GET BACK TO YOU, YOU GET BACK TO
- 5 ME. GIVE US A TIME LINE. KAISER NEEDS TO KNOW THAT THEY NEED
- 6 TO PRESENT THAT INFORMATION TO YOU SO THAT YOU CAN ANALYZE IT
- 7 SO THAT WE HAVE A BETTER FEEL BEFORE WE TAKE SOME DRAMATIC
- 8 ACTION LIKE FREEZING A PLAN. I MEAN, I THINK WE NEED MORE
- 9 INFORMATION BEFORE WE DO THAT. WHILE WE'RE ALL SYMPATHETIC AND
- 10 WANT TO DO THAT, I THINK TO A CERTAIN DEGREE AT LEAST WE WANT
- 11 A BETTER HANDLE ON IT, BECAUSE I THINK, IF YOU'RE GOING TO GET
- 12 LEVERAGE, YOU CAN'T JUST PICK AND CHOOSE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 13 TO DO IT COUNTYWIDE AND BEFORE YOU CAN DO THAT, THEN YOU'RE
- 14 GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE THE INFORMATION YOU NEED.

15

- 16 SUE CLINE: THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR. IT'S JUST THAT IT'S
- 17 VERY DIFFICULT AND TIME-CONSUMING TO GET THE INFORMATION THAT
- 18 WE'RE TRYING TO GET AND ACTUALLY ANALYZE IT AND ONE OF THE
- 19 PROBLEMS...

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, IT WASN'T TIME-CONSUMING FOR THEM TO
- 22 DOUBLE THEIR RATE INCREASE, YOU KNOW? I MEAN, THAT WAS
- 23 SOMETHING THEY DID BASED ON SOMETHING AND IT WASN'T JUST "I
- 24 THINK I'LL DO THIS TODAY EVEN THOUGH WE TALKED TO THEM AND WE



- 1 SAID WE'D DO THIS," WE DIDN'T PULL THAT OUT OF THE SKY, THAT
- 2 THEY HAVE SOME BASIS.

3

4 SUE CLINE: NO, THAT'S CORRECT AND WE'RE...

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND THEY SHOULD HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

7

- 8 SUE CLINE: AND WE'VE BEEN TRYING TO GET IT. WE'LL CONTINUE TO
- 9 DO THAT BUT WE ALSO HAVE OUR OPEN ENROLLMENT.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THEN, LET THEM KNOW THAT YOU NEED TO
- 12 GET IT BACK TO US WITHIN 30 DAYS OR THIS BOARD IS PREPARED TO
- 13 TAKE SOME SIGNIFICANT ACTION.

14

15 **SUE CLINE:** ALL RIGHT. AND... [ APPLAUSE ]

16

- 17 SUE CLINE: SO, IN THE AREA OF THE OPEN ENROLLMENT THAT IS
- 18 SCHEDULED FOR OCTOBER THE 1ST, WE'RE GOING TO BE REPORTING
- 19 BACK TO THE BOARD IN 30 DAYS. ARE WE GOING TO DELAY THAT OR
- 20 CONTINUE IT AS IS RECOMMENDED BEFORE YOU?

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT IS THE LENGTH OF THE OPEN ENROLLMENT?

23

24 SUE CLINE: IT'S A MONTH. IT'S 30 DAYS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: CAN WE PUT THE OPEN ENROLLMENT, CAN WE START IT
- 2 LATER? WHAT'S THE IMPACT OF DOING THAT?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MICHAEL?

5

- 6 MICHAEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, OPEN ENROLLMENT
- 7 IS SCHEDULED FOR OCTOBER 1. THAT'S ONLY A FEW DAYS FROM NOW.
- 8 TO DELAY THE OPEN ENROLLMENT WOULD CAUSE SOME CHAOS. WE HAVE
- 9 ALREADY MAILED OUT THE MAILERS OF WHAT THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS
- 10 AND CHOICES WOULD BE FOR THE EMPLOYEES. SO IF YOU HAD TO DELAY
- 11 IT, WE WOULD HAVE TO THEN GO BACK AND CHANGE EVERYTHING AND WE
- 12 ARE ALSO DEALING WITH A NEW COMPUTER SYSTEM AS WELL FOR OPEN
- 13 ENROLLMENT.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: CAN YOU ADD ADDITIONAL TIME TO THE ENROLLMENT
- 16 PERIOD?

17

- 18 MICHAEL: THAT'S PROBABLY EASIER TO DO THAN DELAY THE OPEN
- 19 ENROLLMENT.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, I MEAN, WE CAN DO IT AT THE OTHER
- 22 END, THOUGH, RIGHT? I MEAN, WE CAN EXTEND THE OPEN ENROLLMENT
- 23 15 DAYS OR...

24

25 MICHAEL: THAT'S EASIER TO DO FOR US.



2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

3

1

- 4 SUP. BURKE: HOW MANY DAYS CAN YOU EXTEND IT WITHOUT ANY
- 5 PROBLEM? CAN YOU DO IT 30 DAYS?

6

- 7 MICHAEL: IT DEPENDS ON WHAT YOUR EXPECTATIONS ARE IN TERMS OF
- 8 WHAT IT IS THAT YOU ARE EXPECTING BACK FROM THE ANALYSIS OF
- 9 THE INFORMATION.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: SEE, I DON'T SEE WHY IT TAKES SUCH A LONG TIME.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, LET ME-- EXCUSE ME. LET ME JUST ASK A
- 14 QUESTION HERE. WHAT DOES-- YOU KNOW, I'M STARTING TO THINK
- 15 HERE ABOUT WHAT YOU JUST SAID. WHAT DOES OPEN ENROLLMENT HAVE
- 16 TO DO WITH NEW HIRES?

17

18 SUP. BURKE: NOTHING.

- 20 SHARON HARPER, DEPUTY C.A.O.: IT DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO
- 21 WITH NEW HIRES BECAUSE THEY WERE ACTUALLY ENROLLED THEY COME
- 22 ON BOARD BUT IT DOES HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH OUR EXISTING
- 23 EMPLOYEES IF THE UNIONS ARE GOING TO BE TELLING THEIR
- 24 EMPLOYEES NOT TO SIGN UP FOR KAISER, IF THEY'RE WAITING FOR
- 25 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION...



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, BUT THEY'RE GOING TO DO THAT, THEY'RE

3 GOING TO DO THAT NO MATTER WHAT WE SAY.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO NEW HIRES ARE NOT HIRED.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO WE CAN PROCEED ON THE OPEN ENROLLMENT
- 8 ISSUE AND JUST LET IT ROLL LIKE IT IS AND THE UNIONS WILL BE
- 9 DOING THEIR THING AND THEN, IF WE HAVE NOT SATISFIED THE OTHER
- 10 ISSUE, THEN WE CAN DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF NEW HIRES.

11

12 MICHAEL HENRY: THAT WOULD BE A MUCH BETTER WAY TO GO.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: AND YOU'RE EXTENDING IT FOR HOW MANY DAYS?

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, YOU DON'T HAVE TO EXTEND IT.

17

18 SHARON HARPER: NO, WE WOULDN'T. THERE WOULD BE NO EXTENSION.

19

- 20 MICHAEL HENRY: YEAH. THE IMPACT OF THE NEW HIRES WOULDN'T
- 21 START UNTIL JANUARY 1, '05. THAT WOULD GIVE US MORE TIME.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE UNIONS ARE GOING TO-- WELL, NOT GOING
- 24 TO, THEY PROBABLY ALREADY HAVE, ENCOURAGED-- HOWEVER THEY'RE
- 25 DEALING WITH THEIR MEMBERSHIP-- WELL, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NEW



- 1 HIRES, SO IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH OPEN ENROLLMENT, SO LET
- 2 OPEN ENROLLMENT JUST PROCEED AS IS. WE DON'T NEED TO EXTEND
- 3 IT, WE DON'T NEED TO DELAY IT. AND THEN THE ISSUE WE'RE
- 4 DEALING WITH COUNTYWIDE WOULD BE NEW HIRES.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: WELL, THEY MIGHT HAVE SOME MEMBERS WHO WANT TO
- 7 HOLD OFF TO SEE WHAT IS FOUND-- WHAT INFORMATION COMES FORWARD
- 8 BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY COMPLETE THEIR ENROLLMENT. YOU KNOW, THEY
- 9 MIGHT WANT TO... [ APPLAUSE ]

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S WHY I THINK EXTENDING IT-- IF YOU CAN
- 12 EXTEND IT, EXTEND IT 30 DAYS, IF YOU CAN, BECAUSE SOME OF
- 13 THEIR MEMBERS... [ APPLAUSE ]

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: ...WANT TO SEE IF, YOU KNOW, IF KAISER BLINKS AND
- 16 GIVES THEM A BETTER RATE, THEY'D LIKE TO STAY IN KAISER. I
- 17 SUSPECT THAT THEY HAVE SOME WHO WANT TO STAY.

18

- 19 MICHAEL: WELL, SUPERVISOR, I BELIEVE, IF KAISER BLINKS AND
- 20 THERE IS A RATE CHANGE, THAT WOULD AFFECT THE ENTIRE PLAN, AND
- 21 SO IT WOULD BE AFFORDED TO THE NEW EMPLOYEES. SO...

22

23 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. SO CAN YOU EXTEND IT FOR 30 DAYS?



```
MICHAEL: THERE WOULDN'T BE A NEED TO. IF KAISER CHANGES THEIR
1
2
    RATE, ...
3
    SUP. BURKE: BUT YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT DAY THEY'RE GOING TO DO IT
4
5
    SO, YOU KNOW....
6
7
   MICHAEL: WE DON'T KNOW WHAT DAY THEY'RE GOING TO DO IT.
8
9
    SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. SO THAT'S WHY I'M SUGGESTING 30 DAYS UNLESS
10
    THAT'S A REAL, REAL PROBLEM. CAN YOU LIVE WITH IT?
11
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANSWER?
12
13
    SUP. BURKE: IF YOU CAN'T LIVE WITH 30 DAYS, WE'LL EXTEND 15
14
    BUT I'D LIKE TO EXTEND IT 30.
15
16
    MICHAEL: TWO WEEKS WOULD BE A GOOD COMPROMISE. I WOULD PREFER
17
18
    30 DAYS AS IT IS NOW, THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, BECAUSE THAT'S THE
19
    WAY IT SITS.
20
    SUP. BURKE: BUT THEN WE-- WE NEED TWO DAYS...
21
22
23
   MICHAEL: BUT TWO MORE WEEKS, THAT WOULD MAKE IT 45 DAYS...
24
25
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THAT WOULD GIVE US OUR ANSWERS, TOO.
```



```
1
    SHARON HARPER: YES.
2
3
   MICHAEL HENRY: WE CAN GO 45 DAYS FOR ENROLLMENT.
4
5
    SUP. BURKE: AND THEN WE CAN COME BACK AGAIN AND EXTEND IT
6
7
    AGAIN IF WE DON'T GET ANYTHING. [ APPLAUSE ]
8
    SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. RIGHT NOW, IT'S 15 DAYS.
10
11
    SHARON HARPER: IT'S 15 DAYS AND THIS IS FOR THE COALITION
    PLANS AND NOT FOR THE 660.
12
13
    SUP. BURKE: THAT'S RIGHT. IT'S ONLY THE COALITION PLAN.
14
15
16
   MICHAEL HENRY: COALITION.
17
18
    SUP. BURKE: EXCLUDING 660.
19
20
    SHARON HARPER: RIGHT.
21
22
    SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT.
23
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, BECAUSE THE ANSWERS WE WANT ARE
24
25
    COUNTYWIDE. SO, I MEAN, THAT'S...
```



1
2 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I MEAN, THAT'S-- THE COALITION IS GOING TO
- 5 DO THEIR THING NO MATTER WHAT WE DO, OKAY? THE ONLY LEVERAGE
- 6 WE HAVE IS EVERYBODY ELSE ON NEW HIRES.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: AND I WANT TO BE CLEAR. I'M SAYING THAT KAISER ARE
- 9 THE ONES WHO WOULD HAVE TO GET THIS IN WITHIN THIS PERIOD OF
- 10 TIME. SOME OF THE OTHERS, YOU KNOW, WE KNOW THAT THEY HAVE AT
- 11 LEAST COOPERATED BUT KAISER IS THE ONE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 12 THAT WOULD HAVE TO GET THIS INFORMATION IN. WE AREN'T SAYING--
- 13 EVEN THOUGH MY MOTION APPLIED TO ALL OF THEM, MY MOTION
- 14 APPLIES TO ALL OF THEM AS IT RELATES TO FUTURE BUT THIS YEAR,
- 15 AS FAR AS KAISER. CLEAR?

16

17 SHARON HARPER: CLEAR.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: OKAY.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WITH THAT, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE,
- 22 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 23 ORDERED. OKAY. PARDON ME? ALL RIGHT. PARDON ME? HOW DO WE DEAL
- 24 WITH THE ORDINANCE, THEN? MR. FORTNER?



1 SUP. BURKE: CAN WE EXCLUDE KAISER?

2

- 3 RAY FORTNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, IN LIGHT OF
- 4 THIS LATEST LANGUAGE, I NEED TO TAKE A QUICK LOOK AT THE
- 5 ORDINANCE AND REPORT BACK.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU CAN CONTINUE THE ORDINANCE FOR A WEEK,
- 8 THEN. HOW'S THAT?

9

- 10 RAY FORTNER: LET ME REPORT BACK TO YOU DURING THIS MEETING
- 11 THEN.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'LL PUT IT ON THE TABLE. OKAY. WHAT, NOW,
- 14 WHAT DID YOU SAY?

15

16 RAY FORTNER: LET ME REPORT BACK DURING THIS MEETING.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, DURING THIS MEETING, OKAY. PUT IT ON
- 19 THE TABLE, THEN. OKAY. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF SET ITEMS. I'M
- 20 GOING TO ASK CONNY MCCORMACK IF SHE'D COME FORWARD, PLEASE, IN
- 21 REGARDS TO THE ELECTION. AND THEN WE'LL DO THE PUBLIC
- 22 HEARINGS. IS CONNY HERE? I SAW HER EARLIER. SHE'S COMING? ALL
- 23 RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, FIRST, CONNY, HOW ARE YOU
- 2 FEELING FROM THE WEST NILE VIRUS?

3

- 4 CONNY MCCORMACK: SLOWLY RECOVERING, THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, I
- 5 APPRECIATE THAT.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW MUCH LONGER DO YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU...

8

- 9 CONNY MCCORMACK: I DON'T KNOW. THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HASN'T
- 10 MENTIONED. IT'S BEEN ABOUT EIGHT WEEKS AND PUT IT THIS WAY,
- 11 YOU DON'T WANT TO GET IT. IT FEELS LIKE A REAL BAD FLU ALL THE
- 12 TIME.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU FOR WHAT YOU'RE DOING. AS YOU KNOW,
- 15 THERE WAS A CALL A COUPLE DAYS AGO BY THE SACRAMENTO BEE
- 16 NEWSPAPER CALLING FOR THE RESIGNATION OF THE SECRETARY OF
- 17 STATE AS A RESULT OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
- 18 INVESTIGATIONS FOR MISMANAGEMENT OF FUNDS. HOW DOES THAT
- 19 IMPACT OUR ABILITY AT THE COUNTY TO OPERATE THE ELECTIONS IN
- 20 FIVE WEEKS?

- 22 CONNY MCCORMACK: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. I THINK THE PUBLIC IS
- 23 PROBABLY WONDERING ABOUT THE READINESS OF THE ELECTION AND I
- 24 THINK IT'S A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR US, AT THE COUNTY LEVEL, FOR
- 25 ALL OF US, TO REASSURE THE PUBLIC THAT THE ELECTION IS IN GOOD



- 1 HANDS. I THINK SOMETIMES PEOPLE DON'T REALIZE WHAT THE
- 2 DIFFERENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COUNTIES ARE
- 3 VERSUS THE SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE COUNTIES, IN EFFECT, DO
- 4 THE HEAVY LIFTING OF THE ELECTION. WE DO ALL THE VOTER
- 5 REGISTRATION, ALL OF THE POLL WORKER TRAINING, ALL OF THE
- 6 FINDING OF THE POLL LOCATIONS, ALL OF THE HIRING OF THE POLL
- 7 WORKERS, ALL THE PRODUCTION OF THE SAMPLE BALLOTS, THE
- 8 OFFICIAL BALLOTS AND ALL THE TABULATION OF THE VOTING. THE
- 9 SECRETARY OF STATE'S RESPONSIBILITIES ARE MUCH MORE MINIMAL IN
- 10 THAT RESPECT. HE CERTIFIES THE LIST OF THE CANDIDATES FOR THE
- 11 BALLOT. THAT'S ALREADY BEEN COMPLETED IN AUGUST. HE PUTS OUT A
- 12 STATE PAMPHLET WITH ALL THE PROPOSITIONS AND WE ALL KNOW
- 13 THERE'S 16 PROPOSITIONS, STATE PROPOSITIONS, A LONG BALLOT
- 14 THIS TIME, AND THAT'S ALREADY IN PRODUCTION AND BEING MAILED.
- 15 AND THEN, ON ELECTION NIGHT, HE ACCUMULATES THE VOTE TOTALS
- 16 THAT ALL 58 COUNTIES COUNT. WE'RE THE ONES THAT PUT THE
- 17 TABULATION TOGETHER. SO I WANT THE PUBLIC TO BE REASSURED THAT
- 18 THE ELECTION IS IN GOOD HANDS, WE'RE VERY READY. PEOPLE MAY
- 19 HAVE ALREADY NOTICED THAT 750,000 VOTERS ALREADY HAVE THEIR
- 20 SAMPLE BALLOT. IT'S FIVE WEEKS OUT TO THE ELECTION. THIS IS
- 21 OUR EARLIEST EVER TO BE ABLE TO GET IT IN THE MAIL. WE'RE
- 22 AHEAD OF SCHEDULE ON OUR PREPARATIONS. WE FEEL LIKE WE'RE VERY
- 23 READY AND THE PUBLIC SHOULD FEEL CONFIDENT AND WE'RE TRYING TO
- 24 GET THE MESSAGE OUT FOR THIS ELECTION THAT EVERY VOTER HAS
- 25 THREE CHOICES AND NO EXCUSES TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION. THEY



- 1 CAN GO IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY EARLY BETWEEN OCTOBER 20TH AND
- 2 29TH AND VOTE ON THE TOUCH SCREEN. THEY CAN ASK FOR-- OR THEY
- 3 COULD ASK FOR A BALLOT IN THE MAIL. OR GO TO THEIR
- 4 NEIGHBORHOOD POLLING PLACE ON THE BACK COVER OF THE BALLOT. SO
- 5 WE'RE SAYING THREE CHOICES, NO EXCUSES. IT IS A LONG BALLOT,
- 6 THERE'S A LOT OF PROPOSITIONS ON IT, INCLUDING THE COUNTY'S
- 7 PROP "A" AND WE'RE HOPING THE VOTERS WILL STUDY UP AND BE
- 8 PREPARED BUT RECOGNIZE THAT THERE WILL BE SOME LINES AT THE
- 9 POLLS BECAUSE OF THE LONGER BALLOT AND BECAUSE OF AN EXPECTED
- 10 HIGH TURNOUT. SO WE DO HAVE THREE CHOICES, EVERY VOTER CAN
- 11 MAKE THAT CHOICE. SO I THINK WE'RE VERY READY AND WE'RE
- 12 EXCITED ABOUT LAUNCHING OUR GOT DOTS VOTER EDUCATION CAMPAIGN
- 13 SO EVERYONE KNOWS HOW TO USE THE NEW INK-A-VOTE VOTING SYSTEM.
- 14 WE'RE NOT PUNCHING ANYMORE. PUNCHING IS OUT. NO MORE PUNCH-
- 15 CARDS. NOW THE SYSTEM LOOKS VERY SIMILAR BUT IT'S MARK YOUR
- 16 BALLOT AND USE THE PEN AND GET INK ON THE BALLOT, SO THAT'S
- 17 WHAT OUR NEW GOT DOTS CAMPAIGN IS ALL ABOUT. SO WE FEEL VERY
- 18 READY FOR THE ELECTION, THANK YOU.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE ELECTION VICE CHAIRMAN, ASSISTANT
- 21 COMMISSION CHAIRMAN DEFORCE SORRIES WAS CONCERNED THAT THE
- 22 CONTROVERSY SURROUNDING THE MISUSE OF FEDERAL MONEY BY THE
- 23 SECRETARY OF STATE SHELLY WOULD PREVENT IMPORTANT EDUCATION
- 24 INFORMATION TO THE COUNTIES. IS OUR EDUCATIONAL PREPARATION
- 25 MOVING FORWARD OR HAS IT BEEN HANDICAPPED?



1

- 2 CONNY MCCORMACK: WELL, WE'RE VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE SOME
- 3 FEDERAL MONEY TO AUGMENT OUR ELECTION THIS TIME. IT'S A FIRST.
- 4 WE'VE NEVER HAD IT BEFORE. IT WAS LATE IN COMING. THE
- 5 APPLICATION PROCESS WAS NOT ALLOWED FOR THE COUNTIES TO APPLY
- 6 FOR THIS MONEY UNTIL THE END OF JULY AND INTO AUGUST BUT WE
- 7 WERE FORTUNATE TO BE ABLE TO GET OUR APPLICATION IN AND IT
- 8 WILL BE FUNDING ALL OF THE GOT DOTS CAMPAIGN, THE VOTER
- 9 EDUCATION WILL BE ON BILLBOARDS AND BUSES AND CABLE T.V. ADS.
- 10 IT'S ALL BEING FUNDED BY FEDERAL DOLLARS, WHICH I THINK THAT'S
- 11 IMPORTANT FOR THE VOTERS TO UNDERSTAND, IT'S NOT SCARCE COUNTY
- 12 DOLLARS. IT'S SPECIFIC DOLLARS FOR VOTER EDUCATION PURPOSES.
- 13 HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF THE LATENESS OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
- 14 ANNOUNCING THE ABILITY FOR COUNTIES TO APPLY FOR THE GRANTS,
- 15 ONLY 43 OF THE 58 COUNTIES WERE ABLE TO MEET THE DEADLINE, THE
- 16 DEADLINE WAS SO LATE IN THE PROCESS. SO THAT REALLY DID IMPEDE
- 17 SOME COUNTIES FROM BEING ABLE TO PUT A PROGRAM TOGETHER, AND
- 18 WE HAD TO SCRAMBLE. THERE'S NO QUESTION WE HAD TO SCRAMBLE AND
- 19 WE HAD THE RESOURCES AND SPENT A LOT OF LATE NIGHTS PUTTING IN
- 20 AN APPLICATION-- IT WAS A 10-PAGE APPLICATION PROCESS. IT WAS
- 21 A VERY DIFFICULT IN A VERY TWO-WEEK TIME FRAME. SO SOME
- 22 COUNTIES DID LOSE OUT BECAUSE OF THE TIME FRAME,
- 23 UNFORTUNATELY.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT APPEARS THAT THE SEVEN LARGEST STATES, THE
- 2 AVERAGE STATE PLANS CALLS FOR 75% OF THE HAVA FUNDS TO GO
- 3 DIRECTLY TO COUNTIES, STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA, ONLY 7.5% REMAINS
- 4 WITH THE STATE. THE REMAINING 92.5% GOES TO THE INDIVIDUAL
- 5 COUNTIES. HOWEVER, CALIFORNIA'S LEVEL, IT'S 63.2% THAT THE
- 6 STATE RETAINS AND COUNTIES ARE ONLY PROVIDED 36.8%. HOW DOES
- 7 THAT IMPACT YOUR ABILITY TO DO A GOOD JOB AND ARE WE LIABLE
- 8 FOR FUTURE LITIGATION BECAUSE WE VIOLATED THE MANDATE OF
- 9 CONGRESS?

- 11 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK THAT'S A VERY GOOD POINT, SUPERVISOR.
- 12 I'LL REMIND ALL OF YOU THAT, OVER A YEAR AGO, IN JULY OF 2003,
- 13 ALL FIVE OF YOU SIGNED A FIVE-SIGNATURE LETTER EXPRESSING
- 14 THOSE CONCERNS TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE, STRONGLY ADVISING
- 15 THAT MORE OF THE HAVA MONEY BE ALLOCATED TO THE COUNTIES AND
- 16 COMPARING THE FACT THAT MOST STATES ARE ALLOCATING 80 TO 90%
- 17 OF THIS MONEY FOR THE REQUIRED UPGRADES OF VOTING EQUIPMENT
- 18 AND THAT OUR SECRETARY OF STATE DID NOT FOLLOW THAT TYPE OF A
- 19 PROGRAM. THERE'S NO OUESTION THAT YOU ARE ON RECORD AND I WAS
- 20 ON RECORD AND SO WERE MANY OTHER REGISTRARS AND BOARDS OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS ON RECORD SAYING THAT WE DIDN'T THINK MORE MONEY
- 22 NEEDS TO COME TO THE COUNTIES BECAUSE THERE ARE LEGAL
- 23 REOUIREMENTS THAT HAVE TO BE MET BY NOW, THE JUNE OF '06
- 24 PRIMARY. WE LEARNED YESTERDAY THE GOVERNOR DID MOVE THE
- 25 PRIMARY, HE SIGNED THE BILL TO MOVE THE PRIMARY BACK TO JUNE



- 1 OF '06 BUT, BY THAT DATE, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE SOME
- 2 EQUIPMENT THAT IS AN UPGRADE OF WHAT WE HAVE NOW AND WE ARE
- 3 CONCERNED THAT THERE MAY BE INSUFFICIENT FUNDS, AND IT REALLY
- 4 HAS DESTABILIZED THE PROCESS, NOT KNOWING THAT. SO...

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW HAS IT IMPACTED OUR MOVE TOWARD
- 7 ELECTRONIC VOTING?

- 9 CONNY MCCORMACK: IT'S VERY NEGATIVELY IMPACTED THAT. I WANTED
- 10 TO FIRST ASSURE EVERYONE THAT THE NOVEMBER ELECTION IS NOT
- 11 IMPACTED. WE'RE USING THE SAME INK-A-VOTE SYSTEM WE USED IN
- 12 MARCH. IT WORKED VERY WELL. WE'VE DONE A LITTLE BIT OF
- 13 TWEAKING WITH THE INK AND THE PEN. IT DOESN'T-- WE HAD LITTLE
- 14 SMEARING PROBLEMS. WE'VE SOLVED THAT. BUT, BY 2006, WE HAVE TO
- 15 HAVE OTHER-- WE HAVE TO HAVE EQUIPMENT THAT WILL MEET OTHER
- 16 REQUIREMENTS OF HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT AND, RIGHT NOW, WE DON'T
- 17 HAVE THOSE. WE ARE CONCERNED THAT-- AND THE C.A.O. AND MYSELF
- 18 SENT A LETTER TO THE BOARD IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR EXPRESSING
- 19 OUR CONCERN BECAUSE OF THE INSTABILITY OF THE
- 20 CERTIFICATION/DECERTIFICATION AND PARTIAL RECERTIFICATION OF
- 21 TOUCH SCREEN VOTING IN CALIFORNIA THAT THE SECRETARY OF STATE
- 22 HAS DONE OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS HAS PUT US IN A BIT OF A
- 23 OUANDARY AND WHETHER OR NOT WE WILL BE LEGALLY COMPLIANT BY
- 24 THE JUNE OF '06 ELECTION. I WANTED THE WAIT 'TIL AFTER THIS
- 25 NOVEMBER ELECTION, FOR THE DUST TO SETTLE A LITTLE BIT AND



- 1 THEN COME BACK IN WITH SOME RECOMMENDATIONS OF WHAT DIRECTION
- 2 WE MIGHT GO AT THAT POINT. BUT WE ARE RUNNING OUT OF TIME
- 3 BECAUSE THERE'S A HUGE PROCUREMENT PROCESS AHEAD OF US AND
- 4 THERE MAY BE INSUFFICIENT TIME AND INSUFFICIENT MONEY. SO ALL
- 5 OF THAT IS OF A CONCERN, FRANKLY, VERY MUCH SO.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IN THE NEIGHBORING COUNTY, SECRETARY OF STATE
- 8 DID A VOTER ADVERTISEMENT. HOW DOES THAT IMPACT OUR COUNTY'S
- 9 ABILITY AND DOES IT NOT TAKE-- OR DILUTE OUR ABILITY TO
- 10 EFFECTIVELY REACH THE VOTERS IN OUR INDIVIDUAL RESPECTIVE
- 11 COUNTIES?

- 13 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK YOU'RE ALLUDING TO THEIR PERMANENT
- 14 ABSENTEE VOTER MAILING AND SOME COUNTIES DID DECIDE TO DO A
- 15 MAILING SUCH AS THAT. WE WERE GLAD THAT THE SECRETARY OF STATE
- 16 DID NOT DO A MAILING IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY BECAUSE THE MAILING
- 17 WAS SET UP TO GO TO A LOT OF VOTERS WHO ARE ALREADY PERMANENT
- 18 ABSENTEE VOTERS AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A DUPLICATIVE EFFORT
- 19 AND CONFUSED OUR VOTERS. WE HAVE 206,000 PERMANENT ABSENTEE
- 20 VOTERS. SO WE DID NOT DO A MASS MAILING BUT INSTEAD OF THAT,
- 21 OF COURSE, VOTERS DO HAVE THE THREE CHOICES: THEY CAN APPLY
- 22 AND BECOME A PERMANENT BUT WE DIDN'T WANT TO DO A MAILING THAT
- 23 WOULD POTENTIALLY CONFUSE SIX OR 700,000 VOTERS, SO WE ASKED
- 24 THAT THE SECRETARY NOT DO THAT MAILING IN OUR COUNTY AND WE
- 25 WERE PLEASED THAT IT WAS NOT MAILED.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS THERE ANY SPECIFIC ACTIONS THAT THE BOARD

- 3 CAN TAKE OR WE'VE ALREADY TAKEN THE ACTIONS WHO ARE-- LETTER
- 4 THAT EACH OF THE SUPERVISORS SIGNED TO GET THE ADDITIONAL -- OR
- 5 THE FEDERAL FUNDS THAT ARE REQUIRED FOR YOUR EDUCATIONAL
- 6 OUTREACH PROGRAMS?

7

- 8 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK AT THIS POINT, NOW THAT THE
- 9 LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE IN SACRAMENTO HAS, IN EFFECT--
- 10 AND THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE HAS, IN EFFECT, FROZEN THE REST OF
- 11 THE HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT FUNDS UNTIL THERE IS A COMPLETE
- 12 AUDIT OF THE SYSTEM, I THINK ALL OF US CAN WELCOME AN AUDIT
- 13 BECAUSE WE ALL WANT TO KNOW HOW THE MONEY HAS BEEN SPENT TO
- 14 DATE AND WE CERTAINLY WILL, I THINK, AT THAT POINT HAVE IMPACT
- 15 INTO SAYING THAT WE THINK THAT SOME OF THE PRIORITIES SHOULD
- 16 BE CHANGED. SO I'M PERSONALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO THE HEARINGS
- 17 I UNDERSTAND WILL BE HAPPENING IN JANUARY WITH THE AUDIT AND I
- 18 THINK, AT THAT TIME, IT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL IF THE BOARD
- 19 MEMBERS, AS WELL AS MYSELF, WEIGHED IN AGAIN ABOUT OUR BELIEF
- 20 THAT COUNTIES DO NEED TO GET MORE OF THIS HELP AMERICA VOTE
- 21 ACT FUNDS IN ORDER TO MEET THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS. SO, YES, I
- 22 WOULD LIKE TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND PARTICIPATE AS PART
- 23 OF THAT AUDIT, THAT WE COULD AGAIN REINTRODUCE OUR CONCERNS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU CAN VERIFY THAT OUR ELECTION IN FIVE
- 2 WEEKS FROM TODAY WILL-- YOU DON'T HAVE ANY MAJOR PROBLEMS?
- 3 THAT IT WILL RUN ACCORDING TO SCALE?

4

- 5 CONNY MCCORMACK: WE'RE FEELING VERY CONFIDENT RIGHT NOW. ONE
- 6 THING ABOUT A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, OF COURSE, IS WE GET A
- 7 LOT OF VOTER TURNOUT, WHICH IS WONDERFUL. WE ALSO HAVE PEOPLE,
- 8 EVEN THOUGH WE STILL NEED MORE POLL WORKERS, OF COURSE, BUT
- 9 PEOPLE LIKE TO WORK AT A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION. IT'S MORE
- 10 EXCITING AND INTERESTING AND WITH MORE TURNOUT. SO RIGHT NOW,
- 11 OUR RECRUITMENT EFFORTS ARE GOING VERY WELL FOR POLL WORKERS.
- 12 OUR SAMPLE BALLOTS ARE AHEAD OF TIME IN THE MAIL AND WE'RE
- 13 READY WITH OUR ABSENTEES, SO WE'RE IN GOOD SHAPE RIGHT NOW. OF
- 14 COURSE, WE HAVE TO GO EVERY DAY AND REEVALUATE BUT, FOR NOW,
- 15 WE'RE FEELING VERY COMFORTABLE ABOUT THE ELECTION IN FIVE
- WEEKS.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK EVEN THOUGH
- 19 YOU'RE FEELING UNDER THE WEATHER AND TAKING CHARGE AND TO
- 20 ENSURE THAT OUR COUNTY DOES WELL IN FIVE WEEKS FROM TODAY. SO
- 21 THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMITMENT.

22

23 CONNY MCCORMACK: I APPRECIATE THAT. OTHER QUESTIONS?



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO
- 2 GO TO THE PUBLIC HEARINGS NOW. EXCUSE ME. I'M SORRY. SORRY.
- 3 YES? YES, PETER, I JUST-- IT WAS A QUICK OVERSIGHT HERE. PETER
- 4 BAXTER HAS SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU MAY WISH TO TAKE UP THE
- 7 ORDINANCE ON ITEM 32 AFTER MR. BAXTER SPEAKS. IT'S THE THE
- 8 ORDINANCE.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, SIR, MR. BAXTER, PLEASE PROCEED.

- 12 PETER BAXTER: MR. CHAIR, MEMBERS OF YOUR HONORABLE BOARD, MR.
- 13 JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MY NAME IS PETER BAXTER AND I
- 14 LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THAT THE LOS
- 15 ANGELES TIMES OF SEPTEMBER 14, 2004, PUBLISHED AN ARTICLE BY
- 16 PROFESSOR RICHARD L. HASSEN OF LOYOLA LAW SCHOOL IN WHICH
- 17 PROFESSOR HASSEN ASSERTS, IN PART, AS FOLLOWS. I AM QUOTING
- 18 PROFESSOR HASSEN. "THE MOST INTERESTING AND TRICKIEST LEGAL
- 19 QUESTION HAS TO DO WITH ARTICLE II OF THE CONSTITUTION, WHICH
- 20 ALLOWS EACH STATE LEGISLATURE TO SET THE RULES UNDER WHICH
- 21 ELECTORS ARE CHOSEN AND ALLOCATED." THAT'S THE END OF THE
- 22 QUOTATION. IT IS MY RESPECTFUL POSITION THAT THIS STATEMENT OF
- 23 THE LAW OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES BY PROFESSOR
- 24 HASSEN IS IN ERROR, IN AS MUCH AS ARTICLE II OF THE
- 25 CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES ASSERTS, IN PART, THUS I'M



- 1 OUOTING, "EACH STATE SHALL APPOINT IN SUCH MANNER AS THE
- 2 LEGISLATURE THEREOF MAY ERECT A NUMBER OF ELECTORS." THAT'S
- 3 THE END OF THE QUOTATION THAT I'M MAKING. THERE IS NOTHING SET
- 4 FORTH ON RULES. THE APPOINTMENT OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS IS
- 5 WHOLLY ARBITRARY ON THE PART OF EACH STATE. NO ONE HAS A RIGHT
- 6 TO COME-- TO BECOME A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTOR. THE APPOINTMENT OF
- 7 A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTOR IS WHOLLY IN THE GIFT OF EACH OF THE
- 8 SEVERAL STATES. ONCE APPOINTED, HOWEVER, THAT PRESIDENTIAL
- 9 ELECTOR IS A MEMBER OF A SOVEREIGN ENTITY WHICH OWES NO DUTY
- 10 OF OBEDIENCE TO ANY STATE. ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY
- 11 SUBMITTED. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS SOUNDS A LITTLE
- 12 TECHNICAL BUT I WANTED TO PUT IT ON THE RECORD AND I'M
- 13 SUGGESTING TO YOU, SIR, THAT THE WAY THE PRETEND ELECTIONS OR
- 14 PRETEND ELECTION, IF THE FOUNDERING FATHERS HAD WANTED AN
- 15 ELECTION, THEY WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE WRITTEN AN ELECTION BUT
- 16 THEY DIDN'T. GEORGE WASHINGTON WAS A GENTLEMAN WITH NO FORMAL
- 17 SCHOOLING WHATSOEVER AND HE PUT US ALL ON THIS COURSE FOR A
- 18 PRESIDENTIAL FORM OF GOVERNMENT BUT THE CONSTITUTION IS
- 19 WRITTEN, UNDER ENGLISH-- IS WRITTEN IN THE MANNER OF
- 20 PARLIAMENTARY FORM OF GOVERNMENT AND I'M GLAD TO HAVE HAD THE
- 21 OPPORTUNITY TO BRING THIS TO YOUR ATTENTION.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. BAXTER. OKAY.
- 24 WE'RE GOING TO NOW PROCEED WITH THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. DO I HAVE
- 25 BEFORE ME A REVISED ORDINANCE FOR NUMBER 32?



1 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ORDINANCE, AS PRESENTED TO

3 YOU ORIGINALLY, DOES NOT IMPACT THE ACTIONS YOU'VE TAKEN

4 OTHERWISE, AND YOU CAN PROCEED WITH IT TODAY.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO THEN, ON THAT ORDINANCE, MOVED BY
- 7 SUPERVISOR BURKE, SECONDED BY THE CHAIR. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 8 ORDERED. THANK YOU. OKAY. WE'LL ASK THE CLERK TO SWEAR
- 9 EVERYONE IN THAT'S GOING TO BE TESTIFYING UNDER THE PUBLIC
- 10 HEARING ITEMS.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: WOULD ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY,
- 13 PLEASE STAND, RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [
- 14 ADMINISTERING OATH ]

15

16 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ITEM NUMBER 1, WE NEED A STAFF
- 19 STATEMENT AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO COUNT SOME BALLOTS LATER
- 20 ON, IS THAT CORRECT?

21

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND MAY I
- 23 READ THIS INTO THE RECORD?

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, CERTAINLY.



1

2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: WE HAVE THE HEARING ON EXPANSION OF

- 3 DRAINAGE BENEFIT ASSESSMENT AREA NUMBER 5 TO INCLUDE TRACT
- 4 NUMBER 46543 AND TO AUTHORIZE THE LEVYING OF A BASE ANNUAL
- 5 ASSESSMENT RATE OF \$184.24 WITH AN ACTUAL ASSESSMENT OF \$124
- 6 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005/2006 FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF
- 7 THE RETENTION BASIN AND RELATED DRAINAGE FACILITIES LOCATED AT
- 8 AVENUE M-4 AND SHAIN LANE, QUARTZ HILL AND WE HAVE NO WRITTEN
- 9 PROTESTS.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. STAFF REPORT, PLEASE. WAIT A MINUTE.
- 12 WE NEED TO TURN THAT MIKE ON OVER HERE, PLEASE. ALL RIGHT.
- 13 HERE WE GO. ANYBODY UP THERE? LET'S CALL UPSTAIRS.

14

- 15 ROBIN PHILLIPS: MY NAME IS ROBIN PHILLIPS. I AM A SENIOR CIVIL
- 16 ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M FAMILIAR WITH
- 17 THESE PROCEEDINGS TO ANNEX TERRITORY INTO DRAINAGE BENEFIT
- 18 ASSESSMENT AREA NUMBER 5 AND TO IMPOSE ASSESSMENTS FOR
- 19 TENTATIVE TRACT NUMBER 46543 IN THE OUARTZ HILLS AREA. THE
- 20 MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FOR EACH OF THE 11 INVOLVED FUTURE
- 21 LOTS WILL BE \$184.24. IN MY OPINION, THE TERRITORY WITHIN THE
- 22 PROPOSED ANNEXATION AREA WILL BE BENEFITED BY THE SERVICES TO
- 23 BE PROVIDED AND THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS HAVE BEEN SPREAD IN
- 24 PROPORTION TO THE BENEFITS TO BE RECEIVED.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS? LOOKING AT
- 2 COUNTY COUNSEL, IT'S OKAY TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND TABLE THE MATTER FOR
- 5 TABULATION OF THE BALLOTS.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO CLOSE THE HEARING AND
- 8 DIRECT THE TABULATION OF BALLOTS AND TABLE THE ITEM UNTIL
- 9 LATER. OKAY? I READ YOUR PART, VIOLET, SO YOU'RE OKAY. ALL
- 10 RIGHT. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 2. ITEM NUMBER 2 IS BEFORE US.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: WE HAVE A HEARING TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE
- 13 PROGRAM ALLOCATIONS FOR 2004, LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT BLOCK
- 14 GRANT PROGRAM FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,060,110. WE HAVE NO
- 15 WRITTEN PROTESTS, MR. CHAIRMAN.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IS THERE ANYONE SIGNED UP UNDER THIS
- 18 PARTICULAR ITEM? IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO OPEN THE HEARING
- 19 AND THEN CLOSE THE HEARING. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. THE CHAIR
- 20 WILL MOVE IT, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 21 SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 3.

- 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: WE HAVE HEARING ON THE 2004 WEED
- 24 ABATEMENT REFEREE'S SECOND REPORT TO ABATE NOXIOUS OR
- 25 DANGEROUS WEEDS, BRUSH, RUBBISH, ET CETERA, ON UNIMPROVED



- 1 PARCELS IN THE COUNTY. WE HAVE NO WRITTEN PROTESTS, MR.
- 2 CHAIRMAN.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. NO WRITTEN PROTESTS. WE OPEN THE
- 5 HEARING. ANYONE SIGNED UP? OKAY. IF NOT, THE CHAIR WOULD
- 6 DIRECT TO CLOSE THE HEARING. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. MOVED BY
- 7 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 8 BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 4, STAFF
- 9 STATEMENT PLEASE.

10

- 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEARING TO VACATE A PORTION OF THE ALLEY
- 12 NORTH OF WHITTIER BOULEVARD BETWEEN AMALIA AVENUE AND HILL
- 13 VIEW AVENUE UNINCORPORATED EAST LOS ANGELES AREA. WE HAVE NO
- 14 WRITTEN PROTESTS, MR. CHAIRMAN.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SIR?

- 18 CAROLITO CRISTOBEL : MY NAME IS CAROLITO LEITER TO CRISTOBEL
- 19 AND I'M INDUSTRIAL ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 20 WORKS. I HAVE INVESTIGATED THE PROPOSED VACATION OF THE COUNTY
- 21 EASEMENT INTEREST IN THAT PORTION OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF
- 22 WHITTIER BOULEVARD IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY OF
- 23 LOS ANGELES. IN MY OPINION, THE INVOLVED PORTION OF THE ALLEY
- 24 IS NOT NECESSARY FOR PRESENT OR PROSPECTIVE PUBLIC USE. THE
- 25 PROPOSED VACATION AREA CONTAINS APPROXIMATELY 3,000 SQUARE



- 1 FEET. EXISTING EASEMENT FOR UTILITIES WILL BE RESERVED TO
- 2 PACIFIC BELL, CALIFORNIA WEATHER SERVICES AND SOUTHERN
- 3 CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY. AN EASEMENT FOR SANITARY SEWER WILL
- 4 ALSO BE RESERVED THROUGH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. WE ARE
- 5 AWARE OF NO WRITTEN PROTESTS TO THE PROPOSED VACATION.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. WE HAVE
- 8 AN INDIVIDUAL SIGNED UP TO SPEAK BUT THEY INDICATED IF IT WAS
- 9 GOING TO BE APPROVED, THEY WOULD PASS ON SPEAKING. ARE THERE
- 10 ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? COUNTY COUNSEL? IF NOT, WE WILL OPEN
- 11 THE HEARING. CLOSE THE HEARING.

12

- 13 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY TESTIMONY, CLOSE
- 14 THE HEARING AND YOU MAY TAKE ACTION.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THE
- 17 CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER
- 18 5.

19

- 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ITEM NUMBER 5 IS CONTINUED TO OCTOBER
- 21 26TH, 2004.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME. ITEM NUMBER 6, THEN.



- 1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEARING TO VACATE, WITH RESERVATIONS, A
- 2 PORTION OF CANON DRIVE WEST OF BANDINI STREET AND NORTH OF 6TH
- 3 STREET UNINCORPORATED SAN PEDRO AREA. WE HAVE NO WRITTEN
- 4 PROTESTS, MR. CHAIRMAN.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. STAFF STATEMENT, PLEASE.

7

- 8 CAROLITO CRISTOBAL: MY NAME IS CAROLITO CRISTOBAL, AND I'M A
- 9 INDUSTRIAL ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'VE
- 10 INVESTIGATED THE PROPOSED VACATION OF THE COUNTY EASEMENT
- 11 INTEREST IN A PORTION OF CANON DRIVE WEST OF BANDINI STREET
- 12 AND NORTH OF 6TH STREET IN THE SAN PEDRO AREA. VACATION WAS
- 13 PROPOSED BY THE UNDERLYING PROPERTY OWNER. PROPOSED VACATION
- 14 AREA CONTAINS APPROXIMATELY 16,102 SQUARE FEET. IN MY OPINION,
- 15 THE INVOLVED EASEMENT AREA PROPOSED TO BE VACATED IS NOT
- 16 NECESSARY FOR PRESENT OR PROSPECTIVE PUBLIC USE. VACATION WILL
- 17 NOT CUT OFF ACCESS TO ANY PROPERTIES OR NEGATIVELY IMPACT
- 18 OTHER PROPERTIES. EXISTING EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE AND SLOPE
- 19 PURPOSES WILL BE RESERVED. ALTHOUGH AN ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER
- 20 INITIALLY EXPRESSED A POSITION TO THE REQUEST, WE MET WITH HIM
- 21 AND ARE NOT AWARE OF ANY OTHER FURTHER PROTESTS.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. IT WOULD
- 24 BE APPROPRIATE TO OPEN THE HEARING AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT.
- 25 IS THERE ANYONE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK? IF NOT, THEN WE'LL CLOSE



- 1 THE PUBLIC HEARING. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 2 BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM
- 3 NUMBER 8.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE, ON ITEM NUMBER 7,
- 6 HEARING TO VACATE, WITH RESERVATIONS, OAKVIEW ESTATES DRIVE
- 7 NORTHWEST OF STEVENSON RANCH PARKWAY UNINCORPORATED STEVENSON
- 8 RANCH AREA AND WE HAVE NO WRITTEN PROTESTS.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. STAFF STATEMENT.

- 12 RAFAEL MACHUKA: MY NAME IS RAFAEL MACHUKA, I AM A SENIOR
- 13 SURVEY MAPPING TECHNICIAN FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
- 14 I HAVE INVESTIGATED THE PROPOSED VACATION OF THE COUNTY
- 15 EASEMENT INTEREST IN OAKVIEW ESTATES DRIVE NORTHWEST OF
- 16 STEVENSON RANCH PARKWAY. THE VACATION WAS PROPOSED BY THE
- 17 UNDERLYING PROPERTY OWNER. THE PROPOSED VACATION AREA CONTAINS
- 18 APPROXIMATELY 59,866 SOUARE FEET. IN MY OPINION, THE INVOLVED
- 19 EASEMENT AREA PROPOSED TO BE VACATED IS NOT NECESSARY FOR
- 20 PRESENT PUBLIC USE. THE VACATION WILL NOT CUT OFF ACCESS TO
- 21 ANY PROPERTIES OR NEGATIVELY IMPACT OTHER PROPERTIES AS THE
- 22 STREET WILL REMAIN AS A PRIVATE AND FUTURE STREET. EXISTING
- 23 EASEMENTS FOR UTILITIES WILL BE RESERVED TO SBC, PACIFIC BELL,
- 24 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY



- I AND FOR FUTURE STREET PURPOSES IN FAVOR OF THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 2 ANGELES. WE ARE NOT AWARE OF ANY PROTESTS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? IF
- 5 NOT, WE'LL OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. IS THERE ANYONE SIGNED UP
- 6 TO SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 7? THERE BEING NO ONE SIGNED UP, WE'LL
- 7 CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND THE ITEM IS BEFORE US. MOVED BY
- 8 SUPERVISOR BURKE. CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 9 ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 8.

10

- 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEARING TO VACATE WITH RESERVATIONS A
- 12 PORTION OF TWIN OAKS PLACE SOUTHWEST OF THE OLD ROAD,
- 13 UNINCORPORATED STEVENSON RANCH AREA. WE HAVE NO WRITTEN
- 14 PROTESTS, MR. CHAIRMAN.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 18 RAFAEL MACHUKA: MY NAME IS RAFAEL MACHUKA. I'M A SENIOR SURVEY
- 19 MAPPING TECHNICIAN FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I HAVE
- 20 INVESTIGATED THE PROPOSED VACATION OF THE COUNTY'S EASEMENT
- 21 INTEREST IN TWIN OAKS PLACE SOUTHWEST OF THE OLD ROAD IN
- 22 STEVENSON RANCH. THE VACATION WAS PROPOSED BY THE UNDERLYING
- 23 PROPERTY OWNER. THE PROPOSED VACATION AREA CONTAINS
- 24 APPROXIMATELY 2,828 SQUARE FEET. IN MY OPINION, THE INVOLVED
- 25 EASEMENT AREA PROPOSED TO BE VACATED IS NOT NECESSARY FOR



- 1 PRESENT PUBLIC USE. THE VACATION WILL NOT CUT OFF ACCESS TO
- 2 ANY PROPERTIES OR NEGATIVELY IMPACT OTHER PROPERTIES AS THE
- 3 STREET WILL REMAIN A PRIVATE AND FUTURE STREET. THE VACATION
- 4 WILL BE SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT BY PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE
- 5 COMPANY, RESERVATIONS IN FAVOR OF THE COUNTY FOR FUTURE STREET
- 6 PURPOSES AND SANITARY SEWER PURPOSES AND RESERVATIONS IN FAVOR
- 7 OF THE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT FOR COVER STORM DRAIN,
- 8 APPURTENANT STRUCTURES AND INGRESS AND EGRESS. WE ARE NOT
- 9 AWARE OF ANY PROTESTS.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? IF
- 12 NOT, THE ITEM'S BEFORE US. WE'LL OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.
- 13 WE'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. IS THERE ANYONE-- EXCUSE ME.
- 14 YOU SAID THERE WAS NO ONE SIGNED UP, RIGHT? IS THAT CORRECT?

15

16 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: NO ONE'S SIGNED UP. RIGHT.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THEN THE ITEM'S BEFORE US. MOVED BY
- 19 SUPERVISOR BURKE, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 20 ORDERED.

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: NUMBER 9, HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENT
- 23 TO TITLE 16, HIGHWAYS TO ESTABLISH THE LIVE OAK AVENUE
- 24 UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT. WE HAVE NO WRITTEN PROTESTS, MR.
- 25 CHAIRMAN.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IF YOU'LL WANT TO PROCEED WITH THE
- 3 STAFF STATEMENT, PLEASE.

4

- 5 ANGELA GEORGE: HELLO. MY NAME IS ANGELA GEORGE AND I'M A CIVIL
- 6 ENGINEER WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M FAMILIAR
- 7 WITH THE AREA PROPOSED TO BE DESIGNATED AS THE LIVE OAK AVENUE
- 8 UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT. WE HAVE CONSULTED WITH THE
- 9 UTILITY COMPANIES, WHICH WOULD BE AFFECTED BY THE FORMATION OF
- 10 THE PROPOSED UNDERGROUNDING DISTRICT. THIS AREA WAS SELECTED
- 11 FOR UNDERGROUNDING BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, IN
- 12 CONSULTATION WITH THE AFFECTED UTILITY COMPANIES, HAS
- 13 DETERMINED THAT UNDERGROUNDING OF THE EXISTING AND FUTURE
- 14 OVERHEAD ELECTRIC AND COMMUNICATION FACILITIES WILL ELIMINATE
- 15 THEIR PRESENT CONCENTRATION AND AVOID ANY FUTURE CONCENTRATION
- 16 OF OVERHEAD FACILITIES. WE HAVE ALSO DETERMINED THAT THE
- 17 IMPACTED PORTION OF LIVE OAK AVENUE IS EXTENSIVELY USED BY THE
- 18 GENERAL PUBLIC AND CARRIES A HEAVY VOLUME OF PEDESTRIAN AND
- 19 VEHICULAR TRAFFIC AND THAT IT IS IN THE GENERAL PUBLIC
- 20 INTEREST TO ESTABLISH THE DISTRICT. WE HAVE RECEIVED NO
- 21 PROTESTS REGARDING THE FORMATION OF THE DISTRICT.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THERE BEING NO PROTESTS, IT WOULD BE
- 24 APPROPRIATE TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. ANYONE SIGNED UP TO
- 25 SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 9? OKAY. THERE BEING NO ONE SIGNED UP,



- 1 WE'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 2 ANTONOVICH, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 3 ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 10.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: DE NOVO HEARING ON CONDITIONAL USE
- 6 PERMIT, CASE NUMBER 02-311-(5) AND MITIGATED NEGATIVE
- 7 DECLARATION, TO ALLOW THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF AN EXISTING
- 8 GOLF COURSE WITH RECREATIONAL AMENITIES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF
- 9 A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK, BOCA CANYON ZONE DISTRICT
- 10 PETITIONED BY LAKE ELIZABETH PROPERTIES, LLC. AND, MR.
- 11 CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE RECEIVED WRITTEN PROTESTS ON THIS ITEM.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. STAFF REPORT, PLEASE.

- 15 RUSSELL FRICANO: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, GOOD
- 16 MORNING. I'M RUSSELL FRICANO OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 17 PLANNING. AND, TO MY LEFT IS SAM DAY ALSO OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 REGIONAL PLANNING WHO IS THE CASE PLANNER FOR THIS CASE. THIS
- 19 IS A HEARING FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NUMBER 02-311-(5). THE
- 20 APPLICANT IS REQUESTING THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF AN EXISTING
- 21 GOLF COURSE WITH RECREATIONAL AMENITIES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF
- 22 A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK THAT WOULD INCLUDE 498
- 23 RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SPACES, A GENERAL STORE, RECREATIONAL
- 24 VEHICLE STORAGE, A PICNIC AREA, AN ARTIFICIAL POND, REST ROOM
- 25 SHOWER FACILITIES, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES AND WATER WELLS TO



- 1 SERVE THE RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK. PROPOSAL WOULD ALSO
- 2 AUTHORIZE THE SALE OF A FULL LINE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES FOR
- 3 THE EXISTING RESTAURANT/CAFE AND THE PROPOSED GENERAL STORE.
- 4 THE SUBJECT SITE IS LOCATED AT 42505 RANCH CLUB ROAD,
- 5 SOUTHERLY OF ELIZABETH LAKE ROAD AT THE TERMINUS OF RANCH CLUB
- 6 ROAD AND KIP TREE DRIVE IN THE BOCA CANYON ZONE DISTRICT. THIS
- 7 CASE WAS HEARD BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION ON FEBRUARY
- 8 4TH, 2004. THE CASE WAS APPROVED ON MAY 26, 2004. FOLLOWING
- 9 THE APPROVAL OF THE CASE, THE CASE WAS APPEALED TO THE BOARD.
- 10 THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY THE APPELLANT INCLUDE IMPACTS TO
- 11 EXISTING ROADWAYS, CULTURAL RESOURCES, WATER AND AIR QUALITY
- 12 IMPACTS, BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, NOISE, AND COMPATIBILITY WITH
- 13 ADJACENT DEVELOPMENT. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? WE
- 16 HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK. IF NOT, THEN WE WILL
- 17 OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. I WOULD ASK THAT KORYNN KOHLER COME
- 18 FORWARD, PLEASE, PAULETTE CANAVARAS, WARREN B. MILLER AND
- 19 PEGGY MOORE. THE FOUR OF YOU TO COME FORWARD. OKAY. WHEN YOU
- 20 SPEAK, PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOUR
- 21 TESTIMONY. OKAY. WHO WOULD LIKE TO GO FIRST?

- 23 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: MY NAME IS PAULETTE CANAVARAS. I'M ONE OF
- 24 A GROUP OF CONTACT PEOPLE FOR A GROUP OF A COALITION THAT



- 1 CALLS ITSELF THE PEOPLE TO KEEP THE LAKES COMMUNITIES AND
- 2 SURROUNDING AREAS RURAL.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

5

- 6 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: AT THIS TIME, WE ARE HERE TO OPPOSE THE
- 7 CONTINUATION OF C.U.P. 02-311-(5). YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU
- 8 SOME PACKAGES. I HAVE TWO OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE SUPPOSED TO BE
- 9 WITH ME TODAY. THEY'RE DOWN SICK WITH THE FLU, SO COULD I
- 10 POSSIBLY HAVE A FEW MORE MINUTES INSTEAD OF THE ALLOTTED
- 11 THREE? OKAY. I MOVED TO ELIZABETH LAKE TO GET AWAY FROM THE
- 12 VERY PROBLEMS, A PROJECT OF THIS ENORMITY AND MAGNITUDE...

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME, PAULETTE. COULD YOU JUST PULL
- 15 THE MICROPHONE TOWARDS YOU THERE A LITTLE BIT SO YOU CAN
- 16 DIRECTLY INTO IT SO WE CAN HEAR YOU? THANK YOU. VERY GOOD.

- 18 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: I'LL REPEAT. THANK YOU. I MOVED TO
- 19 ELIZABETH LAKE IN 1987 TO GET AWAY FROM THE VERY PROBLEMS A
- 20 PROJECT OF THIS ENORMITY AND MAGNITUDE WILL BRING TO OUR
- 21 ISOLATED RURAL MOUNTAIN EQUESTRIAN COMMUNITY. THIS PROJECT,
- 22 THIS TRAILER PARK, THIS CABIN PARK THAT IS PROPOSED IS NON-
- 23 SUSTAINABLE AND UNREASONABLE IN A GEOGRAPHICAL AREA SUCH AS
- 24 ELIZABETH LAKE. IT POSES A THREAT TO ALL OF US, TO THE
- 25 ENVIRONMENT, TO THE HABITAT AND OUR LIMITED PRECIOUS NATURAL



- 1 RESOURCES. THE IMPACT WILL BE TREMENDOUS. THIS PROJECT IS
- 2 NOTHING LESS THAN AVARICIOUSNESS TO THE EXTREME. WE FEEL THAT
- 3 THE PEOPLE BEHIND THIS PROJECT DO NOT CARE, THEY DO NOT CARE
- 4 ABOUT THE FEELINGS OF THE COMMUNITY. ENVIRONMENTAL HABITAT,
- 5 LOSS AND IMPACT DOES NOT OCCUR OVERNIGHT BUT IT WILL HAPPEN,
- 6 IT DOES HAPPEN. THOSE OF US WHO CHOOSE TO LIVE IN A RURAL
- 7 COMMUNITY PREFER THE SMELL OF HORSE MANURE TO EXHAUST FUMES,
- 8 THE SONG OF THE HAWK AND THE COYOTE AND THE OWL TO BOOM BOXES,
- 9 ENGINES, GENERATORS, LOUD MUSIC. WE HAVE MORE STARS OUT AT
- 10 NIGHT THAN BEVERLY HILLS, HOLLYWOOD, AND CENTURY CITY PUT
- 11 TOGETHER. PLEASE SAVE OUR WAY OF RURAL LIVING. PLEASE PRESERVE
- 12 OUR TOWN, OUR HABITAT, OUR ENVIRONMENT FOR US AND OUR
- 13 CHILDREN. "NO" ON THE RECREATIONAL TRAILER PARK. AND I ALSO
- 14 WANTED TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS ON THE LETTER THAT I SUBMITTED.
- 15 THERE'S SOME EXTRA ENTRIES AT THE BACK OF YOUR PACKAGE. YOU'LL
- 16 SEE A REPORT FROM LOS ANGELES TIMES MAGAZINE ON GROUNDWATER
- 17 PUMPING. ALSO, WE HAVE A RECENT CONCERN OF THE ELEMENT OF
- 18 STRANGER DANGER, CHILD SAFETY. STRANGER DANGER WILL BE
- 19 ELEVATED IN THE COMMUNITY AND SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES WHEN
- 20 THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WILL BE COMING AND GOING. THEY ARE NOT
- 21 PERMANENT, STABLE MEMBERS OF OUR TOWNS. OUR CHILDREN COULD BE
- 22 PLACED AT GREATER RISK. A MEMBERSHIP FEE OF \$5,000, \$7,000
- 23 DOES NOT ENSURE THE CHARACTER OF THOSE WHO WILL BE COMING UP
- 24 INTO OUR COMMUNITY. OUR OPPOSITION NOW TOTALS, ON THE
- 25 SIGNATURES AND ON THE PETITIONS, 495 RESIDENTS WHO OPPOSE A



- 1 RECREATIONAL TRAILER PARK IN THE COMMUNITY. ALSO, ON PAGES 10
- 2 AND 11 OF YOUR PACKAGE, PLEASE SEE BIOLOGICAL HABITAT REPORT.
- 3 PLEASE SEE THE LETTERHEAD AND JUST WHOM ENVICON REPRESENTS. IT
- 4 IS ALSO-- THIS REPORT IS ALSO UNACCEPTABLE, IT'S OUT OF
- 5 PROTOCOL, OUT OF SEASON, OUT OF SEQUENCE. WE REQUEST A NEW
- 6 REPORT BY AN INDEPENDENT BIOLOGIST TO BE DONE MARCH 31ST
- 7 THROUGH AUGUST 31ST OF 2005. THIS IS THE TIME WHEN BIOLOGICAL
- 8 HABITAT REPORTS SHOULD BE DONE. WATER. WHILE IN THE PAST, THE
- 9 PRIMARY SOURCE IS WELL, LAKE ELIZABETH MUTUAL WATER COMPANY IS
- 10 ACCESSING THE AQUEDUCT TO CONSERVE OUR SUBTERRANEAN
- 11 GROUNDWATER. LAKE ELIZABETH MUTUAL WATER COMPANY WILL THEN
- 12 INFORM AVEC AS TO HOW MUCH WATER THE COMMUNITY WILL NEED. THEY
- 13 CAN'T APPLY FOR OPTION FOR SECONDARY WATER AMOUNT. SHOULD A
- 14 PROBLEM ARISE WITH THE AQUEDUCT, WE SWITCH TO WELLS, OR DURING
- 15 A DROUGHT. ACCORDING TO PERMIDEON, AUGUST 9TH, 2004, IT'S MY
- 16 UNDERSTANDING HE STATED THE PROJECT IS USING BOTH. I MAY HAVE
- 17 MISUNDERSTOOD. I DO NOT KNOW. ACCORDING TO PERMIDEON, HOWEVER,
- 18 DURING A MEETING ON AUGUST 13TH, 2004, AS LONG AS HE HAS THE
- 19 MONEY TO PAY FOR THE WATER, HE CAN USE AS MUCH AS HE WANTS
- 20 BECAUSE IT IS NOT REGULATED.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO WRAP IT UP.
- 23 YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.



- 1 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: OH, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WELL, IF YOU CAN LOOK
- 2 ON PAGE 2 IN YOUR PACKAGE, YOU'LL ALSO-- YOU'LL FIND THIS, YOU
- 3 KNOW, IN YOUR OPENING LETTER, THERE'S ALSO A LEW DOBS TONIGHT
- 4 AND A CNN NEXT ON PAGES 5 AND 7 ON THE MAJOR DROUGHT AFFECTING
- 5 THE WESTERN UNITED STATES AND FAST APPROACHING CALIFORNIA.
- 6 EXCERPTS ON L.A. TIMES MAGAZINE GROUNDWATER, ON THE VERY FINAL
- 7 PACKAGE OF YOUR PACKAGE DATED 8-21-04. A RECENT WILDFIRE,
- 8 THEREFORE REQUEST A NEW E.I.R. WE ARE IN A FIRE 4 ZONE AREA.
- 9 THE RECENT WILDFIRES DEFINITELY SHOW GOOD CAUSE FOR A NEW FIRE
- 10 STUDY. KIPTREE IS UNREASONABLE AS A RANCH CLUB.

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

14 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: WE WERE AWAKENED TO MANDATORY EVACUATIONS

- 15 DURING THE PINE CANYON FIRES AT 3:00 A.M. PLEASE IMAGINE WHAT
- 16 IT WOULD BE LIKE FOR ALL RESIDENTS, THEIR CHILDREN AND ANIMALS
- 17 ATTEMPTING TO EVACUATE WITH OVER 500 TRAILERS, R.V.S AND ALSO
- 18 DWELLERS OF THE PROPOSED CABINS. IT COULD CULMINATE IN
- 19 DISASTER.
- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. PLEASE WRAP IT UP, PLEASE. OKAY.
- 22 THANK YOU.
- 24 PAULETTE CANAVARAS: THANK YOU.

25

23

20

11



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WHO'S NEXT?

- 3 KORYNN KOHLER: I'LL GO NEXT. THANK YOU. HONORARY BOARD, MY
- 4 NAME IS KORYNN KOHLER. I'M A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY. I'M ALSO
- 5 CONCERNED ABOUT THE METHODS THAT ARE BEING UTILIZED TO PUT
- 6 THIS PROJECT IN. ESSENTIALLY WHAT IS OCCURRING IS THE
- 7 COMMUNITY ON RANCH CLUB ROAD IN ELIZABETH LAKE IS ESSENTIALLY
- 8 LANDLOCKED. WE HAVE ONE INGRESS, ONE EGRESS, ONE WAY IN, ONE
- 9 WAY OUT. SPECIFICALLY, THAT PINE CANYON FIRE BLOCKED OUR IN
- 10 AND OUT EXITS. IT ALSO FORCED US TO REASSESS, HOW DOES OUR
- 11 INTERNAL COMMUNITY HAVE EVACUATION MEASURES? SO THAT IS OF A
- 12 CONCERN. WE ISSUE A PETITION TO HAVE NOT ONLY RANCH CLUB ROAD
- 13 BE THE ONLY WAY IN AND OUT. THERE MUST BE AN ALTERNATE ROUTE
- 14 SPECIFICALLY FOR VISITORS, FOR THEIR SAFETY, FOR OUR SAFETY AS
- 15 A COMMUNITY. SECONDARY TO THAT, WE ARE ALSO UNDER A WATER-- A
- 16 DROUGHT SITUATION. ALSO, WE HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE WE'RE
- 17 BRINGING IN OVER 500 OR A PROPOSED 500 R.V. UNITS INTO A
- 18 COMMUNITY THAT IS ONLY VOTER REGISTRATION OF 1,800. THE
- 19 NUMBERS ALONE, THREE PEOPLE PER R.V. TIMES 500 IS 1,500 EVERY
- 20 TWO WEEKS WITH A 3,000 CAP POPULATION POTENTIALLY COMING INTO
- 21 A COMMUNITY OF 1,800. NOT ACCEPTABLE. WE DO NOT HAVE THE
- 22 INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUSTAIN THIS TYPE OF RESORT AT THIS TIME.
- 23 THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE MEASURES ARE NOT ADEOUATE ENOUGH TO
- 24 VERIFY AND VALIDATE THIS EXTENSIVE PROJECT. N.P.D.E.S. WILL
- 25 NOT HAVE ANY JURISDICTION OVER THIS AS THIS IS A SUB-MINOR



- 1 CLASSIFICATION FOR STORM. WE ARE ON SEPTIC. WE HAVE NITRATES
- 2 AND TOXIFICATION OF OUR WATER WELLS AND OUR LAKES AT THIS
- 3 TIME. YOU MAY LOOK AT YOUR SANTA CLARA WATERSHED REPORTS WHICH
- 4 DO STATE THAT UTRO PURIFICATION AND VARIETY OF TOXINS ARE IN
- 5 OUR CURRENT WATER LAKE, ELIZABETH LAKE. WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT
- 6 PEOPLE THAT WILL BE COMING INTO OUR COMMUNITY ARE GOING TO BE
- 7 OF BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY BECAUSE THERE IS NO MONETARY
- 8 BENEFIT, NO ADDITIONAL PARKWAY IS GOING TO BE GRANTED, NOTHING
- 9 THAT THE COMMUNITY GETS TO REAP. WE PAY THE PRICE BASED ON
- 10 TRAFFIC, WE PAY THE PRICE BASED ON THE PEOPLE THAT ARE COMING
- 11 INTO OUR COMMUNITY. OUR COMMUNITY IS A VERY SMALL COMMUNITY.
- 12 OUR CHILDREN PLAY OUT IN THE STREETS BECAUSE WE DO NOT HAVE
- 13 PARK ACCESS. THIS COMMUNITY WAS TOUTED AS BEING PART OF OUR
- 14 NEW COMMUNITY MEMBERSHIP FOR THIS R.V. PARK. THE COMMUNITY WAS
- 15 TO BE ABLE TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE POOLS, TO THE TENNIS COURTS,
- 16 TO THE FISHING BOATS, THE PONDS, EVERYTHING. THAT HAS NOW BEEN
- 17 RETRACTED AND WE ARE NOW SUBJECT TO PAYING THE SAME SIMILAR
- 18 RATES AS A MEMBER WOULD EVEN THOUGH WE LIVE IN THAT COMMUNITY.
- 19 WE NOW ARE NO LONGER WELCOME TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS JOINT
- 20 VENTURE ELIZABETH LAKE PROPERTIES CALLED A RECREATIONAL
- 21 TRAILER PARK. IT WILL ALSO DEVALUE MY PROPERTY AND I ALSO LIVE
- 22 ON RANCH CLUB ROAD. I AM DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE TRAVERSEMENT
- 23 OF THESE VEHICLES AND I AM VERY UNHAPPY ABOUT THIS BEING THE
- 24 ONLY INGRESS/EGRESS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. NEXT?

- 3 PEGGY MOORE: MY NAME IS PEGGY MOORE AND MY BIGGEST CONCERN IS
- 4 THE WATER. WE HAVE-- BACK IN OCTOBER OF '65, 1965, A STUDY WAS
- 5 DONE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS ENTITLED HUGHES
- 6 ELIZABETH LAKES WATER STUDY REPORT, SECTION GROUNDWATER
- 7 REPUNISHMENT AND IT STATES, "AN AVERAGE SAFE YIELD OF
- 8 APPROXIMATELY 500-ACRE FEET PER YEAR." THEREFORE, FUTURE LOCAL
- 9 DEVELOPMENT, BASED UPON A WATER DEMAND NOT BEING OVEREXTENDED
- 10 DURING SOME FUTURE DROUGHT AS LONG AS FIVE YEARS EXPERIENCED
- 11 THUS FAR WOULD BE ONE SUPPORTING A FULL-TIME POPULATION OF
- 12 LESS THAN 2,500 PEOPLE. LAKE ELIZABETH, ITS POPULATION ALONE
- 13 IS 2,800 PEOPLE. AND THEN LAKE HUGHES' POPULATION IS AROUND
- 14 1,600. THAT ALREADY EXCEEDS WHAT THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY
- 15 ENGINEERS HAS SAID THAT WHAT OUR WATER IS. WHEN THERE IS A
- 16 DROUGHT, THE WATER TABLE GOES DOWN. THEREFORE, EVERYONE THINKS
- 17 THAT THEY CAN, YOU KNOW, PUT STUFF IN BECAUSE THE PERCOLATION
- 18 TEST WILL PASS. OKAY. THAT'S FINE BUT IT DOESN'T LAST. EITHER
- 19 THE WATER TABLE COMES UP, THERE IS JUST TOO MANY PEOPLE. WE'VE
- 20 HAD SEWER SYSTEMS, SEPTIC SYSTEMS OVERFLOW WHEN THE WATER
- 21 TABLE COMES UP, IT GOES OUT ONTO THE ROADS IN OUR
- 22 NEIGHBORHOOD. YOU HAVE THIS HAPPEN, THE SEPTIC SYSTEM THAT
- 23 WOULD BE UP IN THE RANCH CLUB WHERE THIS TRAILER PARK IS GOING
- 24 TO BE GOING, IT'S GOING TO BE OVERFLOWING, GOING INTO THE
- 25 LAKES AND WATER, I DON'T CARE WHAT THEY SAY, IT WILL ALL BE,



- 1 YOU KNOW, PIPED AWAY. IT DOESN'T WORK THAT WAY. IF IT'S GOING
- 2 TO COME UP, IT'S GOING TO COME UP. AS WITH THE ONE ASIDE FROM
- 3 THE WATER, THE FIRE RISK. AS YOU ALL KNOW, ANGELES CREST GOT
- 4 SHUT DOWN JUST THIS MORNING. YOU HAVE ALL OF THESE R.V.S GOING
- 5 UP THERE AND YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE
- 6 TAKING THEIR A.T.V.S OR THREE-WHEELERS, WHATEVER THEY HAVE UP
- 7 INTO THE MOUNTAINS? LARGE FIRE RISK. THAT, I MEAN, AND THERE
- 8 ISN'T ENOUGH PEOPLE TO BE PATROLLING THE PATHWAYS AROUND THE
- 9 AREA. IT JUST-- IT'S IMPOSSIBLE. WITH THAT, I THANK YOU VERY
- 10 MUCH.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN.
- WARREN?

14

- 15 WARREN B. MILLER: MY NAME IS WARREN B. MILLER, I'M A RESIDENT
- 16 OF 20 YEARS AND A BUSINESS OWNER IN GREEN VALLEY. AND I'M
- 17 TRYING TO ADDRESS THE-- CAN YOU HEAR ME WELL?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CAN HEAR YOU JUST FINE.

20

21 WARREN B. MILLER: I DON'T WANT TO BE YELLING IN HERE.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, WE HAD A PROBLEM WITH THAT MIKE OVER
- 24 THERE. YOURS IS WORKING JUST FINE. THANK YOU.



- 1 WARREN B. MILLER: I WANT TO ADDRESS THE TRAFFIC PROBLEM AND
- 2 GOING ACCORDING TO THE TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY PREPARED FOR THE
- 3 DEVELOPER OF THE RANCH CLUB, BRETT ROBINSON, USING THOSE FACTS
- 4 AND FIGURES, THE-- ON PAGE 9 OF THE REPORT, IT INDICATES THAT,
- 5 AT FULL BUILDOUT, IT IS ESTIMATED THIS PROJECT WILL GENERATE A
- 6 TOTAL OF APPROXIMATELY 1,942 VEHICULAR TRIPS PER DAY. NOW,
- 7 THAT'S 2,000 PER DAY. NOW, THERE'S ONE ROAD INTO THIS PLACE.
- 8 NO OTHER ROADS OUT. JUST ONE. ONE ROAD IN AND OUT. NOW, IF YOU
- 9 TRY TO FIGURE IT ALL OUT, EVERY 30 SECONDS, THEY WILL ADD A
- 10 VEHICLE TO OUR ROAD. EVERY 30 SECONDS UP AND DOWN, UP AND
- 11 DOWN. NOW, THESE ARE THE MOTOR HOMES THAT ARE COMING IN AND
- 12 OUT OF THE RANCH CLUB, WHICH LOOKS LIKE YOU MIGHT BE BUILDING
- 13 SOMETHING BACK IN THERE. IT'S KIND OF CLUSTERY. BUT IF YOU
- 14 KEEP ON GOING NOW, IF YOU TRY TO FIGURE OUT THE HOURS THAT
- 15 HE'S GOING TO BE OPEN, I BELIEVE IT'S 6:00 IN THE MORNING TO
- 16 10:00 AT NIGHT. AND, RIGHT NOW, USING THE SAME FORMULAS THAT
- 17 HE'S USING BY ONE OF THESE CHARTS THAT HE'S PRESENTED, THEY
- 18 SAY 400 CARS, AND I'LL SAY 800 A DAY. THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE NOW.
- 19 I'LL DOUBLE WHAT HE SAYS. WE HAVE 800 A DAY AND HE'S GOING TO
- 20 ADD 2,000 TO THAT. IT MAKES YOU STOP AND THINK FOR A SECOND.
- 21 2,000 MORE. NOW, THAT MEANS, EVERY 30 SECONDS, THEY'VE ADDED A
- 22 CAR, PLUS THE CARS THAT WE HAVE AND THEY'RE PROBABLY, WHAT,
- 23 ANOTHER, WHAT, MINUTE APART? SO, EVERY 45 SECONDS, A VEHICLE--
- 24 EVERY 20 SECONDS, A VEHICLE'S GOING DOWN THE ROAD, PROBABLY
- 25 JUST LONG ENOUGH FOR THE KIDS TO RUN BACK AND FORTH AND BE



- 1 MISSED. I HOPE THAT THAT WOULD BE SO. THAT'S ONE ISSUE. NOW,
- 2 IF THEY DO PUSH KIPTREE THROUGH, BECAUSE WE ARE IN A MAJOR
- 3 FIRE DISTRICT, WE'RE CLOSED NOW, ALL THE FOREST ALL THE WAY
- 4 AROUND US IS CLOSED BECAUSE IT'S SUCH EXTREME FIRE HAZARD AND
- 5 THEY'RE SAYING THEY'RE GOING TO PUT A EXIT ON THE BACK SIDE
- 6 THROUGH KIPTREE, EXTENDED THROUGH THE GOLF COURSE, WHICH
- 7 SOUNDS REAL GOOD, EXCEPT IT JUST PUSHES IT THROUGH TO ANOTHER
- 8 NEIGHBORHOOD WITH SMALLER STREETS AND ANOTHER TWO OR 300
- 9 HOMES. NOW, IF YOU TAKE THE MOTOR HOMES, WHICH AVERAGE MOTOR
- 10 HOME, 25 TO 40 FEET LONG, WE'LL SAY 30 FEET. IF YOU TAKE 500
- 11 MOTOR HOMES AND PUSH THEM ALL DOWN THIS SIDE STREET, YOU'VE
- 12 GOT THREE MILES OF MOTOR HOMES TRYING TO GET OUT THE SIDE
- 13 STREET. NOBODY CAN GET OUT OF THEIR HOUSES WITH THREE MILES OF
- 14 MOTOR HOMES. I MEAN, IT SOUNDS LIKE SOME GUY MAKING A BUNCH OF
- 15 NONSENSE.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S IF THEY LEFT AND ALL WENT AT THE
- 18 SAME TIME, I WOULD ASSUME.

19

- 20 WARREN B. MILLER: WELL, IF WE HAD AN EMERGENCY EVACUATION, THE
- 21 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT SHOWS UP WITH HELICOPTERS AND SIRENS AND
- 22 THEY WAKE US UP, THIS IS-- IN 20 YEARS, WE'VE BEEN EVACUATED
- 23 SIX TIMES. WE HAVE A FIRE EVERY SINGLE YEAR. IT'S NOT A MADE-
- 24 UP IDEA. THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS.



SPEAKER: THIS YEAR, WE'VE BEEN EVACUATED TWICE.

2

- 3 WARREN B. MILLER: WE EXPECT ANOTHER FIRE THIS YEAR. WE HOPE IT
- 4 DOESN'T GET OUT OF CONTROL. WE MAY BE EVACUATED AGAIN THIS
- 5 YEAR. THIS IS A REAL SITUATION. KIPTREE SHOULD NOT JUST BE
- 6 PUSHED THROUGH TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD, IT SHOULD GO ALL THE WAY
- 7 OUT TO ELIZABETH LAKE ROAD AS A TRUE EMERGENCY EXIT. SORRY I
- 8 RAN OVER. I HAD TO TAKE THE DAY OFF, I UNDERSTAND THIS IS YOUR
- 9 JOB, BUT MY REAL JOB IS RUNNING MY BUSINESS. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OKAY. I WOULD
- 12 ASK BRETT ROBINSON, SIGNED UP TO TESTIFY. WE HAD SOME OTHERS
- 13 THAT WANTED TO SPEAK ONLY IF THERE WERE QUESTIONS BUT I WOULD
- 14 ASK BRETT TO COME FORWARD, PLEASE. WHATEVER SEAT YOU LIKE.

- 16 BRETT ROBINSON: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS
- 17 BRETT ROBINSON. I'M THE PRESIDENT AND MANAGING MEMBER OF LAKE
- 18 ELIZABETH GOLF AND RANCH CLUB. I'LL BE VERY BRIEF TODAY IN MY
- 19 COMMENTS. I BELIEVE THE BOARD AND THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT HAS
- 20 DONE A GREAT JOB OF RENEWING THE PROJECT AND ALL THE
- 21 MITIGATION MEASURES THAT HAVE BEEN PUT INTO PLACE. IF YOU
- 22 COULD INDULGE ME FOR JUST A SECOND, THOUGH, WHAT I'D LIKE TO
- 23 DO IS IDENTIFY A NUMBER OF THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WITH US
- 24 TODAY THAT HAVE COME IN SUPPORT OF THE PROJECT, SO IF THEY
- 25 COULD STAND JUST TO KIND OF SHOW THEIR SUPPORT, I WOULD



- 1 APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU. ALSO, AS THE REST OF US, OBVIOUSLY,
- 2 A NUMBER OF THEM HAVE COME ON THEIR OFF DAYS, THEY'RE TAKING
- 3 TIME OFF FROM WORK TO COME DOWN, SO I CERTAINLY APPRECIATE
- 4 THEIR COMING DOWN. SEPARATELY, I WANT TO INTRODUCE ROGER
- 5 GUILLEN, WHO IS THE PRESIDENT OF THE LAKES TOWN COUNCIL, WHO
- 6 HAS COME ON OUR BEHALF AS WELL. AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED, I'LL BE
- 7 VERY BRIEF IN MY COMMENTS BUT BASICALLY WHAT I WANTED TO LET
- 8 EVERYONE KNOW IS THAT LAKE ELIZABETH GOLF AND RANCH CLUB IS A
- 9 RECREATIONAL USE PROPERTY, IT HAS BEEN SO SINCE THE 1940S, AND
- 10 WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS TO CONTINUE THE USE, THE RECREATIONAL
- 11 USE THAT WE BELIEVE IS IN THE COUNTY'S VISION FOR THE AREA.
- 12 THE AREA HAS A HISTORY OF SUPPORTING RECREATION. THERE WAS A
- 13 RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK WHICH WAS ACTUALLY LARGER IN SIZE
- 14 THAN THE ONE THAT WE'RE PROPOSING AND THAT EXISTED FOR A
- 15 NUMBER OF YEARS WITHOUT ANY INCIDENT. AND SO WHAT WE'RE
- 16 PROPOSING IS SOMETHING THAT IS IN CONCERT WITH WHAT HAS BEEN
- 17 DONE IN THE PAST THERE. ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS I WANTED TO
- 18 MENTION IS THAT OUR-- WHAT WE'VE DONE AS PART OF OUR PROPOSED
- 19 PROJECT IS TO INVOLVE THE COMMUNITY. WE'VE DONE THAT THROUGH
- 20 THE LAKES TOWN COUNCIL. I SPOKE AT TOWN COUNCIL MEETINGS OVER
- 21 ABOUT AN 18-MONTH PERIOD. A LOT OF THE PEOPLE, WE HAD SOME
- 22 SPIRITED CONVERSATION ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT AND WHAT WE DID
- 23 WAS WE WERE ABLE TO INCORPORATE A LOT OF THE CONCERNS OF THE
- 24 COMMUNITY AND WE WERE ABLE TO INCORPORATE THAT INTO OUR DESIGN
- 25 AND WE WERE ABLE TO GET A LOT OF GOOD FEEDBACK FROM THE



- 1 PEOPLE. AND, AGAIN, WE DO HAVE SUPPORT THERE. ANOTHER THING
- 2 THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS CONTINUE TO BE A COMMUNITY-BASED
- 3 BUSINESS. WE DO OWN THE BASEBALL FIELD IN THE AREA AND WE HAVE
- 4 PROVIDED THAT FOR NO COST TO THE LOCAL LITTLE LEAGUES. WE
- 5 MAINTAIN THAT OVER THE YEARS AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT
- 6 AND PROVIDE THAT. THERE'S NUMEROUS OTHER COMMUNITY EVENTS THAT
- 7 WE'VE HOSTED OVER THE YEARS AT NO COST: WOMEN'S MEETINGS,
- 8 MEETINGS FOR THE WATER DISTRICT, ET CETERA. SO, BASICALLY,
- 9 WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS TRY TO CONTINUE TO BE SOMETHING THAT IS
- 10 SUPPORTIVE OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE AREA THAT CREATES
- 11 JOBS FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE AREA. I BELIEVE IT WILL HELP
- 12 CONTINUE TO IMPROVE PROPERTY VALUES, ET CETERA. AND, AGAIN, AS
- 13 I MENTIONED BEFORE, I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME IN CONSIDERING THE
- 14 PROJECT AND I'LL DEFER THE REST OF MY TIME. THANKS.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANYONE ELSE NEED TO SPEAK, OR...

17

18 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING.

- 22 SPEAKER: HOW ARE YOU THIS MORNING? I'VE BEEN IN LAKE HUGHES
- 23 FOR 26 YEARS. I'VE BEEN PRESIDENT OF THE LAKES TOWN COUNCIL
- 24 FOR THE LAST SIX YEARS. THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN PROTESTING,
- 25 VERY FEW OF THEM EVER COME TO A MEETING WHERE MR. ROBINSON HAS



- 1 REPRESENTED HIMSELF OR AN EMPLOYEE THERE AT EVERY ONE OF THE
- 2 MEETINGS. THIS PARTICULAR PROPERTY HAS BEEN RECREATIONAL
- 3 SINCE, LIKE HE SAID, THE '40S. IT'S A BEAUTIFUL BUILDING, IF
- 4 YOU HAVEN'T BEEN UP THERE AND I AM FOR THE RECREATIONAL PARK.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU ALL. ANY QUESTIONS? IF
- 7 NOT, WE HAVE ONE MORE PERSON, MICHAEL RAYMOND BAUER.

8

9 MICHAEL R. BAUER: GOOD MORNING.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING.

- 13 MICHAEL R. BAUER: YES, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I WOULD LIKE TO
- 14 MENTION THAT THE FIRE EVACUATION PLAN THAT THEY'VE BEEN HAVING
- 15 ON THE EVACUATIONS FOR THE FIRES HAS BEEN HAPPENING UP THERE.
- 16 I HIGHLY SUPPORT THAT THAT PLAN BE PASSED AND THAT YOU GUYS
- 17 ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS COUNTY, SO I REQUEST THAT THE COUNTY
- 18 BE ABLE TO FUND FOR THEY CAN HAVE SOME KIND OF ROUTE TO
- 19 ACTUALLY GET OUT OF THAT AREA IF THERE IS A FIRE AGAIN IN THAT
- 20 AREA OF THE LAND. THE REASON I'M REQUESTING THIS, THERE'S
- 21 PROBABLY A LOT OF KIDS UP THERE AND IF THERE'S KIDS UP THERE
- 22 AND THEY ARE ACTUALLY IN SCHOOL, AND THERE'S NO WAY FOR THEM
- 23 TO EVACUATE, YOU GOT MURDERS. YOU GOT KIDS THAT ARE DYING
- 24 BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO WAY TO EVACUATE. THE SCHOOLS UP IN THAT
- 25 AREA SHOULD HAVE A WAY TO ACTUALLY HAVE AN EMERGENCY



- 1 EVACUATION PLAN SO THEY KNOW HOW TO GET OUT OF THE AREA IF
- 2 THERE'S A FAST-MOVING FIRE AND THEY KNOW HOW TO GET THE
- 3 QUICKEST WAY OUT. I ALSO REQUEST THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 4 FOR THIS CITY AND THE LAND USE THAT IS IN IT BUILD AN
- 5 UNDERGROUND SUBWAY THAT COULD BE USED IN AN EMERGENCY
- 6 SITUATION, LIKE A FIRE, EARTHQUAKE, OR SOMETHING WHERE IT
- 7 ACTUALLY CAN BE A WAY FOR THE FAMILIES AND THE KIDS TO GET OUT
- 8 AND BE MOVED TO A SAFER AREA. MAYBE THE METROPOLITAN
- 9 TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY COULD ACTUALLY AUTHORIZE US FOR A
- 10 SUBWAY TO BE BUILT UP IN THAT AREA TO MAYBE ALL THE WAY
- 11 DOWNTOWN L.A. SO THAT THE KIDS CAN COME OUT HERE WHILE THE
- 12 FIRE DEPARTMENTS ARE UP THERE FIGHTING THE FIRES AND THAT WAY
- 13 THEY CAN BE SAFE AND THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE WORRIED ABOUT
- 14 SITUATIONS THAT ARE GOING ON OUT THERE. FAMILIES MAY BE ABLE
- 15 TO HELP OTHER PEOPLE OUT BY-- IF THERE'S SOMEBODY THAT LIVES
- 16 IN THAT AREA, HAS FAMILY OUT HERE, THEY CAN ACTUALLY TAKE THE
- 17 TRAIN OUT HERE AND ACTUALLY THEY CAN LIVE WITH THE RELATIVES
- 18 IN L.A. OR SURROUNDING AREAS OF L.A. INSTEAD OF BEING STUCK IN
- 19 THERE AND FAMILIES GET WORRIED BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO WAY TO GET
- 20 OUT. I THINK THIS WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA THAT THE METROPOLITAN
- 21 TRANSIT AUTHORITY ACTUALLY TAKE A PLAN LIKE THIS JUST IN CASE
- 22 THERE IS A FIRE, LIKE IN OCTOBER OF LAST YEAR, WHERE IT WAS
- 23 UNCONTROLLABLE. WE HAD TO HAVE ALMOST-- ALMOST THE WHOLE
- 24 ENTIRE STATE FIGHTING THAT FIRE. OTHER COUNTIES WERE COMING
- 25 ACTUALLY INTO L.A. COUNTY. WE WERE GOING OUT AND HELPING SAN



- 1 BERNARDINO COUNTY, WE WERE OUT HELPING SAN DIEGO COUNTY.
- 2 IMAGINE A FIRE LIKE WHAT HAPPENED IN SAN DIEGO. ALL THOSE
- 3 ANIMALS THAT WERE KILLED UP THERE. WOULD YOU WANT SOMETHING
- 4 LIKE THAT TO HAPPEN UP IN THIS AREA WHERE THERE'S KIDS,
- 5 THERE'S PARKS, THERE'S BASEBALL FIELDS? WHAT HAPPENS IF IT
- 6 HAPPENS DURING A LITTLE LEAGUE BASEBALL GAME AND YOU HAVE NO
- 7 WAY TO HAVE THE KIDS EVACUATED, NO WAY TO HAVE THE FAMILIES
- 8 EVACUATE? I THINK GLORIA MOLINA, SINCE YOU'RE IN CHARGE OF
- 9 THIS AREA-- GLORIA? I THINK, SINCE YOU'RE IN CHARGE OF THIS
- 10 AREA, I THINK YOU SHOULD CONTACT THE METROPOLITAN TRANSIT
- 11 AUTHORITY.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I DON'T BELIEVE SHE'S IN CHARGE OF THIS
- 14 AREA BUT THAT'S OKAY. GO AHEAD, FINISH UP.

15

- 16 MICHAEL R. BAUER: DISTRICT ONE, I MEAN. SHE'S ACTUALLY THE ONE
- 17 THAT CAN CONTACT THE METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY. I THINK
- 18 YOU SHOULD ACTUALLY CONTACT THEM AND SEE IF THEY'RE WILLING TO
- 19 DO A PLAN LIKE THIS, BECAUSE IT GIVES THE KIDS AND THE
- 20 FAMILIES IN THE AREA TO EVACUATE AND THEN THEY WOULDN'T HAVE
- 21 TO RISK THEIR LIVES TO ACTUALLY TRYING TO FIND SOME WAY OUT BY
- 22 PACKING UP AND ALL THAT.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. THE ITEM...



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, LET ME ASK THE PUBLIC WORKS,
- 2 LET'S GET BACK TO THE TRAFFIC SURVEY RELATIVE TO THE NUMBER OF
- 3 VEHICLES THAT WILL BE IMPACTED. THERE'S BEEN A TRAFFIC STUDY
- 4 FOR THE PROJECT AND YOU REVIEWED THAT. WHAT IS YOUR
- 5 CONCLUSION?

6

- 7 DENNIS HUNTER: PUBLIC-- AGAIN, MY NAME IS DENNIS HUNTER FROM
- 8 THE DEPARTMENT...

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CAN YOU MOVE THAT MICROPHONE OVER A LITTLE
- 11 BIT PLEASE SO YOU'RE TALKING DIRECTLY-- THANK YOU.

- 13 DENNIS HUNTER: DENNIS HUNTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 14 WORKS. THE APPLICANT DID HIRE A TRAFFIC CONSULTANT AND
- 15 PREPARED A TRAFFIC STUDY WHICH WAS REVIEWED BY PUBLIC WORKS.
- 16 FROM A C.E.Q.A. TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY STANDPOINT AND A LEVEL OF
- 17 SERVICE ANALYSIS, WE AGREE WITH THE CONSULTANTS' CONCLUSIONS
- 18 THAT THE LEVEL OF SERVICE OF THE ROADWAYS WITHIN THE LAKE
- 19 ELIZABETH COMMUNITY WILL PERFORM AT A HIGH LEVEL, EITHER LEVEL
- 20 A OR LEVEL B. IN ADDITION TO THE CONSULTANTS' RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 21 PUBLIC WORKS CONDITIONED THE PROJECT TO CONSTRUCT KIPTREE
- 22 DRIVE BETWEEN BEAVER BROOK AND DOGWOOD AS WELL AS IMPROVE THE
- 23 INTERSECTION OF LAKE ELIZABETH AND RANCH CLUB DRIVE. THE
- 24 CONSTRUCTION OF KIPTREE WILL HELP IN PROVIDING A SECOND MEANS



- 1 OF ACCESS BY PUBLIC STREETS OUT OF THE COMMUNITY AS OPPOSED TO
- 2 THE SINGLE MEANS THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS BY RANCH CLUB DRIVE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROPOSAL IS TO HAVE A FOUR-WAY STOP SIGN
- 5 AT THE INTERSECTION OF RANCH CLUB AND SAN BROCK. IS THIS WHAT
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT IS SUPPORTING?

7

- 8 DENNIS HUNTER: WE HAVE REVIEWED THAT SITUATION. WE BELIEVE
- 9 THAT A FOUR-WAY STOP SIGN CONTROL WOULD BE UNNECESSARY AT THAT
- 10 INTERSECTION.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IS THE PROJECT SUBJECT TO THE NATIONAL
- 13 POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND WOULD A PERMIT BE
- 14 REQUIRED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION BEGINNING?

15

- 16 **DENNIS HUNTER:** YES. THE PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO THE CURRENT NPDS
- 17 PERMIT ISSUED TO L.A. COUNTY FROM THE REGIONAL BOARD AND, AS A
- 18 RESULT, A STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN WILL BE
- 19 REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE REGIONAL BOARD AS WELL AS A
- 20 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN AND A WET WEATHER EROSION CONTROL
- 21 PLAN TO PUBLIC WORKS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF A GRADING
- 22 PERMIT.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO WE HAVE A FIRE REPRESENTATIVE HERE? FIRE
- 25 DEPARTMENT? WHILE THEY'RE COMING UP. LET ME ASK COUNTY



- 1 COUNSEL, THE ISSUE WAS RAISED ABOUT POTENTIAL IMPACT RELATIVE
- 2 TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES. HOW DID STAFF ADDRESS THIS
- 3 PARTICULAR ISSUE?

4

- 5 RICHARD WEISS: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, TWO
- 6 SEPARATE HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYS WERE CONDUCTED
- 7 ON THE PROJECT SITE, ONE GOING BACK IN 1988, A SECOND ONE IN
- 8 2003. THE REPORTS INDICATED THAT THERE WERE NO KNOWN
- 9 PREHISTORIC OR MORE MODERN CULTURAL RESOURCES ON THE SITE. NOT
- 10 WITHSTANDING THAT, THE CONDITIONS THAT WERE IMPOSED BY THE
- 11 PLANNING COMMISSION REQUIRE THAT THE APPLICANT CEASE ANY
- 12 GRADING OR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE EVENT ANY POTENTIAL
- 13 RESOURCES ARE DISCOVERED UNTIL A QUALIFIED ARCHAEOLOGIST CAN
- 14 EXAMINE IT AND RECOMMEND MITIGATION MEASURES AND THE APPLICANT
- 15 MUST COMPLY WITH THOSE MEASURES AS APPROVED BY REGIONAL
- 16 PLANNING.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU REVIEWED THOSE MITIGATED DECLARATIONS
- 19 FOR THE PROJECT?

20

- 21 RICHARD WEISS: WE HAVE REVIEWED THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE
- 22 DECLARATION THAT'S BEEN PREPARED FOR THE PROJECT.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THOSE?



- 1 RICHARD WEISS: WE BELIEVE THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND
- 2 RECORD TO SUPPORT THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE
- 3 DECLARATION THAT, GIVEN THE PROJECT CHANGES AND MITIGATION
- 4 MEASURES, THE PROJECT CAN BE IMPLEMENTED WITHOUT ANY ADVERSE
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT REGIONAL PLANNING STAFF HAS
- 8 PERFORMED THE SUFFICIENT C.E.Q.A. ANALYSIS FOR THE PROJECT?

9

10 RICHARD WEISS: YES, WE BELIEVE SO.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, YOU'VE HAD HEARD
- 13 THE ISSUE RELATIVE TO FIRE AND SAFETY. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THIS
- 14 PROPOSED SITE PLAN FOR THIS PROJECT?

15

- 16 SPEAKER: YES, SIR. THE PLANS FOR THIS PROJECT HAVE BEEN
- 17 REVIEWED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S LAND DEVELOPMENT UNIT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IS THE INGRESS AND EGRESS SATISFACTORY?

20

- 21 SPEAKER: YES, SIR. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT HAVE SET CONDITIONS
- 22 REQUIRING TWO MEANS OF ACCESS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ARE YOU CONFIDENT THAT OCCUPANTS COULD BE
- 25 EVACUATED SAFELY IN AN EMERGENCY?



1

2 SPEAKER: YES. BASED ON OUR REQUIREMENTS FOR THE APPLICANT TO

3 PROVIDE TWO MEANS OF ACCESS. THAT'S CORRECT.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU HAD ISSUED A MEMORANDUM TO THE DEPARTMENT
- 6 OF REGIONAL PLANNING ON JANUARY 29TH OF THIS YEAR. DOES THAT
- 7 MEMORANDUM INCORPORATE THE MOST RECENT COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS
- 8 OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT?

9

10 SPEAKER: YES, SIR, IT DOES.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND FOR REGIONAL PLANNING, DID YOUR C.E.Q.A.
- 13 ANALYSIS CONCLUDE THAT THERE'S ADEQUATE WATER SUPPLY?

14

- 15 RUSSELL FRICANO: YES, SUPERVISOR. THE ANALYSIS DID CONCLUDE
- 16 THAT. WE CONSULTED WITH THE LAKE ELIZABETH MUTUAL WATER
- 17 COMPANY.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND DID YOU INVESTIGATE WHETHER THERE ARE
- 20 POTENTIALLY ADVERSE AIR QUALITY IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
- 21 PROJECT?

- 23 RUSSELL FRICANO: AIR QUALITY IMPACTS WERE CONSIDERED IN THE
- 24 INITIAL CITY PROCESS. WE DID CONSULT WITH THE AIR QUALITY
- 25 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. THEY DID NOT COMMENT. AND, AS A PART OF



- 1 THE CONDITIONS IMPOSED ON THIS PROJECT, THE APPLICANT WILL--
- 2 MUST FOLLOW SOME PROCEDURES FOR MITIGATING DUST RELATED TO
- 3 CONSTRUCTION.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND DID YOU CONCLUDE THAT THERE ARE ANY
- 6 ADVERSE AIR QUALITY IMPACTS?

7

8 RUSSELL FRICANO: THEY WERE DETERMINED NOT SIGNIFICANT.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DID YOUR STAFF INVESTIGATE WHETHER THERE WERE
- 11 ANY ADVERSE NOISE IMPACTS?

12

- 13 RUSSELL FRICANO: YES, WE DID. THAT WAS CONSIDERED AS PART OF
- 14 THE INITIAL STUDY PROCESS. WE CONSULTED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 15 HEALTH SERVICES.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DID YOU RAISE THE CONCERNS ABOUT THE ADEQUACY
- 18 OF THE BIOLOGICAL STUDIES AND COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THOSE
- 19 BIOLOGICAL STUDIES, WHEN THEY WERE PERFORMED AND WHETHER THEY
- 20 CONFORMED TO ESTABLISH PROTOCOL?

21

- 22 RUSSELL FRICANO: I WOULD LIKE TO REFER TO DR. DARRELL KOOTNIK
- 23 OF OUR STAFF.



- 1 DR. DARRELL KOOTNIK: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS DARRELL
- 2 KOOTNIK WITH THE REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND WE HAVE
- 3 REVIEWED THE BIOLOGICAL STUDIES THAT THE APPLICANT HAD
- 4 PREPARED FOR HIS PROJECT. BASED ON OUR INITIAL ANALYSIS, WE
- 5 REQUESTED ADDITIONAL SURVEYS TO BE DONE IN THE SPRINGTIME
- 6 FOCUSING ON CERTAIN POTENTIALLY OCCURRING SPECIES. WE ARE
- 7 SATISFIED THAT ALL THE APPROPRIATE PROTOCOL FOR THE LIKELY
- 8 SENSITIVE SPECIES TO OCCUR IN THE AREA HAVE BEEN PERFORMED.

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND DOES THE REGIONAL PLANNING FEEL THAT THE

11 PROPOSED USE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITY?

13 RUSSELL FRICANO: YES, WE DO AND WE FEEL IT'S CONSISTENT WITH

- 14 THE SORT OF USES DESIGNATED FOR THIS AREA IN THE ANTELOPE
- 15 VALLEY AREA PLAN.

9

12

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE 498 R.V. SPACES ARE APPROPRIATE FOR
- 18 THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITY?
- 20 RUSSELL FRICANO: YES, THEY ARE. DENSITIES AT RECREATIONAL
- 21 VEHICLE PARKS ARE DETERMINED IN THE ZONING ORDINANCE. STAFF
- 22 HAS CALCULATED THAT THE PERMITTEE WOULD BE ALLOWED
- 23 APPROXIMATELY 12-- HE'S PROPOSING THAT 12 UNITS PER ACRE,
- 24 WHICH IS HIGHER THAN-- WHICH IS LOWER THAN WHAT IS NORMALLY
- 25 PERMITTED. SO HE MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS ZONING CODE.



- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE APPROVAL OF THIS PERMIT,
- 3 C.U.P. 02-311-(5) PERMITS THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF AN 18-
- 4 HOLE GOLF COURSE, CLUBHOUSE AND RELATED FACILITIES. IT
- 5 INCLUDES THE SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES OF BEER AND WINE IN
- 6 THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A 498 SPACE RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK.
- 7 THE SALE OF THOSE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES WOULD BE AT THE PROPOSED
- 8 GENERAL STORE AND ACCESSORY USES. THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 9 COMMISSION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED THE PROJECT. AT THE PLANNING
- 10 COMMISSION HEARING, SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS SPOKE IN SUPPORT OF
- 11 THE PROJECT, INCLUDING THE PRESIDENT OF THE LAKES TOWN
- 12 COUNCIL. THE PROPOSED PROJECT WAS CONSIDERED AT SEVERAL
- 13 MEETINGS OF THE LAKES TOWN COUNCIL AND OTHER COMMUNITY
- 14 MEETINGS. BOTH THE LAKES TOWN COUNCIL AND THE LAKES COMMUNITY
- 15 CENTER ASSOCIATION HAVE SUPPORTED THE PROJECT. THE MAJORITY OF
- 16 COMMUNITY INPUT TO OUR OFFICE HAS ALSO BEEN IN SUPPORT. THE
- 17 APPLICANT HAS EXPRESSED CONCERNS RELATIVE TO IMPACTS UPON
- 18 LOCAL ROADWAYS, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES,
- 19 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, EMERGENCY ACCESS AND WATER AND AIR
- 20 QUALITY IMPACTS. STAFFS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
- 21 REGIONAL PLANNING AND COUNTY COUNSEL HAVE CONSIDERED THESE
- 22 ISSUES AND, AS EVIDENCED BY THE TESTIMONY TODAY, MOST OF THOSE
- 23 CONCERNS HAVE BEEN ADEOUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 24 DOCUMENTATION OR IN THE C.U.P. CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL. THERE
- 25 REMAINS, HOWEVER, SOME SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES WHICH MERIT



- 1 ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL. I'D INDICATE -- MOVE THAT
- 2 THE BOARD-- THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD INDICATE ITS INTENT
- 3 TO APPROVE THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION PREPARED FOR THE
- 4 PROPOSAL, INDICATE ITS INTENT TO APPROVE C.U.P. 02-311-(5) AND
- 5 FIND ON THE BASIS OF THE WHOLE RECORD BEFORE THE BOARD THAT
- 6 THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT DOES SUBSTANTIATE THE REQUIRED
- 7 FINDINGS AND BURDEN OF PROOF FOR A C.U.P., DIRECT COUNTY
- 8 COUNSEL TO PREPARE THE NECESSARY FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS
- 9 CONSISTENT WITH THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF
- 10 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 02-311-(5) WITH THE FOLLOWING
- 11 ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS. ONE, THE APPLICANT SHALL BEAR ALL COSTS
- 12 FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUR-WAY STOP SIGNS AT THE
- 13 INTERSECTION OF RANCH CLUB AND SAND ROCK TO THE SATISFACTION
- 14 OF DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS. FOR THE FIRST FIVE YEARS OF THE
- 15 LIFE OF THIS PERMIT, THE APPLICANT WILL BE SUBJECT TO
- 16 MONITORING INSPECTIONS TWICE PER YEAR BY THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 17 REGIONAL PLANNING. THE COST OF THESE INSPECTIONS WILL BE BORNE
- 18 BY THE APPLICANT. SUBJECT TO WORKING AROUND SCHEDULED EVENTS,
- 19 THE EXISTING MEETINGS, BANQUET ROOMS AT THE GOLF COURSE SHOULD
- 20 BE MADE AVAILABLE TO LOCAL NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS ON A PRO
- 21 BONO BASIS. THERE SHOULD BE NO LARGE SCALE EVENTS, SUCH AS
- 22 LARGE P.G.A. TOURNAMENTS THAT BRING IN SEVERAL THOUSAND
- 23 GUESTS. THE APPLICANT SHALL IMPLEMENT ALL CONDITIONS OF THE
- 24 FIRE DEPARTMENT PURSUANT TO THE JANUARY 29TH, 2004 FIRE
- 25 DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND? MOVED AND SECONDED. ANY
- 3 FURTHER DISCUSSION? ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? WE NEED TO CLOSE
- 4 THE PUBLIC HEARING, THEN?

5

- 6 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: TO THE EXTENT IT HASN'T BEEN, CLOSE
- 7 THE PUBLIC HEARING AND YOU CAN ACT ON THE SUPERVISOR'S...

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WITH THAT, IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 10 SECONDED. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? NO QUESTIONS. SO ORDERED.
- 11 THANK YOU. ITEM 10 IS APPROVED. WE'LL GO BACK TO ITEM NUMBER
- 12 1. OKAY. THANK YOU ALL FOR COMING DOWN. [ APPLAUSE ]

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IF YOU COULD-- WE STILL HAVE TO CONDUCT
- 15 BUSINESS. IF YOU'D LEAVE QUIETLY, WE'D APPRECIATE IT. THANK
- 16 YOU ALL FOR COMING DOWN.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON NUMBER 27, THEY WERE PEOPLE WHO
- 19 HAD ARRIVED LATE, THEY HAD WANTED TO SPEAK, AND I'D LIKE TO
- 20 MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION SO THAT WE CAN ALSO ASK QUESTIONS OF
- 21 THE DEPARTMENT...

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE'LL RECONSIDER ITEM 27. OKAY. SO
- 24 ORDERED. FIRST OF ALL, LET'S FINISH ITEM NUMBER 1.



- 1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MR. CHAIRMAN, AFTER TABULATING THE
- 2 BALLOTS, A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO MAJORITY
- 3 PROTEST EXISTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT FOR PARCELS
- 4 WITHIN TRACT NUMBER 46543. THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE
- 5 AT THIS TIME TO ADOPT THE REGULATION TO EXPAND DRAINAGE
- 6 BENEFIT ASSESSMENT, AREA NUMBER 5, AND LEVY ASSESSMENTS WITHIN
- 7 THE AREA OF EXPANSION.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I WOULD MOVE THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN? CLOSE THE HEARING AND APPROVE
- 12 THE ITEM.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND SECONDED BY THE CHAIR. WITHOUT
- 15 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. THERE'S BEEN A MOTION TO
- 16 RECONSIDER ITEM 27.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: IT'S A LANDSCAPING CONTRACT AT RANCHO, AND THERE
- 19 WERE PEOPLE WHO CAME IN LATE WHO DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO
- 20 ADDRESS THE ISSUE AND I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE ALSO THE
- 21 DEPARTMENT TO RESPOND TO THEIR ISSUES.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MAY WE HAVE THOSE SIGNED UP ON 27?

24

25 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY HEARD YOU.

25

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE'S SOMEBODY OVER HERE. 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE YOU JANINE WATKINS? 4 5 JANINE WATKINS: YES. 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SHE ORIGINALLY SIGNED UP FOR THAT THEN WE'D ALREADY APPROVED IT, THEN SHE WENT TO 51, SO WE'LL 9 GO AHEAD AND RECONSIDER 27. 10 11 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'LL ASK THE DEPARTMENT TO BE READY TO 14 15 RESPOND. 16 JANINE WATKINS: MY NAME IS JANINE WATKINS AND I RUN 17 18 ENVIRONMENTAL MAINTENANCE. WHAT I DID IS I CAME BEFORE THE 19 BOARD ON MAY 18TH IN REGARDS TO THIS ISSUE AND, UNFORTUNATELY, I'M BEFORE YOU TODAY, SO I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. IN THE 20 R.F.P. IN QUESTION, ON PAGE 30, IT STATES A PROPOSAL MUST 21 22 ADHERE TO THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS OUTLINED IN SUBPARAGRAPH 23 1.4, MINIMUM MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS OF THE R.F.P. FAILURE OF 24 THE PROPOSAL TO COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS MAY

ELIMINATE ITS PROPOSAL FROM ANY FURTHER CONSIDERATION. POINT



- 1 ONE FOUR. ATTENDING THE MANDATORY PROPOSALS CONFERENCE AND
- 2 PHYSICAL WALKTHROUGH INSPECTION OF THE FACILITY, THAT IT'S
- 3 MANDATORY. AND, ON PAGE 21, IT STATES AGAIN, 2.8, MANDATORY
- 4 PROPOSALS CONFERENCE. PROPOSERS MUST ATTEND BOTH THE MANDATORY
- 5 PROPOSER'S CONFERENCE AS WELL AS THE PHYSICAL WALKTHROUGH
- 6 INSPECTION AT EACH FACILITY FOR WHICH THEY INTEND TO SUBMIT A
- 7 PROPOSAL. PROPOSALS FROM FIRMS NOT ATTENDING BOTH THE
- 8 MANDATORY PROPOSALS CONFERENCE AND THE PHYSICAL WALKTHROUGH
- 9 INSPECTION WILL BE REJECTED. I'VE SUBMITTED TO YOU MY LETTER
- 10 AND ALSO EXHIBITS FROM THE MAY 18TH. I WILL READ MY LETTER.
- 11 "HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, I AM AMAZED AT THE AMOUNT OF TIME WE
- 12 SPENT WAITING FOR A RESPONSE TO A CLAIM OF IMPROPRIETY
- 13 REGARDING THE R.F.P. PROCESS INVOLVING RANCHO AND FIVE OTHER
- 14 SITES ONLY TO FIND THAT THE COUNTY IS NOT EVEN LISTENING TO
- 15 ITSELF IN THIS MATTER. FOLLOWING ARE THE RELATED BACKGROUND
- 16 FACTS AND OTHER INFORMATION. BACKGROUND. IN THE SUMMER OF
- 17 2003, AN R.F.P. WAS ISSUED FOR RANCHO LOS AMIGOS. ALL BIDDERS
- 18 WERE INFORMED, BY DHS EMPLOYEE PAULA ROSS, THAT THE R.F.P.
- 19 EVALUATION PROCESS HAD CHANGED. THEY WERE INFORMED THAT THE
- 20 ATTENDANCE AT THE BIDDERS CONFERENCE AND SIGHT WALKTHROUGH
- 21 WERE MANDATORY AND THAT IT WAS ALSO MANDATORY THAT THE SIGN-IN
- 22 AT EACH SIGHT WALKTHROUGH WITH PAULA MORALES, IT NEEDED TO BE
- 23 SIGNED IN WITH PAULA MORALES TO DOCUMENT PARTICIPATION. THEY
- 24 WERE TOLD THAT, IF THEY DID NOT PARTICIPATE, THEIR PROPOSAL
- 25 WOULD BE REJECTED. THE FACTS. AS EXTRACTED FROM THE



- 1 TRANSCRIPTS OF THE MAY 18TH, 2004 MEETING, THE COUNTY BOARD OF
- 2 SUPERVISORS FOR THE AGENDA ITEMS NUMBER 35. PREMIER DID NOT
- 3 SIGN IN NOR PARTICIPATE IN THE MANDATORY WALKTHROUGH FOR
- 4 RANCHO, FRED LEAF AND THE COUNTY COUNSEL ARE AWARE THAT
- 5 PREMIER DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE MANDATORY WALKTHROUGH NOR
- 6 SIGN IN FOR RANCHO BECAUSE THEY WERE OVER HALF AN HOUR LATE.
- 7 THE WALKTHROUGH LASTED ABOUT A HALF AN HOUR. ADDITIONAL
- 8 INFORMATION. COUNTY EMPLOYEES VALERIE ORANGE, GLORIA ZAMUDIO,
- 9 BOB SWEENEY AND PAULA MORALES ARE AWARE OF RANCHO'S FAILURE TO
- 10 PARTICIPATE IN THE MANDATORY WALKTHROUGH AS WELL AS THEIR
- 11 FAILURE TO SIGN IN. IF AN INVESTIGATION WAS CONDUCTED, HOW
- 12 DOES IT IGNORE THESE FACTS? THE ONLY NOTICE OF OUTCOMES FROM
- 13 THIS INVESTIGATION THAT I HAVE RECEIVED TO DATE IS THAT A
- 14 MATHEMATICAL ERROR WAS DISCOVERED AND THAT THE ENTIRE PROCESS
- 15 WAS GOING TO BE REEVALUATED WITH THE RESULTS AND MY COMPANY
- 16 BEING COMPLETELY ELIMINATED AS AN AWARDEE AND THE COMPANY THAT
- 17 THE CLAIM WAS BROUGHT AGAINST BEING NAMED AS THE AWARDEE OF
- 18 RANCHO. IF THE RULES WERE FOLLOWED, HOW ARE THESE FAILURES
- 19 OVERLOOKED? I ASK THAT YOU MOMENTARILY SET ASIDE OFFICIAL
- 20 ALLEGIANCES AND TAKE A CLEAN LOOK AT THE FACTS SURROUNDING
- 21 THIS MATTER. THEY SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES."

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE

- 24 DEPARTMENT RESPOND. I MEAN, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, AND I
- 25 REMEMBER WHEN YOU RAISED THE ISSUE, THE INVESTIGATION BY THE



- 1 DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER INDICATED THAT
- 2 THE RECOMMENDATION WOULD NOT CHANGE, SO... MR. LEAF? ANYBODY
- 3 FROM AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, PUBLIC WORKS, WHOEVER EVALUATED IT.

- 5 FRED LEAF: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
- 6 MISS WATKINS IS CORRECT. ON MAY 18TH, SHE CAME TO THE BOARD
- 7 AND FILED A COMPLAINT ABOUT THIS PROCESS. OF PARTICULAR WAS
- 8 HER CONCERN ABOUT THE ALLEGED NONPARTICIPATION BY ONE OF THE
- 9 VENDORS IN THE MANDATORY WALKTHROUGH. THE FACTS IN THIS CASE
- 10 INDICATE THAT THE INDIVIDUAL BEING QUESTIONED-- IN QUESTION
- 11 DID, IN FACT, ATTEND THE WALKTHROUGH. THEY WERE SEVERAL
- 12 MINUTES LATE AND WERE DETAINED AT THE DESK FOR A NUMBER OF
- 13 OTHER MINUTES, BUT DID EVENTUALLY JOIN THE WALKTHROUGH GROUP
- 14 AND TOURED THE FACILITY. OTHER VENDORS, INCLUDING
- 15 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, WERE ALSO-- ALSO ARRIVED AFTER THE
- 16 2:00 SET TIME. IN DISCUSSING THIS WITH COUNTY COUNSEL, THEY
- 17 INDICATED THAT THE LATENESS OF THE VENDOR IN QUESTION WAS NOT
- 18 MATERIAL AND WOULD NOT-- SHOULD NOT RESULT IN HIS REJECTION
- 19 FROM THE PROCESS. AS PART OF OUR REVIEW, WE DID DETERMINE THAT
- 20 THERE WAS A MATHEMATICAL ERROR IN CALCULATING THE SCORES
- 21 OUTSIDE OF MISS WATKINS' ALLEGATIONS. WE THEN ASSEMBLED
- 22 ANOTHER EVALUATION COMMITTEE, A NEW ONE, INCLUDING TWO EXPERTS
- 23 IN LANDSCAPING, ONE FROM PARKS AND REC, ONE FROM HARBOR, AND
- 24 ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE, EVALUATION COMMITTEE WAS
- 25 INSPECTION AND AUDIT DIVISION. THE REEVALUATION OCCURRED AND



- 1 WAS REVIEWED AND MONITORED BY THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND THE
- 2 RESULTS ARE WHAT'S ON THE-- IS WHAT APPEARS ON YOUR BOARD'S
- 3 AGENDA TODAY. AND I'LL BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY FURTHER
- 4 OUESTIONS.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: MAYBE YOU CAN EXPLAIN, WHAT WAS THE MATHEMATICAL
- 7 ERROR?

8

- 9 FRED LEAF: THE WEIGHTING OF ONE OF THE AREAS OF MEASUREMENT
- 10 WAS INCORRECTLY APPLIED, WHICH RESULTED IN AN INCORRECT
- 11 OUTCOME ON THE SCORE.

12

13 SUP. BURKE: WHICH ONE WAS THAT?

14

15 FRED LEAF: PARDON ME?

16

17 SUP. BURKE: WHICH WEIGHT WAS THAT?

18

- 19 FRED LEAF: I DON'T HAVE THE REPORT HERE THAT WAS PRESENTED TO
- 20 YOUR BOARD IN JUNE BUT I CAN CERTAINLY GET THAT TO YOU, BUT IT
- 21 WAS ONE OF THE WEIGHTS ON THE CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE
- 22 FINAL SCORE.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: SO THE SCORES, AS WE SEE THEM TODAY, ARE DIFFERENT
- 25 THAN THE ONES WE HAD ORIGINALLY?



1 2 FRED LEAF: YES. 3 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE ANOTHER OUESTION, TOO. HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE 4 5 PRESENTLY DOING THE WORK THAT'S ANTICIPATED UNDER THE CONTRACT? 6 7 8 FRED LEAF: UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE MISS WATKINS CAN TELL US HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THERE RIGHT NOW. 10 11 JANINE WATKINS: PLENTY AT RANCHO, IT'S SIX AND, TO THAT NOTE, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S BEING CONSIDERED AND ALL OF IT CAME 12 13 OUT OF THE INVESTIGATION, SUPPOSEDLY, OF CHECKING FOR THEM BEING LATE, IS THAT I UNDERSTAND SPECIAL CONSIDERATION IS 14 GIVEN TO SMALL BUSINESSES BUT CURRENTLY I HAVE 11 EMPLOYEES 15 16 WORKING ON TWO DIFFERENT SITES. THEY GET LIVING WAGE. MY MEN HAVE BEEN APPROACHED BY THE NEW CONTRACT THAT IS COMING IN, 17 WHICH IS OKAY WITH ME BUT THEY HAVE TO CONSIDER WHETHER OR NOT 18 19 THEY'RE GOING TO BE RETAINED WITH THEM FOR 6.75 OR \$8.00 AN 20 HOUR. MY MEN CAN'T LIVE OFF OF THAT.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: DID THE CONTRACT ANTICIPATE THAT IT WOULD NOT BE
- 23 THE LIVING WAGE IN YOUR CALCULATIONS, THAT THE WAY THAT YOU
- 24 CAME TO THE AMOUNT...



- 1 FRED LEAF: YES, IT DID. THEY FALL UNDER AN EXEMPTION TO THE
- 2 LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE IN THAT THEY HAVE LESS THAN 20 FULL-TIME
- 3 EMPLOYEES IN THEIR ORGANIZATION.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: SO WHAT AMOUNT WERE YOU FIGURING? YOU FIGURED FOR
- 6 ONE RATE OF PAY FOR SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO PROPOSED AND
- 7 ANOTHER RATE FOR OTHERS?

8

- 9 FRED LEAF: WELL, THE BUSINESS IN QUESTION PRESENTED A PROPOSAL
- 10 THAT THEY DEVELOPED BASED ON THEIR COSTS ANTICIPATED...

11

12 SUP. BURKE: AND THAT WAS NOT LIVING WAGE?

13

- 14 FRED LEAF: THEIR PROPOSAL WOULD INCLUDE WHATEVER SAVINGS THERE
- 15 WERE, IF THERE WERE ANY ON THE FACT THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE TO
- 16 COMPLY WITH LIVING WAGE.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: WELL, SEE, WHAT MY PROBLEM ON THIS IS THAT, IF
- 19 IT'S GOING TO TAKE MORE THAN 20 PEOPLE TO TAKE CARE OF THE
- 20 RESPONSIBILITIES, THEN, AS SOON AS THEY HIRE THE PEOPLE, THEN
- 21 THEIR PROPOSAL IS GOING TO BE DIFFERENT THAN IT WAS AT THE
- 22 TIME THAT THEY PROPOSED IT BASED UPON PAYING PEOPLE 6.75
- 23 RATHER THAN LIVING WAGE.



- 1 FRED LEAF: THE ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES THEY INTEND TO HIRE ARE IN
- 2 ADDITION TO THE CURRENT EMPLOYEES, WHICH ARE 11. SO IT THROWS
- 3 THEM AT-- THE NEW EMPLOYEES, I BELIEVE, ARE SEVEN, WHICH SHOWS
- 4 THEM AT 19-- 18 OR 19 EMPLOYEES. THAT'S THE NEW NUMBER OF
- 5 EMPLOYEES THEY WILL HAVE IN THEIR ORGANIZATION.

6

7 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE)

8

- 9 FRED LEAF: YES, THEY WOULD. IF THEY GO ABOVE THE 20, YES, THEY
- 10 WOULD.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: THEY WOULD, HOWEVER, SEE, WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT, IF
- 13 IT TAKES THEM 20 EMPLOYEES IN ORDER TO DO THE JOB, THEY WILL
- 14 BE GETTING A CONTRACT BASED UPON A DIFFERENT SET OF
- 15 CIRCUMSTANCES THAN HOW IT WAS-- WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO PERFORM.

16

17 FRED LEAF: BUT THEY DON'T HAVE....

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: YOU DON'T SAY TO ANY CONTRACTOR, HOW MANY PEOPLE
- 20 WILL IT TAKE YOU TO DO THE JOB. WE ALWAYS ASK THEM HOW TO DO
- 21 THE JOB, RIGHT?

- 23 JANINE WATKINS: NO, THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THE EVALUATION
- 24 PROCESS, PRIOR TO THIS PROCESS, HAD STATED HOW MANY PLEASE WE



- 1 NEEDED TO HAVE. PAULA MORALES CHANGED THAT PROCESS, WHICH WAS
- 2 STATED TO US THE LAST TIME. THE...

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: SO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT HAD THAT IT HAD TO HAVE
- 5 SO MANY EMPLOYEES? WHO WAS THAT NUMBER?

6

7 JANINE WATKINS: IT WAS MANDATORY UNDER MY CONTRACT...

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: EXCUSE ME.

10

11 JANINE WATKINS: SIX AT RANCHO...

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: EXCUSE ME. FRED, HOW MANY EMPLOYEES DID THE
- 14 R.F.P. REQUIRE?

15

- 16 FRED LEAF: WELL, THE R.F.P. SPECIFIES HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WILL
- 17 BE ALLOCATED TO A FACILITY. IT DOESN'T SPECIFY THE TOTAL
- 18 NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES YOU'LL HAVE ALLOCATED TO THE TASK.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: SO HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WERE ALLOCATED TO THIS
- 21 FACILITY?

- 23 FRED LEAF: TO THIS FACILITY, I BELIEVE IT WAS 10 OF THEIR
- 24 CURRENT 18, THE ONES-- 18 EMPLOYEES IF THEY HIRED THE NEW
- 25 EMPLOYEES.

1

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



2 SUP. MOLINA: SO HOW CAN YOU INTERPRET THAT TO BE A NUMBER OF
3 EMPLOYEES? NOW I'M ASKING YOU.
4
5 JANINE WATKINS: BECAUSE IT'S PHYSICAL WORK. YOU HAVE TO HAVE
6 SO MANY PEOPLE TO HANDLE THOSE CONTRACTS IN ORDER TO GET THE
7 JOB DONE. I MOW LAWNS FOR A LIVING, MY GUYS HANDLE HEAVY

- 8 EQUIPMENT THAT IS DANGEROUS, SO I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW YOU CAN
- 9 DO THE JOB FOR THREE INSTEAD OF FIVE AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 10 AND I JUST PASSED DOCUMENTATION THAT SHOW YOU THAT...

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: LET ME-- WAIT, WAIT. LET'S JUST DEBATE IT
- 13 WHERE IT IS.

14

16

19

21

24

15 **JANINE WATKINS:** OKAY.

17 SUP. MOLINA: IF THAT IS THE QUESTION, THEN THAT'S A DIFFERENT

- 18 ISSUE BUT YOU CAN'T GO AROUND...
- 20 JANINE WATKINS: I'M SORRY. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.
- 22 SUP. MOLINA: ...INTERPRETING IT AFTER THE FACT AND I THINK
- 23 THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE RAISING ABOUT. NOW, IF THE ISSUE IS...
- 25 JANINE WATKINS: THAT IS...



```
1
    SUP. MOLINA: ... THAT THEY'RE GOING TO COME IN LATER AND-- THAT
2
3
    THEY WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE LIVING WAGE ORDER.
4
5
    JANINE WATKINS: THAT'S NOT WHAT...
6
    SUP. BURKE: WHAT WE'RE SETTING UP IS THAT A PERSON WHO WANTS
7
8
    TO BID CAN PUT THEMSELVES IN A POSITION OF THE EXEMPTION AND
    THEY CAN DO THAT BY SIMPLY SAYING, WE'RE GOING TO USE LESS
    PEOPLE. AND THAT'S WHY MY QUESTION WAS HOW MANY PEOPLE HAD
10
11
    BEEN USED PREVIOUSLY, BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO SET UP A
    SITUATION WHERE WE GRANT A CONTRACT BASED ON SOMEONE CUTTING
12
13
    BACK THE NUMBERS FOR IT.
14
    SUP. MOLINA: BUT WE WENT THROUGH THIS, I REMEMBER, ABOUT THREE
15
16
    MONTHS AGO WHEN THIS ISSUE WAS RAISED AND I THINK ALL OF THE
    ISSUES NEED...
17
18
19
    JANINE WATKINS: [ INDISTINCT ]
20
    SUP. MOLINA: IT'S NOT THE FIRST TIME THIS HAS BEEN BEFORE US.
21
22
23
    JANINE WATKINS: NO, WE ADDRESSED...
24
25
    SUP. BURKE: IT'S BEEN BEFORE US ON THE...
```



1 JANINE WATKINS: ...IT BEFORE. 2 3 SUP. BURKE: ...NOTICE, ON THE SIGN-IN ON THE TOUR ISSUE. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: THE ISSUE IS, IS THAT WE NEED TO RAISE IT ALL AT 6 ONE TIME AND YOU CAN'T BE, YOU KNOW-- IS THIS SOMETHING THAT 7 8 WAS ANALYZED AT THE BEGINNING, FRED? 9 FRED LEAF: WELL, WHEN YOU SAY-- SUPERVISOR, WHEN YOU SAY 10 11 "RAISED," WHAT DO YOU MEAN EXACTLY? 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, MY ISSUE, ISN'T THIS THE SECOND TIME THAT THIS CONTRACT HAS COME BEFORE US? 14 15 16 FRED LEAF: YES, IT IS. 17 18 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. THE FIRST TIME I WAS CONCERNED THAT--19 BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THERE WERE ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED THAT 20 CLAIM HE'D NOT RESPONDED TO AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE SAID IS 21 GO BACK, STRAIGHTEN THIS THING OUT BECAUSE WE NEEDED TO HAVE 22 ALL OF THOSE ISSUES CLARIFIED. HAS THIS ISSUE BEEN RAISED 23 BEFORE?



- 1 FRED LEAF: THIS ISSUE HAS NOT BEEN RAISED. THIS IS THE FIRST
- 2 TIME THIS HAS BEEN RAISED.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: SO YOU CAN'T COME IN WITH NEW STUFF ALL THE TIME,
- 5 IS WHAT I'M SAYING AND THEN WE'RE NEVER GOING TO GET THIS
- 6 CONTRACT-- NOT THAT IT'S INVALID BUT, AT ONE POINT IN TIME...

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: WELL, ALSO, THE NUMBERS WERE CALCULATED
- 9 DIFFERENTLY THIS TIME.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THEN I'M GOING TO RECOMMEND THAT THIS
- 12 GO BACK AND SOMEHOW, SOMEBODY HAS TO SIT DOWN AND ANALYZE ALL
- 13 THE QUESTIONS BECAUSE WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO BE-- UNLESS THEY'RE
- 14 INVALID CONCERNS.

15

16 FRED LEAF: THEY ARE INVALID CONCERNS, SUPERVISOR.

17

18 JANINE WATKINS: CAN I SPEAK?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: NOT YET.

21

22 **JANINE WATKINS:** OKAY.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: I MEAN, DO YOU SEE THEM AS INVALID CONCERNS?



- 1 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I TOLD YOU WHAT MY CONCERN WAS BUT I ALSO--
- 2 ORDINARILY, YOU HAVE THE SAIL CALCULATION OF NUMBERS EVERY
- 3 TIME THEY COME BEFORE US. I DON'T RECALL BEFORE ANYONE COMING
- 4 BACK AND SAYING, "WE MADE A MISTAKE THE FIRST TIME, SO WE'VE
- 5 NOW RECALCULATED THE NUMBERS AND WE'RE PRESENTING YOU A
- 6 DIFFERENT SET OF NUMBERS."

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: BUT, YVONNE, THE ISSUE THAT I'M RAISING WITH YOU
- 9 IS LIKE ANYTHING ELSE. IF WE ASKED A CONSULTANT TO COME IN AND
- 10 GIVE US A REPORT-- ANALYZE OUR BUDGET, SOMEBODY CAN COME IN
- 11 AND SAY, "I'M GOING TO ANALYZE YOUR BUDGET WITH 13 PEOPLE AND
- 12 44 COMPUTERS AND SO MUCH OFFICE SPACE." I DON'T THINK WE
- 13 NECESSARILY BREAK IT DOWN THAT IT HAS TO HAVE SO MANY PEOPLE
- 14 AND I THINK THAT'S THE ISSUE THAT'S BEING RAISED. I'VE NEVER
- 15 SEEN THE CONTRACTS THAT WAY AND SO THAT'S MY CONCERN. IF
- 16 THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. NOW, IF WE'RE CREATING AN UNFAIR
- 17 ADVANTAGE IN THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE A CHANGE LATER ON, WHICH
- 18 HAS A LOT OF VALIDITY TO IT, THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW. SO I
- 19 JUST WANT TO KNOW, WHAT IS IT THAT WE STATED? NOW, SHE COULD
- 20 HAVE A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION ALL DAY LONG. I'M GOING TO
- 21 HAVE 17 PEOPLE DO A JOB THAT THREE PEOPLE COULD DO. THAT'S HER
- 22 RIGHT BUT IF IT'S-- IF IT'S A LOSS LEADER, IN OTHER WORDS,
- 23 YOUR PUTTING ONE UP AND THEN, LATER ON, THEY CAN SWITCH AND
- 24 SHE GETS AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE, THEN I'M CONCERNED. AND THAT'S
- 25 WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: AND THAT'S MY CONCERN IS THAT-- AND ARE WE OPENING
- 3 IT UP FOR THIS TO BE UTILIZED...

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THAT'S WHAT FRED HAS TO EXPLAIN, NOT SO
- 6 MUCH THE PROPOSER.

7

- 8 FRED LEAF: CURRENTLY, IN EACH-- IF THIS ORGANIZATION--
- 9 REMEMBER, IT'S THE TOTAL EMPLOYEES EMPLOYED BY AN
- 10 ORGANIZATION, NOT JUST THOSE ALLOCATED TO OUR CONTRACT. SO ANY
- 11 TIME THE CURRENT SELECTED VENDOR WERE TO RAISE THEIR NUMBER OF
- 12 EMPLOYEES TO MORE THAN 20, THEY WOULD THEN HAVE TO COMPLY WITH
- 13 LIVING WAGE. CURRENTLY, THEIR ORGANIZATION WAS STAFFED BY 11
- 14 EMPLOYEES. THEY ARE INCREASING THAT NUMBER BY SEVEN TO HANDLE
- 15 THIS ADDITIONAL CONTRACT LOAD. THAT'S IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- 16 LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE AND, AS FAR AS WHAT THEY MAY OR MAY NOT
- 17 DO AT SOME TIME IN THE FUTURE, I MEAN, WE WOULDN'T KNOW THAT,
- 18 BUT...

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: THEN THOSE AREN'T-- THAT IS FAIR.

- 22 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME ASSURANCES
- 23 THAT THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT THEY ARE PUTTING IN TO SAY THAT
- 24 THEY ARE GOING TO USE IN ORDER TO CARRY OUT THE CONTRACT IS
- 25 VALID AND IF THEY WILL BE ABLE TO PERFORM THE CONTRACT AND



1 THAT'S WHY I ASK THE QUESTION, HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE WORKING ON

2 THIS BEFORE?

3

- 4 FRED LEAF: THAT-- WELL, WE HAVE, LIKE, FOR INSTANCE, AT KING,
- 5 I BELIEVE ENVIRONMENTAL HAS-- CURRENTLY HAS FOUR LABORERS, ONE
- 6 SUPERVISOR AND ONE -- WHICH I CAN'T READ HERE -- FOUR, I MEAN. I
- 7 CAN'T READ THE WRITING. BUT THE VENDOR THAT'S PROPOSING HERE
- 8 IS GOING TO HAVE SLIGHTLY MORE THAN THAT. SO, I MEAN, THE
- 9 ISSUE WAS REVIEWED. THE EVALUATORS, WHO WERE TWO EXPERTS IN
- 10 LANDSCAPING, REVIEWED SPECIFICALLY AS ONE OF THE CRITERIA FOR
- 11 RATING THIS, THE STAFFING AND WHETHER THEY COULD HANDLE THE
- 12 JOB. THESE TWO EXPERTS, ONE FROM PARKS, ONE FROM HARBOR, MADE
- 13 A DETERMINATION THAT THE STAFFING INDICATED IN THIS VENDOR'S
- 14 PROPOSAL DID ADEQUATELY ADDRESS THE ISSUE AND IT ALSO COSTS
- 15 LESS MONEY.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: AND WHAT WERE THE NUMBERS THAT THEY CHANGED SO
- 18 THAT WE COULD TELL, WHAT THEY CHANGED FROM WHAT WE HAD BEFORE?

- 20 JANINE WATKINS: IT'S RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU HERE. I PASSED IT
- 21 TO THE BOARD. YOU'VE GOT THE MAY 18TH PROPOSAL AND YOU'VE GOT
- 22 TODAY'S PROPOSAL THAT SHOW YOU THOSE NUMBERS. AND IF YOU'RE
- 23 TALKING ABOUT INCREASING IT TO SEVEN, YOU'VE AWARDED THEM TWO
- 24 MAJOR CONTRACTS AND TWO SMALLER CONTRACTS AND SIMPLE



- 1 DEDUCTION, THERE'S NO WAY TO DO IT WITH SEVEN ADDITIONAL
- 2 EMPLOYEES UNLESS YOU'RE THEIR ONLY ACCOUNT.

3

- 4 FRED LEAF: WE HAVE TO RELY ON THE EXPERTS THAT WERE-- WHO
- 5 PARTICIPATED ON THE PANEL.

6

- 7 JANINE WATKINS: THERE IT IS RIGHT THERE. I'M AN EXPERT, TOO,
- 8 I'VE BEEN DOING THIS FOR 20 YEARS, RAISING KIDS AND COMING TO
- 9 THE COUNTY BOARD. THE PROBLEM HERE IS THAT, WHEN I COME BEFORE
- 10 YOU, IT GETS ALL MIXED UP. THE MAJOR ISSUE IS THAT THERE'S
- 11 BEEN A BREACH IN REGARDS TO MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS AND THEN,
- 12 IN SUPPOSEDLY INVESTIGATING THAT BREACH, YOU UNCOVERED,
- 13 UNCOVERED MISCALCULATIONS BY YOUR EXPERTS, WHICH TAINTS THE
- 14 PROCESS, WHICH LEADS YOU, YVONNE, TO YOUR QUESTION AND THAT,
- 15 TO ME, WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT LITTLE STUFF IS TAINTED...

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I WANT HIM TO ANSWER. WHAT WAS THE CHANGE
- 18 YOU MADE IN THE CALCULATION SO I CAN LOOK AT IT AND SEE WHAT
- 19 IT WAS? IT'S A DOLLAR CHANGE, RIGHT?

20

21 FRED LEAF: PARDON ME?

22

23 SUP. BURKE: WAS IT A DOLLAR CHANGE OR WAS IT A NUMBER CHANGE?



- 1 FRED LEAF: NO, IT'S THE POINTS, THE WAY THE WEIGHTS WERE BEING
- 2 APPLIED TO THE CRITERIA BEING MEASURED.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: AND THE WEIGHT WAS FOR WHAT?

5

6 FRED LEAF: I DON'T HAVE IT HERE WITH ME IN FRONT OF ME.

7

8 JANINE WATKINS: THE DOCUMENT IS RIGHT THERE.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT HE NEEDS TO HAVE IT. IF THERE'S
- 11 NO OBJECTION, I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE IT OVER TO NEXT WEEK AND
- 12 LET HIM JUST BRING US EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE CHANGING.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, WELL...

15

16 FRED LEAF: I HAVE TO QUESTION. WHEN THIS EXPIRES?

17

- 18 JANINE WATKINS: WELL, UNFORTUNATELY, THE COUNTY WAITS UNTIL
- 19 THE LAST MINUTE. IT EXPIRES ON SATURDAY, JUST LIKE THEY DID
- 20 BEFORE WHEN I CAME BEFORE YOU ON MAY 18TH.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: SO, LET ME SAY IT, WE'LL JUST GIVE IT 30 DAYS,
- 23 YOU'LL COME BACK, HAVE IT FOR 30 DAYS, YOU'LL COME BACK AND
- 24 GET IT ALL STRAIGHT.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, BUT WE-- I MEAN, WE'VE CHECKED THIS
- 2 OUT BEFORE. YOU HAVE AN AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REPORT, YOU HAVE A
- 3 PUBLIC WORKS SAYING THAT THERE WAS NO...

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: BUT THEY'RE SAYING THAT THE AUDITOR'S-- BUT HE'S
- 6 SAYING THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENT NUMBERS NOW.

7

8 FRED LEAF: WELL, THE AUDITOR-- NO, THAT WAS...

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, I MEAN, THEY SAID THAT BUT THEY SAID
- 11 THAT-- OKAY.

12

- 13 FRED LEAF: THAT WAS THE BASIS OF OUR REEVALUATION. THAT WAS
- 14 THE BASIS OF IT, WHICH WE NOTIFIED YOUR BOARD IN JUNE ON.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXACTLY.

17

18 FRED LEAF: THAT'S WHY WE DID THIS REEVALUATION.

19

- 20 JANINE WATKINS: THAT'S WHAT YOU UNCOVERED AFTER THE FACT OF MY
- 21 SAYING TO YOU THAT THIS HAS BEEN TAINTED AND THAT THE
- 22 MANDATORY WALKTHROUGH, THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS WERE NOT
- 23 ADHERED.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THEY RESPONDED TO YOUR CONCERN...



1 JANINE WATKINS: NO, IT WAS NOT RESPONDED TO. I NEVER RECEIVED 2 3 A LETTER IN THE MAIL SAYING TO ME... 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I MEAN, I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT. I'M 6 TALKING ABOUT AT LEAST WHEN YOU CAME HERE, THE AUDITOR-7 CONTROLLER AND I THINK PUBLIC WORKS CONDUCTED THE 8 INVESTIGATION. 9 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT AND THEN THEY CHANGED THE NUMBERS AS I 10 11 GATHER. THE WEIGHTING WAS INCORRECT. 12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. AND THEY INDICATED THAT, YOU KNOW,
- 14 THE AWARD. NOW WE HAVE-- SO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE IT A WEEK?

16 FRED LEAF: BUT COUNSEL MIGHT HAVE TO SPEAK OF THIS BECAUSE, IF

17 IT EXPIRES ON THURSDAY, I'M NOT SURE WE CAN...

19 SUP. BURKE: WELL, CAN WE CONTINUE-- CAN WE EXTEND IT FOR

20 ANOTHER 10 DAYS OR SOMETHING?

15

18

21

- 22 JANINE WATKINS: THAT'S CONVENIENT.
- 24 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: YOU COULD EXTEND THE CONTRACT WITH THE
- 25 ACCEPTANCE OF THE CURRENT CONTRACTOR, YES.



1 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT. 2 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, I MEAN, I DON'T-- OKAY. COUNSEL, 4 5 WHAT-- WE CAN CONTINUE IT FOR WHAT? 6 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: YOU CONTINUE IT FOR A WEEK OR FOR 30 7 8 DAYS, WHATEVER WOULD GIVE THE TIME TO GET BACK. BUT, AS I UNDERSTAND THE CONTRACT, SUPERVISOR BURKE IS CORRECT THAT, IF 9 10 THE PROPOSED VENDOR, THE CONTRACTOR WERE TO HIRE ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES AND BECOME SUBJECT TO LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE, IT 11 WOULD HAVE TO PAY ALL OF ITS EMPLOYEES THE LIVING WAGE BUT 12 THAT WOULD NOT CHANGE THE COMPENSATION TO THE CONTRACTOR UNDER 13 THIS CONTRACT. SO, IN ESSENCE, IT WOULD DRIVE THE CONTRACTOR'S 14 15 PROFIT DOWN IF THEY WERE ENGAGING IN THAT KIND OF A PRACTICE. 16 BUT I THINK SUPERVISOR BURKE'S SPECIFIC POINT IS THAT THEY'RE TRYING TO GET -- THAT A CONTRACTOR COULD GET IN BY HAVING A 17 18 LOWER BID BY HAVING LESS THAN 20 EMPLOYEES AND THEREFORE NOT 19 PAYING THE LIVING WAGE. BUT IF, THEREAFTER, THEN THEY RAISE THEIR NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, IT WOULD BECOME SUBJECT TO LIVING 20 21 WAGE AND THEIR PROFIT MARGIN WOULD BE REDUCED BY THAT. THE 22 ISSUE OF THE CHANGE IN THE NUMBERS IS AS A RESULT OF A 23 RECALCULATION THAT WAS DONE. THEY'RE DIFFERENT NUMBERS BECAUSE THE FIRST SCORING PROCESS WAS MATHEMATICALLY DONE INCORRECTLY 24 AND, WHEN IT WAS DONE CORRECTLY, IT DID RESULT IN A SECOND SET 25



- 1 OF NUMBERS AND THOSE ARE THE NUMBERS THAT ARE BEFORE YOU
- 2 TODAY.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED DURING
- 5 THE INVESTIGATION, THE RECALCULATION AND THAT'S WHAT'S BEFORE
- 6 US TODAY, IS THE RECOMMENDATION.

7

- 8 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: THAT'S CORRECT AND WE HAD, I BELIEVE,
- 9 ADVISED, EARLIER ON, THAT THE ISSUE OF THE MANDATORY
- 10 WALKTHROUGH AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE PROPOSED CONTRACTOR
- 11 TODAY PARTICIPATED IN THAT WAS NOT MATERIAL THAT WOULD REQUIRE
- 12 THEIR BID TO BE THROWN OUT, SO THAT IS WHY THEY ARE STILL IN
- 13 PLAY.

14

- 15 FRED LEAF: AND THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REVIEWED THE
- 16 RECALCULATION AS WELL AS THE MEASURE-- THE EVALUATORS
- 17 PERFORMANCE DURING THEIR EVALUATION AND CONCURS WITH THIS
- 18 FINDING.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE HAVE...

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN?

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES?



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: LET ME ASK-- YOU KNOW, I WANT US TO BE FAIR ON
- 2 THIS WHOLE THING AND I THINK WE DID THE LAST TIME IN RE-
- 3 REVIEWING THIS BUT THERE'S A POINT WHERE THE FAIRNESS IS
- 4 HURTING SOMEONE ELSE AND THE POINT IS THAT WE CREATE A PROCESS
- 5 AND WE CREATE AN EVALUATION-- THERE'S ALWAYS GOING TO BE
- 6 PEOPLE WHO DON'T GET THE CONTRACT THAT ARE GOING TO THINK THE
- 7 PROCESS IS UNFAIR, BUT THE PEOPLE WHO ARE PROPOSING AS WELL
- 8 ARE BEING, IN A SENSE, VICTIMIZED BECAUSE THEY WENT THROUGH
- 9 THE PROCESS AND IT'S HONORED AND I'M CONCERNED THAT A
- 10 CONTINUATION OF THIS IS UNFAIR TO WHOEVER WON THE CONTRACT.
- 11 OH, IS THAT YOU, SIR? OH, OKAY. SO WE HAVE A DUTY TO MAINTAIN
- 12 THE INTEGRITY OF OUR PROCESS. AND THE LAST TIME SHE CAME HERE,
- 13 I WAS VERY CONCERNED THAT WE HAD NOT RESPONDED TO ALL OF OUR
- 14 ISSUES BUT IT CAN'T BE AN ONGOING THING. I JUST THINK THAT,
- 15 YOU KNOW, IF, IN FACT-- WHETHER SHE CHOOSES TO BELIEVE THAT
- 16 THREE PEOPLE OR SIX PEOPLE SHOULD DO THE WORK, THAT'S NOT THE
- 17 ISSUE. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING IT'S A CONTRACT FOR A SET PRICE
- 18 TO DO THE WORK AND SO THAT SHOULD NOT CHANGE.

- 20 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT, IN SOME OF THESE CONTRACTS,
- 21 WHAT WE HAVE SAID WHERE WE CHANGE THE CONTRACTOR, THAT THE
- 22 PEOPLE WHO ARE PRESENTLY DOING THE WORK THAT WE HAVE
- 23 REOUESTED, THAT THEY BE GIVEN A CHANCE TO APPLY FOR THE NEW
- 24 CONTRACT, AT LEAST WE'VE ESTABLISHED THAT PRECEDENT IN SOME
- 25 THAT I RECALL. NOW, IN THIS CASE, IF THOSE PEOPLE APPLY, THEY



- 1 WILL THEN BE GOING TO A PERSON WHO IS PAYING LESS THAN THEY
- 2 WERE MAKING BEFORE BECAUSE THEY HAVE-- THE REPRESENTATION HAS
- 3 BEEN MADE HERE THAT THEY'RE EXEMPT SO THEY WILL NOT BE PAYING
- 4 LIVING WAGE.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT IS-- BUT IT IS BECAUSE, UNDER OUR LIVING
- 7 WAGE ORDINANCE, WE EXEMPTED THE SMALLER FIRMS WITH THE IDEA
- 8 THAT IT WAS GOING TO BE A DIFFERENT SITUATION. SO IF YOU WANT
- 9 TO CHANGE THE LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE, THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE
- 10 BUT YOU CAN'T DO IT ON AN ITEM-BY-ITEM BASIS. THAT'S TRUE OF
- 11 ANY POTENTIAL CONTRACT. IT'S JUST THAT WHAT DO WE REPRESENT...

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: BUT WE CAN'T USE-- YOU CAN'T USE THE LIVING WAGE
- 14 ORDINANCE AND THE TERMS OF IT TO BRING YOURSELF RIGHT UNDER IT
- 15 IN ORDER TO GET A CONTRACT AND TO...

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: THERE'S ALWAYS A LINE, YVONNE. THERE'S ALWAYS A
- 18 LINE.

19

- 20 JANINE WATSON: BUT WHEN DOES THE LINE APPLY? YOUR PROCESS WAS
- 21 TAINTED FROM THE BEGINNING. WHEN YOU REEVALUATED, IT WAS
- 22 TAINTED AGAIN AND THE RULES ONLY APPLY TO THOSE YOU WANT THEM
- 23 TO APPLY TO.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME, YOU CLAIM IT'S TAINTED...



1

2 JANINE WATKINS: NO, IT'S TAINTED. IT'S TAINTED.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU CLAIM IT'S TAINTED. WE HAVE AN AUDITOR-
- 5 CONTROLLERS' REPORT.

6

- 7 JANINE WATKINS: IT SAYS IN THIS BOOK HERE IT'S MANDATORY THAT
- 8 YOU YOU SHOW UP AND SIGN UP. I WAS PHYSICALLY THERE WITH MY
- 9 FOREMAN. HE DIDN'T. YOUR COUNSEL IS COVERING AT THIS POINT AND
- 10 THEN, WHEN THEY GO IN TO LOOK AT IT, THEY SHOW, OOPS, WE
- 11 MESSED UP. OUR NUMBERS ARE WRONG. THOSE DOCUMENTS HAD GONE ALL
- 12 THROUGH THE COUNTY TO BE EVALUATED. THEY COME BACK, YOU
- 13 REASSEMBLE THEM, YOU SAY, OUR MATH IS OFF, LET'S REFIGURE
- 14 THIS. YOU SAY, OH, YEAH. IT'S A MISTAKE. THIS PROCESS HAS BEEN
- 15 TAINTED. YOU'VE GOT BIGGER ISSUES THAN THIS LITTLE PROCESS BUT
- 16 IF, AT THE CORE OF THE PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR YOU, THEY CORRUPT
- 17 THE PROCESS, THEN IT'S CORRUPTED, AND IT'S BEEN CORRUPTED MORE
- 18 THAN ONCE.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR. MAO FROM-- TONY-- IS IT MAO OR MOON?
- 21 MOON? OKAY. YOU SIGNED UP TO SPEAK. AND TIM WATKINS SIGNED UP
- 22 TO SPEAK.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: HE SHOULD BE ABLE TO SPEAK.



- 1 TONY MOON: MY NAME IS-- MY NAME IS TONY MOON. I'M REPRESENTING
- 2 FAR EAST LANDSCAPE AND MAINTENANCE COMPANY. YES, MY COMPANY
- 3 IS-- FALL UNDER LIVING WAGE EXEMPT BECAUSE MY EMPLOYEES ARE
- 4 UNDER 20. WE ARE A KIND OF A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED HERE. PVMS, A
- 5 INCORPORATED COMPANY, THEY ARE THE ONE WHO GOT AWARD ON THAT
- 6 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS NATIONAL REHABILITATION CENTER. AND I AM, AS
- 7 FAR AS LANDSCAPING AND MAINTENANCE, GOT REWARDED ON MARTIN
- 8 LUTHER KING DREW HOSPITAL AND OLIVE VIEW MEDICAL HOSPITAL. SO
- 9 THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT CONTRACTORS HERE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
- 10 SO, EVEN THOUGH I AM HIRING NEW EMPLOYEES, STILL, THEY FALL
- 11 UNDER 20 NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES. AND IF ANY EMPLOYEE IS WORKING
- 12 UNDER \$9 AN HOUR-- WAS IT 9.36 AN HOUR FOR LIVING WAGE? 9.46.
- 13 IF THEY CHOOSE TO WORK UNDER THAT, THEN IT'S THEIR CHOICE. I
- 14 MEAN, I'M NOT, YOU KNOW, MAKE THEM TO...

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. COULD WE HAVE THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
- 17 RESPOND, PLEASE? WE GOT TOO MUCH HE SAID/SHE SAID GOING ON
- 18 HERE. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, TONY. MR. WATKINS,
- 19 YOU CAN STAY.

- 21 TYLER J. MCCAULEY: TYLER MCCAULEY, COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER.
- 22 WE DID REVIEW THIS, AS YOU REQUESTED US TO DO. THE ERRORS THAT
- 23 WERE MADE WERE MATHEMATICAL. WE DID NOT-- THE CATEGORIES
- 24 DIDN'T CHANGE. THEY WERE JUST STRAIGHT MATH ERRORS. WE
- 25 OBSERVED THE SECOND SCORING SO THAT WE CAN ATTEST TO THE FACT



- 1 THAT IT WAS CORRECTLY SCORED. THE ISSUE OF THE NUMBER OF
- 2 STAFF, WE DIDN'T CHALLENGE BECAUSE IT HAD BEEN DETERMINED.
- 3 AND, IN MOST OF THESE CONTRACTS, AS HAS BEEN NOTED ALREADY, WE
- 4 DON'T TELL THEM HOW MANY, WE JUST TELL THEM THEY HAVE TO
- 5 PERFORM THESE CERTAIN PROCEDURES. IF THERE'S TOO FEW PEOPLE IN
- 6 THIS CONTRACT, THEN-- TO DO THE JOB, THEN THAT SHOULD COME OUT
- 7 IN TERMS OF THE QUALITY OF SERVICE AND, AT THAT POINT, THEY
- 8 WOULD HAVE TO BE TERMINATED FOR QUALITY OF SERVICE. SO THOSE
- 9 ARE JUST MY COMMENTS.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: SO THE GENTLEMAN HERE IS NOT, THOUGH, THE PERSON
- 14 THAT'S INVOLVED IN TERMS OF THE RANCHO CONTRACT?

15

16 TONY MOON: NO. JUST KING DREW HOSPITAL AND OLIVE VIEW.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: AND YOURS HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ISSUE AS FAR
- 19 AS WITH THE TOUR OR ANYTHING ELSE?

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO. OKAY. MR. WATKINS.

- 23 TIM WATKINS: I JUST WANTED TO COMMENT TO THE CORE ISSUE THAT
- 24 BROUGHT THIS COMPANY BEFORE YOU ON MAY 18TH AND AS WELL AGAIN
- 25 TODAY, THAT WE HAVE ALL BENT OVER BACKWARDS TRYING TO FIGURE



- 1 OUT WHY THE BASIC RULES ARE BEING COMPROMISED HERE, THAT THERE
- 2 ARE WAIVERS OR EXCEPTIONS BEING MADE TO A VERY CLEAR PROCESS
- 3 AND THAT WE HAVE NEVER BEEN BEFORE YOU IN HISTORY TO CONTEST
- 4 THE AWARD OF CONTRACTS, PARTICULARLY WHEN WE HAVE FAILED TO
- 5 MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS OR HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FOR
- 6 TECHNICAL REASONS. AND IT HAS, IN SOME CASES, COST US MILLIONS
- 7 OF DOLLARS IN POTENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES. SO THE QUESTION IS,
- 8 WHY, IN THIS CASE, SHOULD WE STAND BACK FOR TECHNICAL REASONS
- 9 THAT MAY WELL COST US AN OPPORTUNITY? AND I THINK THAT IT GOES
- 10 BACK TO NOT OUR CONTENTION BUT I THINK THAT THE TRANSCRIPT
- 11 SHOWS THAT MR. LEAF SAID, ON MAY 18TH, THAT, ACCORDING TO
- 12 STAFF, THE COMPETITOR GOT THERE AT ROUGH-- SOMETIME AROUND
- 13 2:00. HE DIDN'T GET INTO WHERE THE WALKTHROUGH WAS HAPPENING
- 14 UNTIL A HALF HOUR LATER, WHICH WAS AT THE END OF THAT
- 15 WALKTHROUGH. HE DID NOT SIGN IN. THE COMPETITOR, MR. MACIAS,
- 16 SAID HE DID NOT SIGN IN AND HE DID NOT, IN FACT, PARTICIPATE
- 17 IN THAT WALKTHROUGH. HE GOT THERE AT THE END. SO, NO MATTER
- 18 WHO ANYBODY SAYS AFTER THE FACT, THOSE WERE THE OPENING
- 19 STATEMENTS ON THIS MATTER AS OF MAY 18TH. IT WAS OUR
- 20 CONTENTION THAT HE WAS LATE, SO LATE THAT HE COULDN'T SIGN IN
- 21 WITH THE SEVEN OR EIGHT OTHER CONTRACTORS THAT SHOWED UP AND
- 22 THAT HE WAS SO LATE THAT HE APPEARED AT THE BUILDING AT THE
- 23 END OF THE WALKTHROUGH. SO SOMEBODY IS HAVING TO MAKE AN
- 24 EXCEPTION TO DETERMINE THAT THE MINIMUM MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS
- 25 SHOULD BE WAIVED AND THAT THIS GENTLEMAN'S FIRM SHOULD BE



- 1 AWARDED A CONTRACT DESPITE THE FACT THAT HE FAILED TO MEET
- 2 THOSE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS, MR. MCCAULEY?

5

6 TYLER J. MCCAULEY: NO.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THE ITEM'S BEFORE US. THE ITEM
- 9 IS BEFORE US THEN. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: YEAH. I HAD-- HE'S EXPLAINING TO ME HOW THEY
- 12 CHANGED PEOPLE AS A RESULT OF THE RECALCULATION FROM THE LAST
- 13 TIME. THAT'S WHY I HAD ASKED ORIGINALLY FOR ANOTHER WEEK, TO
- 14 TRY AND UNDERSTAND IT.

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. AND THAT'S CLEAR TO YOU, THEN? OKAY.
- 17 IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND.
- 18 YOU WANT A ROLL CALL? OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 19 THANK YOU. I THINK, YOU KNOW, I WOULD JUST ASK THE DEPARTMENT,
- 20 I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, THESE KINDS OF ISSUES, YOU KNOW, WE NEED
- 21 TO, YOU KNOW, FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH THE RESPONSE, BUT IT
- 22 SHOULDN'T GET TO THE POINT WHERE WE HAVE TO CALL IN THE
- 23 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO DO A R.F.P. FOR LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE,
- 24 FOR CRYING OUT LOUD, SO-- OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I BELIEVE, BEFORE
- 25 WE-- DOES THAT TAKE CARE OF THE REGULAR AGENDA? SO WE'VE--



- 1 WE'VE ALSO GOT THE BUDGET AND EVERYTHING, TOO, PLUS PUBLIC
- 2 COMMENT, HUH? WHICH IS S-1, THAT BUDGET, HEALTH COMMITTEE. ARE
- 3 WE GOING TO PROCEED WITH THAT TODAY IN LIGHT OF THE BUDGET?

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, WE CAN SIMPLY DO IT AS PART OF THE
- 6 BUDGET, MR. SUPERVISOR. THERE'S VERY LITTLE CHANGE FROM THE
- 7 LAST FORECAST AND I THINK THEY'RE ABOUT 20 MILLION DOLLARS IS
- 8 THE ONLY CHANGE FROM THE FORECAST ON THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT.
- 9 THEY'RE HERE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS AND MAYBE WE CAN DO
- 10 THAT AS PART OF THE BUDGET DISCUSSION.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IF I COULD HAVE MY COLLEAGUES-- IF
- 13 IT'S ALL RIGHT, THEN I WOULD MOVE THAT WE TAKE UP THE BUDGET
- 14 MATTERS, ITEMS 35 THROUGH 46.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE WE DONE WITH ALL THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 17 ITEMS?

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, WE ARE AND TIE IN S-1 TO THESE
- 20 PARTICULAR ITEMS. FOR WHAT? OKAY. GENEVIEVE, DO YOU WANT TO
- 21 COME FORWARD AND ADDRESS ITEM 36 AND 51. S-1, EXCUSE ME.

- 23 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. JE
- 24 SUIS GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I WAS HOPING TO BE ABLE TO LISTEN TO
- 25 THE PRESENTATION FROM DR. GARTHWAITE ON S-1 BEFORE I HAD TO



- 1 SPEAK. I WOULD HAVE LIKED VERY MUCH TO SEE WHAT HE HAD TO SAY.
- 2 AND IT WAS, YOU KNOW, A SET ITEM FOR 11:00. BUT, ON ITEM 36, I
- 3 HAVE A QUESTION ON ATTACHMENT 3-B. WE HAVING THE SOURCE FOR
- 4 MEASURE B MONEY. WE GOT TWO MILLION SOMETHING MORE FROM
- 5 U.C.L.A., HARBOR-U.C.L.A., THREE MILLION FROM KING DREW AND 13
- 6 MILLION FROM OLIVE VIEW. THAT A SOURCE. GOING TO L.A.C./U.S.C.
- 7 MEDICAL CENTER. SO WE HAVE 18,602,000 DOLLARS. WE'RE GOING
- 8 FROM HARBOR UCLA KING DREW, OLIVE VIEW AND IT'S BEING
- 9 TRANSFERRED, ACCORDING TO WHAT I'M SEEING, TO U.S.C.,
- 10 \$18,602,000. SO EXPLAIN, YOU KNOW, WHY ARE WE TRANSFERRING
- 11 THAT MONEY FROM THOSE THREE FACILITIES...?

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I'LL HAVE STAFF RESPOND. MR. JANSSEN?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I'M GOING TO-- I THINK GARY IS HERE. I'M GOING
- 16 TO ASK HIM-- I'M LOOKING AT THE PAGE.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GARY HERE?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: GARY OR EFFREM OR SOMEBODY FROM THE HEALTH
- 21 DEPARTMENT.

22

- 23 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT'S WHY I WOULD HAVE LIKED THE
- 24 PRESENTATION. I THINK IT MIGHT HAVE...



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, HE WOULDN'T HAVE COVERED THAT, NOT THE
- 2 DETAIL.

3

- 4 GARY: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THE ITEM THAT'S
- 5 BEING REFERRED TO IS IN THE CLOSING BUDGET ADJUSTMENT FOR
- 6 FISCAL YEAR '03/'04. IN DISTRIBUTING MEASURE B FUNDS, WHAT WE
- 7 HAVE TRIED TO DO IS DISTRIBUTE THOSE WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT ON
- 8 A PRO RATA BASIS BASED ON THEIR UN-REIMBURSED COSTS FOR TRAUMA
- 9 AND EMERGENCY CARE. AND WE BUDGETED IT ONE WAY AT THE
- 10 BEGINNING OF THE YEAR AND, AS USUALLY HAPPENS, ACTUAL
- 11 OPERATIONS CHANGE FROM THE BUDGET AND SO WHAT THIS ENTRY
- 12 MERELY DOES IS REDISTRIBUTE THE MEASURE B FUNDS BASED ON THE
- 13 ACTUAL AS OPPOSED TO BUDGETED, THE ACTUAL UN-REIMBURSED COSTS
- 14 FOR TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY IN OUR FACILITIES.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU.

17

- 18 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT SEEMS TO BE A HUGE AMOUNT, YOU KNOW,
- 19 18 MILLION. AND ALSO I HAD A QUESTION ON ATTACHMENT 4.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PARDON ME?

22

23 **GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** ATTACHMENT 4.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE HER RESPONDING TO HIM.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, NO. SHE'S ASKED-- THAT WAS-- HE
- 3 RESPONDED TO HER QUESTION. OKAY. THANK YOU, GARY.

4

- 5 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ON EXTRAORDINARY FUNDING VARIANCE, YOU
- 6 HAVE SBRC, MINUS 35 MILLION AND IS THE DEFICIT PRIMARILY DUE
- 7 TO LATEST AUDIT RESULT AND REVISED OUTPATIENT VISITS? HOW MUCH
- 8 WERE-- YOU KNOW, HOW COME YOU ARE SO OFF THE MARK IN REVISED
- 9 OUTPATIENT VISITS TO BE SHORT 35 MILLION?

10

11 GARY: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE CBRC ENTRY?

12

13 **GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** CBRC ENTRY, 35 MILLION.

14

15 GARY: RIGHT. WELL, IF YOU LOOK FOOTNOTE F...

16

- 17 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I READ THE FOOTNOTE. THAT'S WHAT I'M
- 18 ASKING.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. LET HIM RESPOND, PLEASE, GENEVIEVE.

- 22 GARY: OKAY. WHAT FOOTNOTE F SAYS IS DEFICIT PRIMARILY DUE TO
- 23 LATEST AUDIT RESULTS AND REVISED OUTPATIENT VISITS. CBRC IS A
- 24 RELATIVELY NEW PROGRAM THAT WAS GRANTED TO US UNDER OUR
- 25 WAIVER. IT IS A COST-BASED REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM WHICH CAUSES



- 1 US TO HAVE TO DEVELOP COST REPORTS FOR CBRC RELATED SERVICES
- 2 THAT ARE SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT AUDIT BY THE STATE OF
- 3 CALIFORNIA. THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS BEEN FAIRLY DELINQUENT
- 4 IN TERMS OF HOW FAST THEY'VE AUDITED THESE REPORTS BUT, WHEN
- 5 THEY DO AUDIT THE REPORTS, WE TRY TO TAKE THE RESULTS OF THOSE
- 6 AUDITS AND APPLY THEM TO OUR CURRENT REVENUE ESTIMATES BECAUSE
- 7 IT GIVES US A BETTER PICTURE OF WHAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO
- 8 EXPECT IN THE FUTURE, AND, ESSENTIALLY, THIS \$35 MILLION
- 9 CHANGE IS A RESULT OF APPLYING AUDIT RESULTS AND ALSO LOOKING
- 10 AT RECENT TRENDS AND OUTPATIENT VISITS WHICH, AGAIN, WE EXPECT
- 11 THEM TO BE X'D AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR WITH THE BUDGET
- 12 AND THEY ALWAYS COME OUT EITHER GREATER THAN OR LESS THAN WHAT
- 13 WE EXPECTED AND SO WE ADJUSTED FOR THAT AS WELL.

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE, GENEVIEVE?

17 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: NO, I'M JUST KIND OF SURPRISED AT THE

- 18 VARIANCE IN A BUDGET. YOU KNOW, HAVING WORKED, YOU KNOW, FOR A
- 19 FOR-PROFIT WHERE WE WERE HAVING TO GIVE REPORT ON A WEEKLY
- 20 BASIS ON OUR VARIANCE, I'VE NEVER SEEN A VARIANCE OF MANY
- 21 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, YOU KNOW. I THINK YOU ARE COUNTING IT'S
- 22 SOME BRUSH-OFF. BUT ANYWAY, FOR YOUR INFORMATION, A NEW
- 23 ARTICLE, TO BE A PATIENT ADVOCATE, I THINK THAT CAN HELP YOU.
- 24 THANK YOU.

25

14



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. THANK YOU, GARY.
- 2 OKAY. DAVID. DO YOU WANT TO PROCEED?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. ITEM 35 AND 36 ARE
- 5 TECHNICAL ITEMS FROM THE AUDITOR TO CLOSE THE BOOKS FROM LAST
- 6 YEAR '03/'04, 36. SHE JUST ADDRESSED. I DON'T HAVE ANY
- 7 PARTICULAR COMMENTS ON THOSE TWO. WE CAN TALK ABOUT FUND
- 8 BALANCE UNDER NUMBER 37. SO I'D ASK THAT YOU APPROVE ITEMS 35
- 9 AND 36.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE ITEMS 35
- 12 AND 36?

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE IT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU. ITEM 37 IS A SUPPLEMENTAL
- 20 RESOLUTION TO THE '04/'05 BUDGET WHICH REFLECTS THE CLOSEOUT
- 21 NUMBER FROM '03/'04 AND THE AUDITOR IS ASKING THAT
- 22 SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS OF \$337 MILLION BE TRANSFERRED TO
- 23 APPROPRIATION FOR CONTINGENCIES UNDER 38. WE'LL TALK ABOUT HOW
- 24 TO ALLOCATE THAT MONEY BUT THAT IS THE ADDITIONAL FUND BALANCE
- 25 FROM LAST YEAR'S BUDGET.

24

25

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND WE HAVE ITEM 37. WOULD THAT BE 3 APPROPRIATE TO ACT UPON 37 BEFORE WE DO ANY MOTIONS UNDER 38? 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, I THINK SO. YOU ACCEPT THE MONEY, AND THEN, IN 38, WE CAN TALK ABOUT. 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THE CHAIR WILL MOVE ITEM 37. IS THERE A SECOND? 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 37? 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 37. 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 37. IT'S ACCEPTING THE MONEY. 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 17 18 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 38 IS A RECOMMENDATION, THEN, ON WHAT TO DO WITH THE ADDITIONAL FUND BALANCE. I'VE TALKED TO A NUMBER 20 OF PEOPLE THIS MORNING ABOUT THAT, SLIGHTLY OVER \$300 MILLION 21 22 ABOVE WHAT WE ANTICIPATED. I BELIEVE THERE ARE PRETTY 23 STRAIGHTFORWARD REASONS FOR THAT BUT I WOULD OBVIOUSLY LIKE TO

BE CLOSER ON AN ESTIMATE. IT WAS A COMPLICATED FISCAL YEAR

WITH THE RECALL OF THE GOVERNOR, VEHICLE LICENSE FEES UP AND

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 DOWN THROUGHOUT THE YEARS AND DEPARTMENTS BEING VERY
- 2 CONSERVATIVE ABOUT EXPENDITURES BECAUSE WE SIMPLY DIDN'T KNOW
- 3 WHAT THE STATE WAS GOING TO DO TO US, WHEN THEY WERE GOING TO
- 4 DO AND HOW MUCH IT WAS GOING TO COST. AS IT TURNS OUT, IT'S
- 5 GOING TO COST US \$206 MILLION TO HELP BALANCE THE STATE BUDGET
- 6 AND, HOPEFULLY, THAT'S ALL IF PROPOSITION 1-A PASSES. WE HAD
- 7 INCREASED REVENUES AND REALIGNMENT PROPERTY TAX AS WELL. NOW,
- 8 THAT MONEY WE ARE PROPOSING BE SPENT ON ONE-TIME. THE BOARD
- 9 HAS BEEN VERY CONSISTENT OVER THE YEARS IN EXPENDITURES OF
- 10 FUND BALANCE. WE HAVE USED IT FOR ONE-TIME PURPOSES BECAUSE IT
- 11 ISN'T GOING TO BE THERE AGAIN NEXT YEAR BECAUSE OF THE
- 12 CIRCUMSTANCES OF LAST YEAR. SO WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT THAT
- 13 MONEY, 103 MILLION OF IT BE USED TO PAY ONE OF THE YEARS THAT
- 14 WE OWE THE STATE, THAT WE PUT 145 MILLION INTO INFRASTRUCTURE,
- 15 20 MILLION INTO MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL COSTS IN OUR MUSEUMS,
- 16 20 MILLION FOR INTEROPERABILITY. WE HAVE, AS MANY PEOPLE DO, A
- 17 HOMELAND SECURITY ISSUE OF ALL THE JURISDICTIONS BEING ABLE TO
- 18 COMMUNICATE WITH EACH OTHER. THAT'S A ONE-TIME EXPENDITURE
- 19 THAT WILL HELP SOLVE THAT PROBLEM. WE'VE HAD A DATA CENTER
- 20 UNDER DEVELOPMENT FOR QUITE SOME TIME. THIS WOULD FUND
- 21 CONSTRUCTION OF THAT DATA CENTER AND THE COUNTY LAST YEAR
- 22 BOUGHT A NEW FINANCIAL SYSTEM THAT IS BEING IMPLEMENTED. THIS
- 23 WILL ALLOW US TO BUY THE PERSONNEL AND BUDGET A PORTION OF
- 24 THAT ALSO. ALL OF THESE ONE-TIME EXPENDITURES. IN ADDITION, WE
- 25 DO HAVE SOME ONGOING REVENUES IN PROPERTY TAX AND THERE IS



- 1 SOME ADDITIONAL MONEY IN IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AS A
- 2 RESULT OF FEDERALLY FUNDING A STATE PROGRAM THAT FREED UP
- 3 MONEY IN OUR BUDGET. WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT THERE BE A SALARY
- 4 INCREASE FOR HOME CARE WORKERS TO \$8.10. THAT DOES DRAW DOWN
- 5 STATE AND FEDERAL DOLLARS. THE STATE EXAMINED THAT LAST YEAR
- 6 AND LEFT THE PROGRAM ALONE. WE'RE STILL WELL BEHIND OTHER
- 7 URBAN COUNTIES BUT WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT IT GO TO \$8.10.
- 8 THERE'S ALSO A RECOMMENDATION THAT \$9 MILLION BE RESTORED TO
- 9 THE MENTAL HEALTH BUDGET. THAT WAS THE ONLY ITEM THAT THE
- 10 BOARD WAS NOT ABLE TO RESTORE IN JUNE DURING DELIBERATIONS.
- 11 THEY DO HAVE NEW REALIGNMENT REVENUES AND THAT ALLOWS THEM TO
- 12 RESTORE THE OUTPATIENT CLINIC OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS TO THAT
- 13 BUDGET. AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHER CHANGES THAT ARE
- 14 PROPOSED IN THE ITEM. WE'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS
- 15 THAT YOU HAVE ON ANY OF ITEM 38.

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF MR.
- 18 JANSSEN AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT? I KNOW THAT SEVERAL OF US
- 19 HAVE MOTIONS AS RELATED TO ITEM 38. I HAD A MOTION IN REGARDS
- 20 TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND I KNOW THAT SUPERVISOR BURKE HAD
- 21 AN AMENDMENT TO THAT AS WELL. OKAY. MY MOTION WAS THAT THE--
- 22 YOU KNOW, OBVIOUSLY, THAT WE-- YOU KNOW, WE'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS
- 23 ABOUT THIS THROUGHOUT THE YEAR, WHETHER WE CAN OR CAN'T, YOU
- 24 KNOW, FUND OUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION FUNCTION TO ITS
- 25 ADEQUATE POSITION AND SO ON AND IN ORDER TO ENSURE THAT WE'RE



- 1 DOING SO, WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE -- PROVIDING THE D.A. WITH
- 2 ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. THE D.A.'S BEEN VIGILANT IN PURSUING
- 3 FEDERAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR CERTAIN PROSECUTION FUNCTIONS THAT
- 4 HAS RESULTED IN ADDITIONAL TRUST REVENUE ACCRUING TO THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT. THE ONGOING PORTION OF THIS REVENUE FOR WHEN
- 6 DEPARTMENT OUT TO BE MATCHED BY THIS BOARD TO ALLOW THE D.A.
- 7 TO HIRE MUCH NEEDED PROSECUTORS. SO I WOULD MOVE THAT WE
- 8 INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. TO REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS WITH A
- 9 RECOMMENDATION ON HOW TO FUND THESE 45 NEW PROSECUTORS WITHIN
- 10 THE D.A.'S OFFICE AND I BELIEVE SUPERVISOR BURKE HAD AN
- 11 AMENDMENT TO THAT.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I WAS GOING TO MOVE THAT IT BE AFTER NOVEMBER 2ND.
- 14 ARE YOU ANTICIPATING THAT THEY ALSO BE ABLE TO USE THE PROP 1-
- 15 A FUNDS, OR IS THIS SEPARATE AND APART...

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, THAT WAS DEBATED. WE TRIED...

18

19 SUP. BURKE: ...FROM THE PROP 3 FUNDS?

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ... TO INCLUDE THAT, YOU KNOW, IN THE
- 22 DISCUSSION AND, I MEAN, THE 30 DAYS BEING-- PROBABLY IT WILL
- 23 BE AFTER THE ELECTION BUT, YOU KNOW, THERE WAS DISCUSSION
- 24 WHETHER-- WHETHER OR NOT THEY'RE INCLUDED. SO, I MEAN, THAT
- 25 HASN'T BEEN FINALIZED BUT I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM, YOU KNOW,



- 1 WAITING UNTIL AFTER THE NOVEMBER 2ND ELECTION FOR A REPORT
- 2 BACK. OKAY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WELL, AFTER THE ELECTION, WE WOULD BE ABLE TO
- 5 REALLY AND RESOLVE THIS IN TERMS OF WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS
- 6 INCLUDED IN THE PROP 1-A OR SEPARATE FROM THE PROP 1-A.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE THERE ANY OTHER MOTIONS? MR.
- 11 YAROSLAVSKY?

12

13 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ZEV, I THINK YOU...

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DO. IT'S-- I THINK WE'RE ADDING MS.
- 20 MOLINA'S NAME, SO CAN I GO AHEAD AND INTRODUCE IT? GO AHEAD
- 21 AND CIRCULATE IT. IT'S ME AND MS. MOLINA, SO-- AND WE'LL GET
- 22 YOU THE CORRECTED VERSION, WHICH IS BEING TYPED RIGHT NOW BUT
- 23 IT'S AN AMENDMENT TO 38 THAT MOVES THAT THE BOARD OF
- 24 SUPERVISORS INSTRUCT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO INITIATE AN
- 25 INDEPENDENT MANAGEMENT AUDIT OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT



- 1 CONDUCTED BY A MANAGEMENT FIRM, WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH AN
- 2 EXPERT IN JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEMS TO EVALUATE THE OPERATIONS
- 3 OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, FACILITATE A STRATEGIC PLANNING
- 4 PROCESS AND ENGAGE IN CONCRETE STEPS TO IMPLEMENT THE
- 5 NECESSARY REFORMS TO OUR JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM. THE FUNDS
- 6 ARE IN THE BUDGET FOR THIS. I JUST WANT TO GET IT STARTED. I
- 7 ASSUME THAT, BY DOING THIS, THAT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WOULD
- 8 BE AUTHORIZED TO BEGIN TO-- TO UNDERTAKE THIS TASK AND DRAW
- 9 DOWN THE FUNDS.

10

12

14

16

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING AS WELL, SUPERVISOR.

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THAT'S THE INTENT.

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE? YES?

17 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: YES. MR. CHAIRMAN, ALSO ON 38, UNDER

- 18 POINT NUMBER 26 ON THE C.A.O.'S RECOMMENDATION. FOR THE
- 19 RECORD, SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS VOTING "NO" ON THE ENHANCEMENT OF
- 20 THE PROBATION DEPARTMENTS DEVELOPING INCREASED SAFETY THROUGH
- 21 ARMS REDUCTION MANAGEMENT, DISARMED...
- 23 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T SUPPORT MORE GUNS IN THE PROBATION
- 24 DEPARTMENT.

25



1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND THAT'S \$265,000, FOR THE RECORD.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ALSO, MADAM CHAIRMAN, I'M VOTING "NO" ON THE
- 4 INCREASE FOR I.H.S.S.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SUPPORTING THAT ONE.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO, NO, I JUST GOT THE "NO" VOTES ISOLATED
- 11 THERE. OKAY. WITH THAT, THEN, THE ITEM, AS AMENDED, IS BEFORE
- 12 US. CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. WE CAN MOVE THIS ITEM AS A WHOLE,
- 13 RIGHT, EVEN WITH THE VARIOUS "NO"S ON THE CERTAIN ITEMS
- 14 WITHIN? WITH THE RECORDED "NO" VOTES INSIDE, THE WHOLE ITEM IS
- 15 BEFORE US, THEN. CHAIR WILL MOVE IT, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 16 BURKE.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE WE VOTE AND I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER
- 23 AMENDMENTS, I DO THINK THAT SOME CONTEXT NEEDS TO BE PUT INTO
- 24 THIS BECAUSE THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND YOU
- 25 ADDRESSED IT IN THE INTEREST OF BREVITY, MR. JANSSEN, BUT I



- 1 THINK WE NEED TO REITERATE THAT WE GET A POLICY AROUND HERE
- 2 FOR ABOUT A DECADE, AT LEAST A DECADE, THAT'S AS LONG AS I'VE
- 3 BEEN HERE BUT IT'S CERTAINLY FOLLOWED THE '95 TOBACCO THAT WE
- 4 ALMOST FACED, THAT WE ERR ON THE SIDE OF FISCAL CONSERVATISM
- 5 IN OUR ESTIMATES. THAT, REGARDLESS OF WHAT WE MAY THINK MAY BE
- 6 COMING FORWARD IN TERMS OF ESTIMATES, AND, EARLY IN THE YEAR,
- 7 IT'S HARD TO KNOW WHAT'S COMING, ESPECIALLY IN PROPERTY TAX
- 8 RECEIPTS, BUT THAT, RATHER THAN SPEND WHAT WE DON'T KNOW FOR
- 9 SURE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AND THEN HAVE TO RETRENCH, WE HAVE
- 10 BEEN EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE AND IT HAS SERVED US WELL OVER
- 11 THESE YEARS. WE HAVE NOT HAD ONE YEAR IN MY MEMORY WHERE WE
- 12 HAVE ACTUALLY-- MAYBE THERE WAS ONE WHERE WE CAME CLOSE TO
- 13 ZERO BUT USUALLY WE DON'T HAVE TO LAY OFF PEOPLE, WE HAVEN'T
- 14 HAD TO LAY OFF PEOPLE SINCE '95. WE'VE LIVED WITHIN OUR MEANS
- 15 AND, IF WE HAVE SOME LEFT OVER AT THE END OF THE YEAR BECAUSE
- 16 WE HAVE CONSERVATIVELY ESTIMATED OUR REVENUES AND EXTREMELY
- 17 CONSERVATIVELY UNDERTAKEN OUR EXPENDITURES, THAT'S A GOOD
- 18 THING AND IT'S CERTAINLY BETTER THAN THE ALTERNATIVE. I WISH
- 19 WE COULD BETTER FORECAST WHAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AT THE END
- 20 OF THE YEAR BUT THIS IS \$17 BILLION, WITH A "B", BUDGET. SO
- 21 THIS VARIANCE, WHILE IT'S SIGNIFICANT ON ITS FACE, IS-- OUT OF
- 22 A TOTAL OF \$17 BILLION, HAS TO BE PUT IN THAT CONTEXT, IN THAT
- 23 PERSPECTIVE. SO I-- I WANT TO TELL YOU, I'M PLEASED THAT WE
- 24 ARE NOT IN THE OTHER SITUATION WHERE WE ASSUME FUNDS THAT WE
- 25 WEREN'T GOING TO HAVE, OVERSPENT AND THEN COME TO THIS POINT



- I IN OUR YEAR AND HAVE TO SCRAMBLE. AND I THINK IT'S A CREDIT TO
- 2 EVERY MEMBER OF THIS BOARD. WE HAVE MADE SOME VERY TOUGH CALLS
- 3 AND NEXT YEAR WILL BE DIFFERENT. LAST YEAR WAS DIFFERENT. AND
- 4 THIS YEAR WAS ONE BIG QUESTION MARK HANGING OVER THIS COUNTY
- 5 AND EVERY LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN CALIFORNIA THIS YEAR AND NONE OF
- 6 US HAD ANY WAY OF KNOWING HOW IT WAS GOING TO TURN OUT AND, BY
- 7 THE WAY, WE STILL DON'T KNOW HOW IT'S GOING TO TURN OUT
- 8 BECAUSE THERE'S A PROPOSITION 1-A ON THE BALLOT, AND, UNLESS
- 9 THAT PASSES, WE STILL MAY BE VULNERABLE IN THE ENSUING MONTHS
- 10 AND YEARS. BUT THE ISSUE THAT WE FACE THIS YEAR WAS A STATE
- 11 THAT WAS-- WHAT WAS IT, 30 BILLION IN THE RED?

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY WERE DOWN TO 25 THIS YEAR.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONLY \$25 BILLION IN THE RED AND WE HAD NO
- 16 IDEA OF HOW MUCH OF A HIT CITIES AND COUNTIES AND LOCAL
- 17 GOVERNMENT WERE GOING TO TAKE, AND I GIVE THE GOVERNOR CREDIT
- 18 ON THIS, WITHOUT HAVING TO APOLOGIZE FOR IT. I THINK THE
- 19 GOVERNOR KEPT HIS WORD AND-- LARGELY, AND WE HAVE A MEASURE ON
- 20 THE BALLOT. OUR CHAIR WAS VERY INVOLVED IN THAT AND I
- 21 CONGRATULATE YOU FOR THE ROLE YOU PLAYED IN THAT, DON, FOR
- 22 CRAFTING THE PROPOSITION 1-A AND THAT WHOLE-- BUT WE HAD NO
- 23 WAY OF KNOWING, JUST A FEW SHORT MONTHS AGO, WHETHER WE WERE
- 24 GOING TO BE AT A HUNDRED, 200, 300, HALF A BILLION DOLLARS,
- 25 DEPENDING ON WHAT-- HOW THE STARS ALIGNED IN THE LEGISLATURE



- 1 AND THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT. AS IT TURNED
- 2 OUT, I THINK IT TURNED OUT FAR BETTER THAN I WOULD HAVE
- 3 WAGERED WHEN WE ALL GOT STARTED. SO THAT'S PART OF THE PERFECT
- 4 STORM THAT YOU'VE BEEN ALLUDING TO PRIVATELY TO ME. I DON'T
- 5 KNOW IF YOU SAID IT PUBLICLY BUT IT'S-- I KNOW THAT THERE'S AN
- 6 EYEBROW RAISED WHEN THIS HAPPENS AND, AS I SAY, I WISH WE
- 7 COULD BE A LITTLE MORE SURGICAL IN OUR ESTIMATES BUT, FRANKLY,
- 8 I THINK WE SET IT UP SO THAT IF THERE'S GOING TO BE A
- 9 VARIANCE, IT WILL BE TO-- ON THE PLUS SIDE AND NOT ON THE
- 10 MINUS SIDE AND THAT'S ALWAYS A PREFERABLE ALTERNATIVE. AND I
- 11 WANT TO SAY THAT ALL MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HAVE DONE THAT, THE
- 12 C.A.O. AND THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND I THINK WE HAVE AN
- 13 OPPORTUNITY NOW TO CALIBRATE, AND I THINK SOME OF THE MOTIONS
- 14 HERE AND IN THE WEEKS AHEAD WILL TAKE A LOOK AND, AS WE LOOK
- 15 AT NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, WE'LL BE ABLE TO CALIBRATE ACCORDINGLY.
- 16 I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT...

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I AGREE, ZEV. I THINK, IF THERE'S AN
- 19 EYEBROW RAISED, IF WE WENT THE OTHER WAY AND WERE IN A
- 20 DISASTER MODE AND TRIED TO FIGHT A DISASTER, TWO EYEBROWS
- 21 WOULD BE RAISED AND SAY, "WHAT THE HECK ARE YOU DOING?" I
- 22 MEAN, TO ERR ON THE SIDE OF BEING THIS CONSERVATIVE ON THE
- 23 BOARD AND C.A.O.'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. AND
- 24 YOU MADE A VERY VALID POINT, BECAUSE IT'S THE POINT I WAS
- 25 GOING TO MAKE. THERE'S STILL A LOT TO DO. WE'VE AGREED, AS A



- 1 COUNTY AND COUNTIES AND CITIES, IN PROP 1(A) TO STILL SHARE
- 2 SOME OF THE PAIN OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF YEARS AND THIS COUNTY
- 3 PREPARED TO SHARE. IT'S GOING TO COST US UP TO \$103 MILLION. I
- 4 THINK, MORE IMPORTANTLY THAN THAT, THE VULNERABILITY STILL
- 5 STANDS ON WHAT LONG-TERM BECAUSE, IF SOMETHING HAPPENS ON
- 6 NOVEMBER 2ND, THAT PROP 1-A DOESN'T PASS, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 7 TO REVISIT ALL THESE ISSUES THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TODAY.
- 8 AND SO, YOU KNOW, THE GOOD THING ABOUT PROP 1-A IS THAT IT
- 9 REALLY ALLOWS US, IT SHOULD ALLOW US, IN THE OUT YEARS, TO BE
- 10 ABLE TO PREDICT BETTER AND CLOSER AND BETTER NUMBERS TO, YOU
- 11 KNOW, TO KNOW WHAT THE GROUND RULES IS AND ENFORCE THE
- 12 LEGISLATURE TO ABIDE BY THOSE GROUND RULES. AND I THINK EVEN
- 13 THOUGH WE ALL WORK TOGETHER, AS I'VE SAID SO MANY TIMES, WHAT
- 14 HAPPENED IN THIS AGREEMENT WITH PROP 1-A WAS HISTORIC. MANY OF
- 15 YOU HAVE BEEN AROUND THERE A LOT LONGER THAN I HAVE, AND I'VE
- 16 BEEN AROUND HERE QUITE AWHILE NOW AND I DON'T EVER REMEMBER
- 17 THE STATE BUDGET BEING HELD UP BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT. AND THE
- 18 FACT THAT CITIES AND COUNTIES AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS WERE
- 19 SHOULDER TO SHOULDER IN THIS FIGHT, I MEAN, WE SURPRISED
- 20 EVERYONE, INCLUDING THE GOVERNOR. WHILE HE WAS WORKING ON
- 21 WORKERS' COMP, WE WENT OUT AND QUALIFIED A BALLOT INITIATIVE,
- 22 WHICH THEY DIDN'T THINK WE COULD DO. AND THEN, WHEN THE
- 23 REQUEST WAS MADE, COULD WE GIVE A LITTLE BIT HERE AND THE
- 24 CITIES AND THE COUNTIES, WE ALL SAID ABSOLUTELY NOT. THE SWORD
- 25 IS IN THE SAND. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. SO, YOU KNOW, EVEN



- 1 THOUGH PREDICTABILITY WOULD BE NICE, YOU KNOW, WE STILL SIT
- 2 HERE TODAY BEING ABLE TO DO SOME OF THESE THINGS, TO RESTORE
- 3 SOME OF THESE SAFETY NET PROGRAMS. BUT, ON THE OTHER HAND, IF
- 4 1-A DOESN'T PASS, THEN WE COULD BE BACK REVISITING THESE
- 5 ISSUES, TOO. BUT, AGAIN, MY HAT'S OFF TO THE C.A.O., TO THE
- 6 STAFF AND TO OUR DEPARTMENTS AS THEY WORK WITH US ON SOME OF
- 7 THESE TOUGH DECISIONS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ECHO THOSE SAME REMARKS
- 10 AND THE PROPOSITION 1-A WHICH IS ON THE BALLOT WILL ALLOW US
- 11 THAT OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE STABILITY FOR EVERY CITY AND COUNTY
- 12 IN THIS STATE. AND I KNOW, IN MY 23 YEARS AS SUPERVISOR AND
- 13 THE FIRST, WHAT, 11, 12 YEARS AT C.S.A.C., WE TRIED MANY TIMES
- 14 TO ENGAGE THE LEAGUE OF CITIES, THE CONTRACT CITIES, THE
- 15 INDEPENDENT CITIES IN THIS EFFORT AND IT TOOK 23 YEARS TO DO
- 16 IT BUT IT WAS DONE AND, AS A RESULT OF THAT, WE HAVE
- 17 OPPORTUNITY FOR RESPONSIBILITY AT THE BALLOT BOX IN NOVEMBER.
- 18 AND ALSO THERE'S THE OTHER PROPOSITION, WHICH IS, WHAT, 66,
- 19 67?

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 65 IS THE ORIGINAL INITIATIVE.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 65, WHICH IS STILL ON THERE AND IS STILL A
- 24 GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR THE VOTERS TO VOTE BOTH "YES" ON 65 AND
- 25 1-A FOR THIS. BUT I HAVE TWO OTHER MOTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO



- 1 BRING IN. ONE, THE COUNTY ELIMINATED THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS
- 2 AND RECREATION'S TRAIL CREW WHICH FUNDS THE COUNTYWIDE
- 3 STRUCTURAL BUDGET TO FUND THE STRUCTURAL BUDGET DEFICIT.
- 4 CURTAILMENT HAS RESULTED IN SERIOUS HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUES
- 5 ALONG THE 134 MILES OF TRAILS FOR WHICH THE DEPARTMENT
- 6 MAINTAINS, INCLUDING OVERGROWN VEGETATION, FALLEN TREES, TREE
- 7 LIMBS, ILLEGAL DUMPING AND ERODED AND WASHED OUT TRAIL
- 8 SECTIONS AND FIRE ROADS. THE CONDITIONS REPRESENT NOT ONLY A
- 9 POTENTIAL HAZARD TO HIKERS, BIKERS AND EQUESTRIANS BUT ALSO
- 10 LIMITED ACCESS TO FIRE PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT TO EXTINGUISH
- 11 BRUSH FIRES. THE LACK OF SUFFICIENT STAFF DEDICATED TO TRAIL
- 12 MAINTENANCE COULD LEAD TO CITATIONS AND FINES FROM THE
- 13 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES,
- 14 AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DUE TO LACK OF COMPLIANCE IN CLEARING
- 15 BRUSH AWAY FROM ADJACENT HOMES. FURTHERMORE, THE REDUCTION OF
- 16 TRAIL MAINTENANCE STAFF HAS LED TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
- 17 HOMELESSNESS CAMPS AT SOME STAGING AREAS. RESTORATION OF THE
- 18 TRAILS WOULD ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT TO DEAL WITH EXISTING ISSUES
- 19 AND MOBILIZE VOLUNTEERS IN OTHER AREAS TO ASSIST WITH TRAIL
- 20 MAINTENANCE. SO I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO
- 21 ALLOCATE FROM THE PROVISIONAL FINANCING USES ACCOUNT TO
- 22 INCREASE THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND REC BUDGET 269,000 FOR
- 23 THE FIVE POSITIONS TO RESTORE THE TRAILS CREW AND ONE-TIME
- 24 COSTS OF 58,000 TO PURCHASE NECESSARY EQUIPMENT IN THE
- 25 MAINTENANCE OF THOSE TRAILS. THAT'S ONE MOTION. THE OTHER ONE



- 1 IS WITH THE LIBRARIES, INTEGRATED LIBRARY SYSTEM IS OBSOLETE
- 2 AND NEEDS TO BE REPLACED. THE I.L.S. IS THE DEPARTMENT CORE
- 3 COMPUTER SYSTEM WHICH SUPPORTS SEVERAL MISSION CRITICAL
- 4 BUSINESS FUNCTIONS INCLUDING THE CIRCULATION AND ACQUISITION
- 5 OF LIBRARY MATERIALS, LIBRARY CATALOG, FINES, FEES,
- 6 ACCOUNTING, CUSTOMER ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT AND ACCESS TO ONLINE
- 7 REFERENCE DATABASES. THE SYSTEM WILL BE-- THE NEW SYSTEM WILL
- 8 BE WEB-ENABLED AND SUPPORTS THE COUNTY'S STRATEGIC DIRECTION
- 9 TO CONDUCT COUNTY BUSINESS ELECTRONICALLY AND TO DELIVER
- 10 SERVICES THROUGH THE INTERNET. REPLACEMENT OF THE I.L.S. HAS
- 11 BEEN DOCUMENTED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S BUSINESS AUTOMATION PLAN
- 12 WHICH HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE C.A.O. SO I WOULD
- 13 MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROVE THE 4.5-MILLION-DOLLAR BUDGET
- 14 AUGMENTATION FROM THE APPROPRIATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES FOR THE
- 15 LIBRARIES ACCUMULATED CAPITAL OUTLAY FUND FOR THE REPLACEMENT
- 16 OF THE DEPARTMENT'S INTEGRATED LIBRARY SYSTEM.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO TAKE
- 19 THESE TWO MOTIONS AND MOVE THEM AS WELL, TOO, UNTIL AFTER THE
- 20 ELECTION. OKAY.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE ONE PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE ON THE TRAIL
- 23 CREW IS THAT THERE'S A SERIOUS PROBLEM RIGHT NOW THAT EXISTS
- 24 AND BECAUSE WE ARE IN THE FIRE SEASON RIGHT NOW, THAT
- 25 APPROPRIATION WOULD BE WARRANTED AT THIS TIME. IT IS A SERIOUS



- 1 PROBLEM THAT IMPACTS THIS COUNTY. IF YOU WANT TO PUT THE
- 2 LIBRARIES FOR NOVEMBER, THAT'S FINE, BUT THE 269,000 FOR THE
- 3 ALLOCATION OF THOSE FIVE POSITIONS IS CRUCIAL FOR A VERY
- 4 SERIOUS PROBLEM THAT IMPACTS OUR COUNTY AT THIS TIME.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THE LIBRARY ITEM WILL BE CONTINUED,
- 7 THEN, UNTIL AFTER NOVEMBER ELECTION. AS IT RELATES TO PARKS
- 8 AND RECREATION, THE TRAILS, C.A.O., WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE? CAN
- 9 WE...

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN...

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY THE FIRE THING IS-- HUH?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: HAVE WE HEARD FROM PARKS ON THIS ONE? WAS THIS ONE
- 16 OF THEIR ITEMS?

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I AM NOT SURE, SUPERVISOR. MAYBE IF WE PUT IT
- 19 OVER A WEEK, THAT MIGHT BE A GOOD IDEA. WE CAN TALK TO THE
- 20 PARKS DEPARTMENT ABOUT IT. IT IS AN ONGOING ITEM. IT'S
- 21 DIFFERENT THAN THE LIBRARY.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH, YOU KNOW, AND IF IT'S RELATED TO THE
- 24 FIRE SEASON, THAT'S ANOTHER STORY BUT...



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: LET'S COME BACK WEEK WITH A RECOMMENDATION
- 2 WITH THE PARKS DEPARTMENT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BUT THE LIBRARY ISSUE WILL BE AFTER THE
- 5 NOVEMBER ELECTION. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WITH THOSE TWO AND WITH
- 6 THOSE CHANGES, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 39 IS-- WE HAVE A
- 9 COUPLE OF THESE THAT WERE REFERRED FROM JULY-- EXCUSE ME, FROM
- 10 JUNE. ITEM 39 WAS TO ALLOCATE \$610,000 FOR PROPERTY
- 11 REHABILITATION AND DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. WE DID NOT
- 12 INCLUDE THAT IN OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. THE ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO THEN IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO RECEIVE
- 15 IN FILE, ITEM NUMBER 39. CHAIR WOULD MOVE RECEIVE AND FILE.
- 16 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 40 IS A SIMILAR ITEM REFERRED FROM
- 19 THE JUNE DELIBERATIONS, \$347,000 FOR A ZONING CODE
- 20 STREAMLINING PROJECT. WE DID INCLUDE OTHER CHANGES IN THE
- 21 PLANNING DEPARTMENT. WE DID NOT INCLUDE THIS ONE.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO RECEIVE
- 24 AND FILE?



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, RECEIVE AND FILE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IT'S
- 4 MOVED BY THE CHAIR, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 41 HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN DISCUSSED. WE DID
- 7 INCLUDE SOME FUNDING FOR DISARMING THE BUDGET. SUPERVISOR
- 8 MOLINA HAD VOTED "NO" AGAINST IT. I THINK THIS ONE COULD ALSO
- 9 BE RECEIVED AND FILE. IT'S ALREADY BEEN ACTED ON IT.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE RECEIVE AND FILE BUT ALSO A "NO"
- 12 VOTE FROM SUPERVISOR MOLINA ON ITEM 41.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: (OFF-MIKE).

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: JUST CHECKING. THAT'S RIGHT. JUST TRYING
- 17 TO...

18

19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: PAYING ATTENTION.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ...PAYING ATTENTION. ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY
- 22 THE CHAIR, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 23 SO ORDERED.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 42 HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE ITEM 38
- 2 ALREADY, SO THAT WOULD BE A RECEIVE AND FILE.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ON ITEM 42, THEN, RECEIVE AND FILE.
- 5 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 43, YOU'VE ALREADY ACTED TO DELAY THAT TO
- 9 NOVEMBER THE 16TH SPECIFICALLY.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 44 WAS A REFERRAL ALSO FROM
- 14 DELIBERATIONS, SMALL CLAIMS COURT, ADVISOR PROGRAM, DEPARTMENT
- 15 OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS. WE DID NOT INCLUDE THAT IN THE
- 16 RECOMMENDATIONS, EITHER, SO RECEIVE AND FILE WOULD BE THE
- 17 RECOMMENDATION.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RECEIVE AND FILE.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: OR SHOULD WE PUT THIS OVER UNTIL...

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S UP TO YOU.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: PUT IT OVER TO NOVEMBER 16TH?



1
2 SUP. BURKE: UH HUH.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 45, YOU HAVE A REPORT FROM THE
- 7 DIRECTOR OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, REQUEST OF
- 8 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, I BELIEVE DAVID IS HERE IF THERE ARE
- 9 ANY QUESTIONS. IF NOT, YOU COULD ACCEPT THE REPORT.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ON ITEM 45, MOTION TO RECEIVE AND
- 12 FILE BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 13 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 46, REFERRAL FROM SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 16 FROM DELIBERATIONS, MENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT. THESE FUNDS WERE
- 17 INCLUDED IN ITEM NUMBER 38 AND APPROVED, SO YOU CAN RECEIVE
- 18 AND FILE.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO THEN WE'LL RECEIVE AND FILE 46, MOVED BY
- 21 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY THE CHAIR. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND THAT COMPLETES THE BUDGET ITEMS. THANK YOU
- 25 VERY MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND AGAIN, THANK YOU, DAVID, TO
- 3 YOU AND YOUR STAFF AND TO OUR OWN PERSONAL STAFFS FOR ALL
- 4 THEIR EFFORTS TO OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS AND KEEP OUR FINGERS
- 5 CROSSED ON PROP 1-A. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE STILL HAVE PUBLIC
- 6 COMMENTS, I BELIEVE AND WE ALSO HAVE OUR ADJOURNMENTS.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SHALL WE DO ADJOURNMENTS FIRST?

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, SUPERVISOR MOLINA? SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
- 11 DO YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS?

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: YES, I DO.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: YES. I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE
- 18 MEMORY OF MARY JACKSON, WHO IS A LONG-TIME CITY OF BELL
- 19 GARDENS EMPLOYEE AND WAS A COMMUNITY ACTIVIST THROUGHOUT THE
- 20 COMMUNITY. MISS JACKSON WAS-- PARTICIPATED IN SEVERAL
- 21 WORTHWHILE EFFORTS FOR THE CITY OF BELL GARDENS AND WE WANT TO
- 22 EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO HER FAMILY, FRIENDS AND
- 23 CERTAINLY HER COLLEAGUES.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. IS THAT IT, SUPERVISOR MOLINA?



1
2 SUP. MOLINA: YES, SIR.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT, WHEN WE ADJOURN, WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 7 OF YOLANDA RUIZ, WHO PASSED AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 26TH, LOSING HER
- 8 BATTLE WITH CANCER. SHE WAS AN OUTSTANDING MEMBER OF THE
- 9 COUNTY FAMILY FOR DECADES, WORKING IN THE WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 10 OFFICE, DEVOTING HER CAREER AND LIFE TO PROMOTING THE COUNTY
- 11 OF LOS ANGELES. SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, CARLOS, HER
- 12 SEVEN SONS AND SEVERAL GRANDCHILDREN. ALL MEMBERS.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS ON THAT. YOU KNOW, I'VE HAD
- 15 THAT ADJOURNMENT AS WELL, TOO. YOLANDA RUIZ. WOW, A FIXTURE
- 16 BACK THERE AND DONE SO MUCH FOR THE COUNTY AND WORKING ON ALL
- 17 OUR TRIPS AND NON-TRIPS AND EVERYTHING ELSE BACK THERE AND SHE
- 18 WAS JUST A CLASS ACT WHO IS GOING TO BE SORELY MISSED BY ALL
- 19 OF US, THAT SMILING FACE, GREAT ATTITUDE AND A GREAT FIGHT.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I ALSO HAVE THE ADJOURNMENT FOR YOLI. I MET
- 22 HER 23 YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS FIRST A MEMBER OF THE BOARD AND
- 23 APPRECIATED HER AND HER HUSBAND, CARLOS' FRIENDSHIP, THEIR
- 24 WARMTH, THEIR HOSPITALITY AND THE FACT HOW WELL ORGANIZED AND-
- 25 FROM THE WHITE HOUSE TO THE CONGRESSIONAL HOUSES THAT WE



- 1 WERE ABLE TO HAVE THE ACCESS AND COORDINATION WHICH WAS SO
- 2 VITAL AND ESPECIALLY HER SPECIAL ATTENTION TO LITTLE MICHAEL
- 3 AND MARY WHEN THEY WERE ABLE TO GO BACK TO WASHINGTON AND
- 4 VISIT THE WHITE HOUSE, PLAY WITH LITTLE BARNEY AND THE
- 5 PRESIDENT'S DOGS. SHE WAS JUST SPECIAL. SHE JUST MADE AN EXTRA
- 6 EFFORT TO ENSURE THAT EVERYTHING WAS COORDINATED WELL FOR EACH
- 7 OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND, AGAIN, OUR
- 8 SYMPATHIES TO CARLOS AND HER CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND
- 9 SHE WAS A VERY DIGNIFIED, WONDERFUL PART OF THE COUNTY FAMILY
- 10 IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SHE'LL BE MISSED. SUPERVISOR BURKE?

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: AND MILTON ZABBY ZABARO, WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 15 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 23RD, AT THE AGE OF 98.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

- 19 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. HE HAD-- IN 1967, AFTER 41 YEARS OF SERVICE,
- 20 ZABBY RETIRED FROM COUNTY SERVICE. HE WAS CHIEF OF GENERAL
- 21 CLAIMS DIVISION OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. HE WAS THE
- 22 FATHER OF MIKE ZABARO, RETIRED L.A. COUNTY EMPLOYEE, AND
- 23 FATHER-IN-LAW OF PAT ZABARO, WHO CURRENTLY WORKS FOR THE BOARD
- 24 OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTYWIDE CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING
- 25 COMMITTEE. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 68 YEARS, LORRAINE,



- 1 TWO SONS, MIKE AND STEVE, FIVE GRANDCHILDREN, SEVEN GREAT
- 2 GRANDCHILDREN, TWO GREAT, GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. ALL MEMBERS.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS. IS THAT IT?

5

6 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S IT.

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AS MENTIONED, I, TOO, HAD THAT ONE FOR YOLI
- 9 AND ALL MEMBERS. ALL THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY TODAY OF KAY
- 10 BECKER, MY AUNT, MY MOM'S SISTER PASSED AWAY THIS MORNING.
- 11 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER TWO DAUGHTERS, JOYCE AND JAN, AND A
- 12 GREAT LADY, SPENT A LOT OF TIME AS A KID AT HER HOUSE. ALSO
- 13 THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF ARMY SPECIALIST EDGAR DECLAN,
- 14 JR., WHO WAS KILLED ON SEPTEMBER 10TH IN IRAQ. HE WAS 24 YEARS
- 15 OLD. HE WAS BORN IN CEBU CITY IN THE PHILIPPINES AND MOVED TO
- 16 THE UNITED STATES IN 1993. HE LIVED IN LONG BEACH FOR ABOUT A
- 17 YEAR BEFORE SETTLING IN TORRANCE. EDGAR WAS ABOUT TWO
- 18 SEMESTERS SHY OF FINISHING HIS BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN
- 19 ENGINEERING FROM CAL STATE LONG BEACH WHEN HE VOLUNTARILY
- 20 ENLISTED IN THE ARMY. AFTER COMPLETING BASIC TRAINING, HE WAS
- 21 ASSIGNED TO THE 1ST INFANTRY DIVISION'S 18TH INFANTRY AS A
- 22 COMBAT MEDIC. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS PARENTS, GERTRUDE AND
- 23 EDGAR, FIVE SISTERS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 24 LYNNETTE JEAN JEANNE BELL, WHO PASSED AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 26TH
- 25 AFTER A SIX-MONTH BATTLE WITH CANCER. SHE WAS 60 YEARS OLD.



- 1 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER FATHER, AUBURN, HER HUSBAND, DICK, THREE
- 2 SONS, TIM, BRIAN AND MARK AND SISTER DOLORES EVELAND. ALSO
- 3 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR. KEN BURGE, WHO PASSED AWAY AT
- 4 THE AGE OF 67. HE WAS A MASTER TOOL AND DIE MAKER AND WORKED
- 5 ON ALL MAJOR UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AND NAVY AIRCRAFT. HE WAS
- 6 A ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE EL DORADO PARK COMMUNITY CHURCH IN LONG
- 7 BEACH WHERE HE SERVED AS AN ELDER. AND HE WAS ALSO A BOARD
- 8 MEMBER OF THE WAY OUT MINISTRY IN HAWAIIAN GARDENS. HE'S
- 9 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MARIETTA, SIX CHILDREN, 14
- 10 GRANDCHILDREN, ONE GREAT GRANDCHILD AND SEVERAL NIECES AND
- 11 NEPHEWS. ALSO WE ALL JOINED IN ON THE ADJOURNMENT FOR ZABBY
- 12 ZABARO. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF GREAT LEGEND
- 13 HERE IN LOS ANGELES, MR. BILL BALLANCE, HE WAS A PIONEER IN
- 14 RADIO PERSONALITY WHO PASSED AWAY ON THURSDAY AT HIS HOME IN
- 15 SAN DIEGO. HIS STALLION GANGLION AND HIS FEMININE FORUM, HE
- 16 WAS A LEGEND HERE AND HE HAD A GREAT SHOW AND HE'S SURVIVED BY
- 17 HIS SONS, JIM AND CURT, AND TWO GRANDDAUGHTERS. >

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: MR. KNABE, CAN I JOIN IN ON THAT? I WAS A GREAT
- 20 FAN.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YEAH. HE IS GREAT. SO-- WE CAN DO ALL--
- 23 YEAH, ALL MEMBERS ON BILL BALLANCE. HE HAD THAT GREAT VOICE
- 24 AND THAT-- HE WAS A CHARACTER. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN
- 25 MEMORY OF MR. CHARLES STUART, WHO LIVED ON PALOS VERDES



- 1 PENINSULA FOR OVER FIVE DECADES. HE SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE
- 2 WEST BASE MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT AND ON THE MWD. HE
- 3 INITIATED THE CONSTRUCTION OF ONE OF THE LARGEST RECLAMATION
- 4 PLANTS IN THE NATION. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 5 KELLY RYAN HOSS, LOS ANGELES CITY FIREFIGHTER, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 6 ON SEPTEMBER 9TH. I DON'T KNOW IF WE DID THAT LAST WEEK WHILE
- 7 I WAS GONE, AT THE AGE OF 32. HE WAS BORN AND RAISED IN LONG
- 8 BEACH AND GOING TO SCHOOL IN NAPLES, ROGERS AND WILSON HIGH
- 9 SCHOOL, GRADUATED FROM CAL STATE LONG BEACH. HE GRADUATED FROM
- 10 THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE SCIENCE ACADEMY AND VOLUNTEERED AT
- 11 HERMOSA BEACH FIRE FOR TWO YEARS. HE WAS HIRED BY L.A. CITY
- 12 FIRE IN 2000. WONDERFUL FATHER, HUSBAND, LOVED FAMILY RETREATS
- 13 IN MEXICO AND ENJOYING THE SURF AND FUN TIMES. HE WILL BE
- 14 MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE
- 15 SHERYL, SON, BAILEY, DAUGHTER, KENDALL, PARENTS, JANICE AND
- 16 RICK, BROTHER, JEFF, NATALIE AN J.J., AND COUSIN MICHELLE,
- 17 GRANDMOTHER ALICE, UNCLES BOB AND TERRY AND JEAN LEWIS AND
- 18 KAREN AND PAM OF TEXAS. AND, FINALLY, THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE
- 19 MEMORY OF NATALIE PAKIZ, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF LONG BEACH AND
- 20 QUEEN MARY TOUR GUIDE. SHE WAS BORN IN IDAHO, MOVED TO LONG
- 21 BEACH, MARRIED IN THE EARLY '50S, RETURNED TO LONG BEACH IN
- 22 '77, WAS INVOLVED IN MANY COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING
- 23 THE ORGANIZING COMMITTEE FOR THE '84 OLYMPICS. SHE SERVED FOR
- 24 MORE THAN 18 YEARS AS A VOTING INSPECTOR IN THE BIXBY HILLS
- 25 NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE THEY LIVED AND TOOK PART IN THREE U.S.



- 1 CENSUSES. SHE WAS A TOUR GUIDE ON THE QUEEN MARY, OPENED IN
- 2 '69, AND WROTE THE TOUR GUIDE MANUAL. SHE RETIRED IN 2002.
- 3 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND OF 49 YEARS, JOHN, SON, DERRICK,
- 4 DAUGHTER, COURTNEY, GRANDSON, RANE, BROTHER RUDENE, DEETTA AND
- 5 FAMILY MEMBERS. SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'VE GOT...

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OH, EXCUSE ME. I'M SORRY.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'VE GOT ONE. I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE
- 12 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF CARMINE CHIRICO, A RESIDENT OF THE
- 13 SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, PANORAMA CITY, WHO DIED AT THE AGE OF 86
- 14 OVER THE WEEKEND. CARMINE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, GRACE, TWO
- 15 DAUGHTERS, KATHY AND CANDICE. CANDICE IS A DEPUTY FOR
- 16 COUNCILWOMAN WENDY GREUEL AND FORMERLY WITH COUNCILMAN WACHS
- 17 AND A COMMUNITY ACTIVIST IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND HE'S
- 18 ALSO SURVIVED BY TWO GRANDCHILDREN. THAT'S IT.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, FORMER SENIOR DEPUTY,
- 23 HEALTH DEPUTY IN MY OFFICE WHO WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN THE
- 24 REBUILDING OF OLIVE VIEW HOSPITAL, MARSHA NAY, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 25 ON SEPTEMBER 22ND. SHE BECAME A MEMBER OF MY STAFF IN 1980.



- 1 PRIOR TO THAT, WHEN SHE WAS IN COLLEGE AND THAT, SHE WAS
- 2 ELECTED CO-CHAIRMAN OF THE YOUNG REPUBLICANS OF TEXAS BACK IN
- 3 1965. SHE WORKED FOR THE COUNTY AND, AFTER LEAVING MY OFFICE,
- 4 SHE WORKED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THEN, BECAUSE OF
- 5 ILLNESS, HAD TO RETIRE. SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER PARENTS, MARGE
- 6 AND SAM NAY AND HER SON, MIKE MCGEE AND WIFE, KAY. FOUR
- 7 GRANDCHILDREN, HER BROTHER, SAM NAY, III. MARK PAULSON, KNOWN
- 8 AS MIKE TO MANY FRIENDS, WORLD WAR II VETERAN, PURPLE HEART.
- 9 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS SON, MARK PAULSON, JR., WHO WAS THE
- 10 COUNCILMAN AND FORMER MAYOR IN THE CITY OF ALHAMBRA AND KEVIN
- 11 PAULSON, A CAPTAIN WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT
- 12 AND TWO GRANDCHILDREN. CHARLES TERRY, WHO WAS THE OWNER OF
- 13 RUBBER PRODUCTS FOR 35 YEARS, MANUFACTURING THE TRAFFIC
- 14 MARKERS, DOOR MATS AND OTHER PRODUCTS, THE ROAD CONES THAT YOU
- 15 SEE ON THE HIGHWAYS, HE WAS THE INVENTOR OF THAT, PASSED AWAY
- 16 AND-- FROM THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. DR. CARL FRANKLIN, LONG-
- 17 TIME LAW PROFESSOR, CONTRIBUTOR AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
- 18 CALIFORNIA. HE WORKED THERE FROM 1953 UNTIL HIS PASSING THIS
- 19 PAST SEPTEMBER 6TH, 93 YEARS OF AGE. HE WAS THE CHAIR OF
- 20 INTERNATIONAL LAW AT THE U.S. NAVAL WAR COLLEGE AND HE ALSO
- 21 WROTE A BOOK, THE LAW OF THE SEA, THAT BECAME AN IMPORTANT
- 22 REFERENCE BOOK FOR UNITED STATES NAVY. IN '62, HE BECAME A
- 23 CONSULTANT TO THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT EVALUATING AMERICAN
- 24 UNIVERSITIES ABROAD. BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY, HE AND HIS WIFE
- 25 WERE PHILANTHROPISTS, WHO DONATED OVER \$13 MILLION TO VARIOUS



- 1 CHARITIES OVER HIS LIFETIME. \$4 MILLION DONATED TO THE U.S.C.
- 2 SCHOOL OF LAW AND HE WAS HELPING RAISE FUNDS UNTIL THE END.
- 3 JOHN HOWARD WHATLEY, SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY DURING
- 4 WORLD WAR II, WAS A MEMBER OF THE PASADENA TOURNAMENT OF
- 5 ROSES, PASADENA FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH, THE PASADENA LEGAL AID
- 6 SOCIETY AND THE PASADENA METROPOLITAN Y.M.C.A. MILTON ZABARO,
- 7 WHO HAS ALREADY BEEN MENTIONED, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 8 98, WHO HAD SERVED 41 YEARS AT THE COUNTY AS AUDITOR-
- 9 CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. DR. MARSHALL MERCER OF THE ANTELOPE
- 10 VALLEY. AFTER EARNING THIS MEDICAL DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY
- 11 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, HE FORMED THE FIRST MEDICAL GROUP IN
- 12 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY IN LANCASTER. DR. LILLIAN SEITSIVE OF THE
- 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY-- I SHOULD SAY OF THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, SHE
- 14 RECEIVED HER MEDICAL DEGREE BACK IN 1931 AND SHE WAS ACTIVE IN
- 15 THE NORTHRIDGE AREA. SHE WAS FOUNDING MEMBER OF THE NORTHRIDGE
- 16 HOSPITAL IN THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUSIC CENTER AND WAS THE
- 17 FIRST FEMALE TO RECEIVE THE PHYSICIAN OF THE YEAR 2000 AWARD
- 18 FROM THE CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION AND SHE WAS ALSO
- 19 HONORED BY THE WHITE HOUSE. CONSTANCE DELANEY, WHO PASSED
- 20 AWAY, WAS AN ARTIST WHOSE WORK WAS EXHIBITED AT LOCAL SHOWS,
- 21 SHE WAS A ACTIVE OF THE INCARNATION CHURCH OF GLENDALE, THE
- 22 GAMBLE HOUSE OF PASADENA AND SHE WAS A DOCENT OF THE GLENDALE
- 23 HISTORICAL SOCIETY. AS WE SAID, BILL BALLANCE, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 24 AT AGE 85 AND, JUST A COUPLE MONTHS AGO, AS WE SAID, WE HAD
- 25 HUNTER HANCOCK, ANOTHER FAMOUS AND THE OTHERS, ALL OF OUR



- 1 GREATS ARE NOW PASSING ON, AND HIS SON IS A RESIDENT OF
- 2 ALTADENA. DANNEY CHARLES ASHLEY, WHO PASSED AWAY. HE WAS A
- 3 FORMER CITY AND COUNTY BUILDING INSPECTOR. MARVIN DAVIS, WHO
- 4 PASSED AWAY. HE ONCE OWNED 20TH CENTURY FOX, WHICH HE LATER
- 5 SOLD TO RUPERT MURDOCH'S NEWS CORPORATION, FOX NEWS AND HE
- 6 ALSO OWNED PEBBLE BEACH COMPANY AT ONE TIME BUT HE WAS VERY
- 7 CHARITABLE AND HE ESTABLISHED A CHILDREN'S DIABETIC FOUNDATION
- 8 IN 1977 AFTER THEIR DAUGHTER, DANA, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH THE
- 9 DISEASE. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE AND FIVE CHILDREN. JOHN
- 10 KULIKOFF, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. THOSE ARE
- 11 MY ADJOURNMENTS.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. OKAY. PUBLIC COMMENT.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO CO-PRESENT WITH
- 16 MARVIN DAVIS. I FAILED TO MENTION THAT BUT...

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL MEMBERS ON MARVIN DAVIS. BRENDA
- 19 THOMAS, RICHARD ROBINSON, MICHAEL BAUER AND RONE ELLIS.

- 21 BRENDA THOMAS: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS BRENDA THOMAS AND I
- 22 AM FROM CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF LOS ANGELES. CATHOLIC CHARITIES
- 23 HAS SERVED REFUGEE AND IMMIGRANT POPULATIONS FOR MORE THAN 65
- 24 YEARS AND I AM HERE TODAY TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF CATHOLIC
- 25 CHARITIES BUT ALSO ON BEHALF OF R.I.T.E. AGENCIES IN L.A.



- 1 COUNTY. THERE'S SO MUCH TO SAY. SOME KEY POINTS AND I'LL JUST
- 2 KEEP THIS BRIEF, IS THERE IS, AS YOU KNOW, MUCH CONCERN OVER
- 3 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REPORT THAT YOU'VE ALL RECEIVED. ONE POINT
- 4 I'LL JUST MAKE ON THAT IS THAT THE PERCENTAGES IN THAT REPORT
- 5 ARE NOT FINAL IN THAT MANY AGENCIES HAVE SUBMITTED A WRITTEN
- 6 REBUTTAL RESPONSE AND HAVE NOT HEARD BACK FROM AUDITOR-
- 7 CONTROLLER WITH REGARD TO THEIR COMMENTS, AND WE WOULD JUST
- 8 CALL FOR SOME SORT OF ACTION TO BE TAKEN ON THAT. SECONDLY,
- 9 AND SIMILAR AUDIT-CONTROLLER REPORTS ON DPSS, ON MAXIMAS AND
- 10 ON ACS, WHO, AS YOU KNOW, ALSO PROVIDE CONTRACTED SERVICES TO
- 11 THE GAIN POPULATION. FINDINGS WERE REPORTED IN OVERCHARGES
- 12 RESULTING IN APPROXIMATELY 52% AND YET AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
- 13 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THAT AMOUNT OF OVERCHARGED SERVICES WAS
- 14 BASICALLY FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE SUBMITTED, WHEREAS IN
- 15 THE R.I.T.E. PROVIDER GROUP SCENARIO, THE RECOMMENDATION MADE
- 16 WAS TO BRING THE SERVICES BACK IN-HOUSE. WE FEEL THAT'S AN
- 17 UNFAIR, AND INEQUITABLE RECOMMENDATION. WHAT I AM HERE TODAY
- 18 TO ASK FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION IN IS THAT ALL R.I.T.E. PROVIDER
- 19 AGENCIES WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK UNDER D.P.S.S.
- 20 UNDER CSS, AS YOU KNOW, AS CLEARLY STATED IN THE BLUE REPORT,
- 21 THERE WERE ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES, PROBLEMS THAT WE ALL WERE
- 22 AFFECTED BY AND WE REALLY FEEL THAT, UNDER PROPER
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, OUR GROUP OF NONPROFIT AGENCIES
- 24 WOULD BE ABLE TO EFFECTIVELY AND CONTINUE TO PROVIDE EFFECTIVE
- 25 SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITIES THAT WE SERVE. LIKEWISE, WE'RE



- 1 CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT OUR CLIENT GROUP WILL EXPERIENCE IF
- 2 SERVICES COME BACK IN-HOUSE TO D.P.S.S. IN RECENT WEEKS, EVEN
- 3 AS RECENT AS TODAY, WE'VE RECEIVED CALL FROM D.P.S.S. ASKING
- 4 HOW WE WOULD-- HOW THEY COULD GO ABOUT PROVIDING SERVICES IN
- 5 THE MULTI-- TO THE MULTIPLE LANGUAGES THAT WE SERVE, HOW WE GO
- 6 ABOUT PROCESSING. THIS SEEMS TO GIVE US A SENSE THAT THE
- 7 PROGRAMS ARE GOING BACK IN-HOUSE AND YET WE'RE BEING ASKED TO
- 8 TRAIN D.P.S.S. STAFF ON HOW THIS IS TO BE DONE. THAT SEEMS
- 9 UNFAIR. CATHOLIC CHARITIES, JUST AS A FINAL NOTE, HAD NO
- 10 FINDINGS FROM THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REPORT. WE HAVE BEEN ABLE
- 11 TO PROVIDE HIGH QUALITY SERVICES. WE KNOW HOW TO MANAGE OUR
- 12 PROGRAMS. WE HAVE CLEAR INTERNAL CONTROLS IN TERMS OF OUR
- 13 OUALITY ASSURANCE AND WE WOULD HOPE TO CONTINUE TO HAVE THE
- 14 OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES IN THE FUTURE. WE THINK WE
- 15 PROVIDE A NECESSARY SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY AND ONE THAT THE
- 16 COMMUNITY BENEFITS. SO, IN CLOSING, AGAIN, WE JUST ASK, AS A
- 17 GROUP OF PROVIDER AGENCIES THAT SERVE R.I.T.E. CLIENTS, THAT
- 18 WE WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, UNDER DIFFERENT ADMINISTRATION,
- 19 TO CONTINUE PROVIDING THESE SERVICES THAT WE THINK ARE VITAL
- 20 AND WE THINK WE PROVIDE. WE'RE THE BEST CONTAINER FOR THESE
- 21 SERVICES TO GO OUT. THANK YOU.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL
- 24 ASK-- WE DON'T HAVE TO DO IT PUBLICLY BUT THE DEPARTMENT CAN
- 25 RESPOND TO THOSE ISSUES THAT ARE RAISED AS PART OF THEIR



- 1 REPORT, I THINK, BACK TO US THAT'S COMING BACK. WE'VE GOT SOME
- 2 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION COMING BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT ON THAT
- 3 ISSUE, SO THAT CAN BE PART OF THEIR RESPONSE TO US. THANK YOU.

4

5 BRENDA THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR. ROBINSON.

- 9 RICHARD ROBINSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, RICHARD ROBINSON.
- 10 NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZER. I'M ESTABLISHING A NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH
- 11 LIKE THAT I STARTED AT THE MILLION-DOLLAR HOTEL AT THE SEVEN
- 12 STAR MOTEL. SIR. I AM ONE MEMBER OF A LARGE LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 13 FAMILY, FEDERAL, STATE, COUNTY AND CITY COPS. I'M DEVELOPING A
- 14 PRIVATE SECURITY FIRM WITH MY BROTHER, SISTER, NEPHEWS AND
- 15 COUSINS. AND, BECAUSE OF THE MURDER OF A LONG BEACH SUPERVISOR
- 16 AND CHAMBER, I HELPED ALERT SECURITY TO THE NEED OF METAL
- 17 DETECTORS AT CITY HALL HERE. BEFORE SEPTEMBER 11, 2001. AT
- 18 THAT MOTEL IN HOLLYWOOD, I AM STRUGGLING TO PREVENT DOPE
- 19 PUSHERS AND THEIR PROSTITUTES ON SUNSET FROM ENCROACHING
- 20 ACROSS HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD INTO MY RESIDENCE-- PARDON ME.
- 21 PUBLIC SPEAKING ISN'T MY FORTE. PLEASE BEAR WITH ME WHILE I
- 22 ATTEND A RATHER CONVOLUTED MIND RIGHT NOW. FROM ENCROACHING
- 23 ACROSS HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD INTO MY RESIDENCE AND THE SEVEN
- 24 STAR MOTEL. GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER, I WAS EXERCISING ON,
- 25 ABOUT A QUARTER OF A CENTURY GO, PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE,



- 1 WASHINGTON, D.C. GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER GRACIOUSLY BOWED. WE-
- 2 I GOT INTO A REGULAR REGIME. EXERCISE. REGIMEN WITH HIS
- 3 HONOR. HE HELPED ME GET STARTED A QUARTER OF A CENTURY AGO IN
- 4 WASHINGTON, D.C. WHEN I WAS A GUEST OF THE CONGRESS. THE
- 5 SHOCKING FUTURE OF HOLLYWOOD'S GROWING CRIME CULTURE IS
- 6 BECOMING UNACCEPTABLE. THE HOOTER'S ACROSS THE STREET FROM
- 7 MANN'S CHINESE THEATRE IS ATTRACTING DOPE PUSHERS AND PIMPS.
- 8 STANDING ON A FIRE HYDRANT ACROSS THE STREET FROM A MILLION-
- 9 DOLLAR HOTEL HELPED SECURITY AT THAT HOTEL KEEP HUNDREDS OF
- 10 DOPE PUSHERS AWAY FROM HELPLESS WOMEN AND CHILDREN WHO WERE
- 11 VICTIMIZED BY THE SAME AT FIFTH AND MAIN BEGINNING ABOUT 33
- 12 YEARS AGO. HOWEVER, I'M NOT ABLE TO DO THAT IN HOLLYWOOD
- 13 BECAUSE HOLLYWOOD TOURISTS ARE A DISTRACTION. SECURITY AT THAT
- 14 HOTEL KEEPS...

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, RICHARD, COULD YOU WRAP IT UP,
- 17 PLEASE.

18

- 19 RICHARD ROBINSON: SURE. LET ME JUST END BY SAYING BECAUSE MORE
- 20 SOPHISTICATED CRIMINALS ARE INDICATING THE NEED FOR MORE LAW
- 21 ENFORCEMENT, THE PROBLEM WILL BE SOLVED. IN THIS BUDGETARY
- 22 CLIMATE, CRIME IS AN ESCALATING NATIONAL PROBLEM. BUT, AS OUR
- 23 NATION'S ECONOMY GROWS, AS THE STATE'S BUDGET IS BALANCED BY
- 24 THE MORE THAN 3% GDP, WE ARE GOING TO EXPERIENCE NEXT YEAR...



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

2

- 3 RICHARD ROBINSON: OUR PRESIDENT WILL ACCOMPLISH HIS
- 4 ADMINISTRATION'S NEW TASK OF ENSURING IRAQ'S ELECTIONS WILL BE
- 5 HELD IN JANUARY...

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR. MR. BAUER?

8

9 RICHARD ROBINSON: EXCUSE ME. DO YOU WANT SOME WATER?

10

11 MICHAEL BAUER: I GOT SOME.

12

13 RICHARD ROBINSON: YOU GOT SOME.

14

- 15 MICHAEL BAUER: GOOD AFTERNOON, THE BOARD. YES, MR. KNABE, I'D
- 16 LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION AND I WANT AN HONEST ANSWER FROM YOU.
- 17 AND, FIRST OF ALL, THE REASON I'M ASKING FOR AN HONEST ANSWER
- 18 IS I'M ACTUALLY I'M MAKING A CLAIM AGAINST THE WHOLE ENTIRE
- 19 COUNTY. WHEN IS THE LAST TIME YOU CHECKED THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 20 PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES WEBSITE?

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: KEEP TESTIFYING.

- 24 MICHAEL BAUER: OKAY. THE REASON IS, I KNEW I WAS RIGHT ABOUT
- 25 WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. I'VE GOT



- 1 A 204-PAGE REPORT RIGHT HERE. I DON'T HAVE ALL OF IT WITH ME.
- 2 RIGHT HERE IT SHOWS, NATIONALLY, THERE HAS BEEN NO FUNDAMENTAL
- 3 CHANGE IN THE CHILD WELFARE SYSTEM IN 20 YEARS. I HAVE BEEN
- 4 DEMANDING... [ BANGING ]

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EASY, EASY, EASY.

7

- 8 MICHAEL BAUER: AN INVESTIGATION BE DONE. I'VE BEEN DEMANDING
- 9 THAT INVESTIGATION TO BE DONE. ALSO, YOU THINK I'M CRAZY
- 10 BECAUSE I'M SAYING THIS. HOW ABOUT IF I BRING UP AN INCIDENT
- 11 FOR THE FDA THAT I JUST READ IN THE NEWSPAPER IN THE L.A.
- 12 TIMES SHOWING, WHEN WHEN KIDS ARE ON ANTIDEPRESSANTS, THEY'RE
- 13 MORE LIABLE TO COMMIT SUICIDE THAN KIDS THAT AREN'T. OH, YES,
- 14 I'M SUING THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, TOO. I AM
- 15 REOUESTING A FULL-BLOWN INVESTIGATIVE REPORT FROM ANY ONE OF
- 16 THESE BOARD MEMBERS, OR ELSE A FEDERAL, CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT
- 17 WILL BE OPEN TO NOT ONLY TO DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 18 SERVICES BUT DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND YOU GUYS WILL
- 19 LEGALLY GO DOWN!

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

22

23 MICHAEL BAUER: I'VE GOT ALL THE EVIDENCE...

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.



1

- 2 MICHAEL BAUER: WAIT A MINUTE. MY TIME'S NOT UP ANYWAYS. I'VE
- 3 GOT 11 CASES FROM HERE OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FROM 11
- 4 DIFFERENT STATES THAT ARE ALSO BEING SUED FOR CHILDREN BEING
- 5 TAKEN AWAY ILLEGALLY. CONNECTICUT, NEW JERSEY, TENNESSEE,
- 6 TEXAS. OH, YEAH. IT'S ALL ON THIS DISK. I'VE GOT ABOUT 800
- 7 PAGES OF EVIDENCE. I DON'T THINK YOU WANT TO TEST ME, BECAUSE
- 8 IF I BRING THIS INTO THE FEDERAL CASE, I WILL LEGALLY HAVE
- 9 L.A. COUNTY SHUT DOWN! I AM MOVING TO SAN DIEGO BECAUSE OF
- 10 THIS.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS ELLIS?

13

- 14 MICHAEL BAUER: AND I AM WARNING YOU, DON'T TEST ME, BECAUSE IT
- 15 WILL HAPPEN.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DON'T THREATEN US, JUST MOVE ON.

18

19 MICHAEL BAUER: HEY, IT'S NOT A THREAT. IT WILL HAPPEN!

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MISS ELLIS? MISS ELLIS, PLEASE.

- 23 RONE ELLIS: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR EL NUMERO NUMBER 4. JUST BY
- 24 HAPPENSTANCE, I'M GOING TO EDIT PUBLICLY FOR THIS MEETING AND
- 25 NO DOUBT I WILL LATER SOMEHOW SAVE UP AND GET A COPY OF



- 1 TODAY'S MEETING TO SUBMIT TO ONE OF THE REMAINING OLDEST U.S.
- 2 SUPREME COURT JUSTICES IN THE UNITED STATES OF THE AMERICAS,
- 3 BECAUSE THERE'S THREE AMERICAS. ITEM 12, I SUPPORT ALL OF AND
- 4 I NEED AN ANSWER TO ITEMS 18 AND 22. ON 18 PART, I'D LIKE A
- 5 60-DAY REPORT COPY FROM THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE. ON ITEM 22, I'D
- 6 LIKE ALSO A 30-DAY REPORT COPY FROM THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE. ON
- 7 ITEM 21 TODAY, I'D LIKE, LET'S SEE, THE RESULTS OR ANSWERS TO
- 8 ALL OF IT. ITEM 25, I'D LIKE THE, LET'S SEE, HMM, I'M GOING TO
- 9 MAKE A LITTLE COMMENT. NUMBER 25, ALL OF THAT WITHIN IT, IN MY
- 10 VIEW, IT REGARDS UNPAID SPECIAL MASTERS, NOT YOUR-- ONLY BOB
- 11 MERRICK WITH YOUR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. ITEM 29, I'M
- 12 REQUESTING NOTIFICATION OF WHAT EXACTLY THE PROJECT GRANT IS
- 13 THAT'S GONNA BE AWARDED. OKAY? MINUS THE ELIMINATION OF A
- 14 TRAIL THAT WAS STATED IN THIS MEETING. ITEM NUMBER 34, ALL, I
- 15 HAVE A QUESTION. IF THERE IS GOING TO BE A PUBLIC PROCESS
- 16 REGARDING THE NEW NAME, I SUGGEST WE CALL IT SHUN,
- 17 INCORPORATED. ITEM NUMBER 46, IN PART, I WOULD LIKE TO AT
- 18 LEAST FIND OUT HOW I COULD GET INDIVIDUALLY PART OF THE
- 19 \$800,000 ON THE GENERAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES CRISIS
- 20 INTERVENTION MONEY THROUGH OUR C.A.O. AND OUR MENTAL HEALTH
- 21 DIRECTOR PROPER OR NOTHING. OKAY? AND, ON ITEM NUMBER 47, I'M
- 22 INTO THE ALPHABETS OF B AND D ONLY TODAY. I SUPPORT B. AND D,
- 23 WELL, ELLIPSES. 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1.



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WILL YOU READ US INTO CLOSED 1 SESSION, PLEASE? 2 3 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT 4 5 REOUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-6 7 1 AND CS-2, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING 8 LITIGATION; ITEM CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS, DAVID E. JANSSEN, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE AND DESIGNATED STAFF, ITEM CS-4, INTERVIEW AND CONSIDER CANDIDATES FOR APPOINTMENT 10 11 TO THE POSITION OF COUNTY COUNSEL AND ITEM CS-5, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO 12 LITIGATION, TWO CASES. CS-5 RELATES TO SEPARATE CORRESPONDENCE 13 FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE LOS 14 ANGELES TIMES REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF BROWN ACT VIOLATIONS AS 15 16 TO ITEM CS-2 ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' AGENDAS OF SEPTEMBER 7, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 13, 2004. THESE ITEMS ARE INDICATED ON 17 18 THE POSTED AGENDA AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. THANK YOU. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2004
3	
4	The Board of Supervisors met today in Closed Session. The
5	following action is being reported:
6	
7	CS-5. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
8	(Subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
9	Significant exposure to litigation (two cases)
10	
11	Action Taken:
12	
13	The Board authorized the voluntary disclosure of confidential
14	closed session minutes to the District Attorney to assist in
15	his inquiry into allegations of Brown Act violations, pursuant
16	to Government Code Sections 54957.2, 6254.5(e) and 6265 and
17	the District Attorney's agreement to maintain the
18	confidentiality of the material.
19	
20	The vote of the Board of Supervisors was unanimous with all
21	Supervisors being present.
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, Jennifer A. Hines, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
5	6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That the foregoing transcript of recorded
8	proceedings was taken on Tuesday, September 28th, 2004, at the
9	time and place therein set forth and recorded by the Los
10	Angeles County Board of Supervisors, thereafter transcribed
11	into typewriting under my direction and supervision;
12	And I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
13	of recorded proceedings is a full, true, and correct
14	transcript of the recorded proceedings before the Los Angeles
15	County Board of Supervisors.
16	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor
17	related to any party to said action, nor in anywise interested
18	in the outcome thereof.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
20	30th day of September, 2004.
21	
22	
23 24	(Signature on file) JENNIFER A. HINES
25	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR